

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NETSKOPE, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
FORTINET, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 25-cv-02360-HSG
SCHEDULING ORDER

Having considered the parties’ proposals, *see* Dkt. No. 90, the Court **SETS** the following deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, Civil Local Rule 16-10, Patent Local Rule 1-3:

| Event | Deadline |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Fortinet’s Opposition to Cross-Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78) and Reply in Support of its Motion to Stay (Dkt. 61) | November 19, 2025 |
| Fortinet’s Opposition to Motion to Strike (Dkt. 79) | November 21, 2025 |
| Netskope’s Reply in Support of its Cross-Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78) | November 26, 2025 |
| Netskope’s Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike (Dkt 79) | December 3, 2025 |
| Netskope’s Opening Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(a)) | December 3, 2025 |
| Fortinet’s Responsive Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(b)) | December 17, 2025 |
| Netskope’s Reply Claim Construction Brief (P.R. 4-5(c)) | December 24, 2025 |

United States District Court
Northern District of California


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Combined hearing on Motion to stay (Dkt. 61), Motion to Sever (Dkt. 78), Motion to Strike (Dkt. 79), and Case Management Conference for Fortinet’s Counterclaims | January 8, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. |
| Claim Construction Technology Tutorial | January 30, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. |
| <i>Markman</i> Hearing | February 6, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. |

These dates may only be altered by order of the Court and only upon a showing of good cause. The parties are directed to review and comply with this Court’s standing orders. This order TERMINATES Dkt. No. 90.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/24/2025


 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
 United States District Judge