

ORDER STAYING AND ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASES. For the same reasons articulated in *LELO, Inc. v. Standard Innovation (US) Corp.*, Case No. 13-cv-01393-JD, 2014 WL 2879851 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2014), the Court stays these cases pending completion of the IPRs that have been and may be instituted by the PTAB on the patents-in-suit. The parties jointly represent that "the PTAB has instituted 13 IPR trials to determine the validity of seven of the eight asserted patents" across these five related cases. Dkt. No. 90 at 2. On the single remaining patent, two additional pending IPR petitions are expected to be decided by June 2016. *Id.* at 21. Because these cases are in their infancy, the IPRs will likely simplify the issues and trial, and plaintiff has not articulated -- and the Court does not see -- any undue prejudice or clear disadvantage that would result from a stay, the Court stays these cases until the PTAB has decided the 13 IPRs that have been instituted (as well as the additional two IPRs, should the PTAB decide to institute those as well). The parties are ordered to file joint status updates every 90 days from the date of this order, and within three days of the PTAB's final written decision on the last IPR to be decided. In light of the length of time the parties expect this process to take (see Dkt. No. 90 at 3 stating that "the PTAB is expected to issue a final written decision within one year of institution"), the Court orders these cases administratively closed in the meantime. The administrative closure is not a decision on the merits, and the cases will be ordered re-opened once the IPR process has concluded and the stays have been lifted. Signed by Judge James Donato on 4/7/2016. (This is a text-only entry. There is no document associated with this entry.) (jdlc2S, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 4/7/2016) (Entered: 04/07/2016)

As of April 8, 2016, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

Global Touch Solutions, LLC v. Toshiba Corporation et al
3-15-cv-02746 (CAND), 4/7/2016, docket entry 92

Samsara EX1075
Samsara v. Motive
IPR2026-00034