
From: Eric Sugalski <eric@bostondevice.com>
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2011 9:00 AM CDT
To: Nathan Moskowitz <nmosk@hotmail.com>
CC: andy moskowitz <andymoskow@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: length question

Hi Nathan -

We received the "go" from Andy yesterday, and we sent the files over to the prototype shop. Would it be possible to move forward with the current design for this prototype? Please let me know asap.

Thanks,

Eric

--

Eric Sugalski
Boston Device Development, Inc
office - (617) 279-0057 x703
mobile - (617) 852-6622
www.bostondevice.com

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 9:10 PM, Nathan Moskowitz <nmosk@hotmail.com> wrote:

Eric,

I share your concern. If it is possible to reduce the length by 2mm, it would be less of a chance of pressing on a nerve. I wouldn't want to interfere with the integrity, but maybe a 2mm reduction could work?

Nathan

From: eric@bostondevice.com
Date: Thu, 2 Jun 2011 12:42:14 -0400
Subject: length question
To: nmosk@hotmail.com; andymoskow@gmail.com

Hi Nathan & Andy -

When we looked at the comparison drawings recently, we noticed that the lengths are different between your original design and our new one. The new design needs to be a bit longer to accommodate the "slope" toward the anterior side of the device. Additionally, the screw heads are protruding a bit more from the posterior side of the device. This protrusion prevents the screw hole from cutting into the dovetail joint. I am concerned that reducing this length will compromise the structural integrity of the design. Is the current length acceptable for the prototype build? Please reference the attached 2D drawing for dimensional details.

Thanks,

Eric

--

Eric Sugalski

Boston Device Development, Inc

office - [\(617\) 279-0057 x703](tel:(617)279-0057)

mobile - [\(617\) 852-6622](tel:(617)852-6622)

www.bostondevice.com