

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

IMPERATIVE CARE, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

INARI MEDICAL, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-01021 (Patent 11,969,333 B2)  
IPR2025-01025 (Patent 11,974,910 B2)

---

Before COKE MORGAN STEWART, *Deputy Under Secretary of  
Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the United  
States Patent and Trademark Office.*

DECISION  
Referring the Petitions to the Board

<p><i>Imperative Care v. Inari Medical</i> IPR2025-01562 <b>Imperative Care Exhibit 1052</b></p>
--

IPR2025-01021 (Patent 11,969,333 B2)  
IPR2025-01025 (Patent 11,974,910 B2)

Inari Medical, Inc (“Patent Owner”) filed a request for discretionary denial (Paper 5, “DD Req.”) in the above-captioned cases, and Imperative Care, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed an opposition (Paper 6, “DD Opp.”).<sup>1</sup>

After considering the parties’ arguments and the record, and in view of all relevant considerations, discretionary denial of institution is not appropriate in these proceedings. This determination is based on the totality of the evidence and arguments the parties have presented.

In particular, these cases present the same discretionary considerations as those presented in IPR2025-00289. *See Imperative Care, Inc. v. Inari Medical, Inc.*, IPR2025-00289, Paper 9 (Director June 12, 2025) (denying Patent Owner’s request for discretionary denial). Accordingly, that analysis is incorporated here. *Id.* at 2.

The determination not to exercise discretion to deny institution is based on a holistic assessment of all of the evidence and arguments presented. Accordingly, the Petitions are referred to the Board to handle the case in the normal course, including by issuing a decision on institution addressing the merits and other non-discretionary considerations, as appropriate.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s request for discretionary denial is denied;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petitions are referred to the Board;  
and

---

<sup>1</sup> Citations are to papers in IPR2025-01021. The parties filed similar papers in IPR2025-01025.

IPR2025-01021 (Patent 11,969,333 B2)  
IPR2025-01025 (Patent 11,974,910 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that neither party shall file a request for rehearing or Director Review of this decision until the Board issues a decision on institution.

IPR2025-01021 (Patent 11,969,333 B2)

IPR2025-01025 (Patent 11,974,910 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Joshua Stowell

Joseph Re

Brian Barnes

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON, & BEAR, LLP

2jys@knobbe.com

2jrr@knobbe.com

2bcb@knobbe.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Joseph Hamilton

Paul Parker

PERKINS COIE LLP

hamilton-ptab@perkinscoie.com

parker-ptab@perkinscoie.com