

Exhibit 30

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SONOS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

D&M HOLDINGS INC. d/b/a THE D+M
GROUP, D&M HOLDINGS U.S. INC., and
DENON ELECTRONICS (USA), LLC,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

REDACTED

Civil Action No. 14-1330-WCB

VERDICT FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer the enclosed questions following the instructions given on this verdict form.

Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to the subject matter covered by each question.

U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258 (“the ’258 patent”)

1. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **directly infringed** any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258? *[check yes or no for each claim]*

	Yes (for Sonos)	No (for Denon)
Claim 17	✓ _____	_____
Claim 21	✓ _____	_____
Claim 24	✓ _____	_____

2. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **actively induced others** to infringe any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258? *[check yes or no for each claim]*

	Yes (for Sonos)	No (for Denon)
Claim 17	✓ _____	_____
Claim 21	✓ _____	_____
Claim 24	✓ _____	_____

3. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **contributed to another’s infringement** of any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258? *[check yes or no for each claim]*

	Yes (for Sonos)	No (for Denon)
Claim 17	✓ _____	_____
Claim 21	✓ _____	_____
Claim 24	✓ _____	_____

[Only answer Question 4 if you checked “yes” for at least one of the claims in one or more of Questions 1, 2, or 3. Otherwise, continue onto Question 5.]

4. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon’s infringement activity of U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258 was **willful**? *[circle yes or no]*

<div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> Yes (for Sonos) </div>	No (for Denon)
---	-----------------------

[Continue onto the next page.]

U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014 (“the ’014 patent”)

5. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **directly infringed claim 25** of U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

6. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **actively induced others to infringe claim 25** of U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

7. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **contributed to another’s infringement of claim 25** of U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

[Only answer Question 8 if you circled “yes” in one or more of Questions 5, 6, or 7. Otherwise, continue onto Question 9.]

8. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon’s infringement activity of claim 25 of the U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014 was **willful**? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

[Continue onto the next page.]

U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949 (“the ’949 patent”)

9. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **directly infringed claim 1** of U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

10. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **actively induced others** to infringe claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

11. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon **contributed to another’s infringement** of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

[Only answer Question 12 if you checked “yes” in one or more of Questions 9, 10, or 11. Otherwise, continue onto Question 13.]

12. Has Sonos proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Denon’s infringement activity of claim 1 of the U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949 was **willful**? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Sonos)

No (for Denon)

[Continue onto the next page.]

Invalidity

[For Question 13, answer only regarding the claims that you found to be infringed in one or more of Questions 1, 2, or 3.]

13. Has Denon proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,195,258 is **invalid**? *[check yes or no for each claim]*

	Yes (for Denon)	No (for Sonos)
Claim 17	_____	_____ ✓
Claim 21	_____	_____ ✓
Claim 24	_____	_____ ✓

[For Question 14, answer only if you found claim 25 to be infringed in one or more of Questions 5, 6, or 7.]

14. Has Denon proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 25 of U.S. Patent No. 7,571,014 is **invalid**? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Denon)

No (for Sonos)

[For Question 15, answer only if you found claim 1 to be infringed in one or more of Questions 9, 10, or 11.]

15. Has Denon proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,588,949 is **invalid**? *[circle yes or no]*

Yes (for Denon)

No (for Sonos)

[Continue onto the next page.]

Damages

[If you found any claim to be infringed and not invalid, please answer Question 16. Otherwise, skip Question 16.]

16. What amount of damages should be awarded to Sonos to compensate for the infringement by Denon?

\$ 1,989,818

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The foreman should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the marshal that you have reached a verdict.

The foreman should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Signature _____

15 December 2017
Date