

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION**

<p>SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC,  Plaintiff,  v.  HULU, LLC,  Defendant.</p> <hr/> <p>HULU, LLC,  Counter-Claimant,  v.  SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC,  Counter-Defendant.</p>
--

Case No. LACV17-04146 JAK  
(PLAx)

**ORDER REGARDING  
REDUCTION OF ASSERTED  
CLAIMS AND PRIOR ART  
REFERENCES**

1 The parties have stipulated to reduce the number of claims and prior art  
2 references asserted in this action.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

4 (1) By August 3, 2018, Plaintiff will elect no more than 5 asserted claims per  
5 patent (and no more than 16 claims total across all asserted patents), to be selected from  
6 currently asserted claims in Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions; and

7 (2) By August 31, 2018, Defendant will elect no more than 6 asserted prior art  
8 references per patent (and no more than 20 prior art references across all asserted  
9 patents). For purposes of this stipulation, a "prior art reference" refers to a single patent,  
10 patent application, printed publication, item of prior public knowledge or public use,  
11 instrumentality, or a combination thereof. For the purposes of this stipulation, a  
12 combination of prior art references shall be considered one "reference" for the purposes  
13 of these limitations, regardless of the number of prior art references included in the  
14 combination. For purposes of this stipulation, a prior art instrumentality (such as a  
15 device or process) and associated references that describe that instrumentality shall  
16 count as one reference, as shall the closely related work of a single prior artist.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED

18  
19 Dated: August 20, 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN A. KRONSTADT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE