

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE, LLC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ART RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY LLC,
Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2025-01406
U.S. Patent No. 10,609,442

MOTION TO WITHDRAW PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|---------------------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 10,609,442 B2 (“ the ’442 patent ”) |
| 1002 | Declaration of Dr. Henry Houh |
| 1003 | C.V. of Dr. Henry Houh |
| 1004 | Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 15/479,774 (which Issued as the ’442 Patent) |
| 1005 | U.S. Patent No. 8,826,117 B1 (“ June ”) |
| 1006 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2018/0190323 A1 (“ De Jong ”) |
| 1007 | Intentionally left blank |
| 1008 | Intentionally left blank |
| 1009 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2015/0139610 A1 (“ Syed ”) |
| 1010 | Intentionally left blank |
| 1011 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2015/0180820 A1 (“ Lee ”) |
| 1012 | U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2016/0350430 A1 (“ Kwon ”) |
| 1013 | U.S. Patent No. 10,031,949 B2 (“ Giunio-Zorkin ”) |
| 1014 | U.S. Patent No. 10,180,989 B2 (“ Dayan ”) |
| 1015 | U.S. Patent No. 8,341,123 B2 |
| 1016 | Marcus, “Graphical user interfaces.” Handbook of humancomputer interaction. North-Holland, 1997. 423-440. |
| 1017 | Jansen, “The graphical user interface.” ACM SIGCHI Bulletin 30.2 (1998): 22–26. |
| 1018 | Galitz, “The essential guide to user interface design: an introduction to GUI design principles and techniques.” John Wiley & Sons, 2007. |

| | |
|------|--|
| 1019 | Microsoft Press, “Microsoft computer dictionary.” Microsoft Press, 2002. |
| 1020 | U.S. Patent No. 9,697,230 B2 (“ Houh ”) |
| 1021 | Intl. Pub. No. WO2016/174524 A2 |
| 1022 | Redline of Ex-1006 and Ex-1021 |
| 1023 | U.S. Patent No. 7,801,910 B2 |
| 1024 | Munford Librarian Declaration |
| 1025 | Order Granting Motion to Dismiss, <i>ART Research and Technology v. Google, LLC et al.</i> , Civ. Action No. 3:24-cv-04898 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2025) |
| 1026 | Order Granting Stipulated Judgment and Dismissal, <i>ART Research and Technology v. Google, LLC et al.</i> , Civ. Action No. 3:24-cv-04898 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 26, 2025) |

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.72 and the Board’s authorization by email dated December 10, 2025, Petitioner Google, LLC (“Google” or “Petitioner”) moves to withdraw its Petition for *Inter Partes* Review concerning U.S. Patent No. 10,609,442 (“the ’442 Patent”) and thereby terminate IPR2025-01406 pre-institution. Patent Owner, ART Research and Technology LLC (“ART” or “Patent Owner”), does not oppose this Motion. Withdrawal of the Petition for IPR will preserve the resources of the Board and the parties.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On August 8, 2024, ART asserted the ’442 Patent against Google in the action entitled *ART Research and Technology LLC v. Google, LLC*, NDCA-3-24-cv-04898 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2024) (the “Litigation”). In response, Petitioner filed the instant petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1 and 5-11 of the ’442 Patent, which was accorded a filing date of August 13, 2025. *Google, LLC v. ART Research and Technology LLC*, IPR2025-01406 (PTAB Aug. 18, 2025).

On September 29, 2025, the District Court granted Petitioner’s motion to dismiss, and the Litigation was terminated pursuant to stipulated judgment on November 26, 2025. Copies of the District’s Court’s order granting the motion to dismiss and the terminating the litigation are attached as Exhibits 1025 and 1026 to this Motion. As of the date of this Motion, the Board has not rendered a decision on

institution. Patent Owner confirmed that it does not oppose the withdrawal of the Petition in IPR2025-01406.

Additionally, Petitioner has simultaneously filed similarly unopposed motions to withdraw in *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2025-01403 of U.S. Patent No. 10,084,840, *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2025-01404 of U.S. Patent No. 9,451,001, and *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2025-01405 of U.S. Patent No. 10,681,103.

III. ARGUMENT

Good cause exists to grant Petitioner’s Motion pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a) and 42.72. IPR2025-01406 remains at the earliest procedural stage: the Board has not reached a decision on institution. Notably, Patent Owner does not oppose this Motion to withdraw the Petition.

As the Board has recognized, early termination by petition withdrawal—particularly when unopposed—promotes efficiency and substantially conserves the Board’s and parties’ resources. *Reolink Digital Technology Co., Ltd. v. KT Imaging USA, LLD*, IPR2024-01154, Paper 6, 2 (PTAB Sep. 23, 2024) (“determin[ing] that it is appropriate to grant Petitioner’s Motion to withdraw the Petitions and terminate the proceedings” where “Patent Owner ... does not oppose the withdrawal of the Petition” and “proceeding[s] are in their preliminary stages, and no decisions on whether to institute *inter partes* review have been made.”); *see*

Patent 10,609,442
Motion to Withdraw IPR Petition

also DirectTV, LLC v. Entropic Communications, LLC, IPR2024-01063, Paper 8, 2-3 (PTAB Oct. 17, 2024).

Further, termination of the instant IPR is appropriate because all pending disputes between the parties concerning the '103 Patent have been resolved in the district court litigation, and the concurrent litigation has been dismissed. (Ex. 1026). Under such circumstances, withdrawal serves the interests of the parties and the Board and furthers the objectives of 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) in “secur[ing] the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding.”

IV. CONCLUSION

For at least these reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion to Withdraw the above-captioned IPR petition and thereby terminate the instant IPR proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew A. Smith
Matthew A. Smith, Reg. No. 49,003
SMITH BALUCH LLP
700 Pennsylvania Ave SE, Suite 2060
Washington, DC 20003
smith@smithbaluch.com

Counsel for Petitioner Google LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above-captioned “MOTION TO WITHDRAW PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW” was served in its entirety on December 16, 2025, by filing this document through the Patent Trial and Appeal Case Tracking System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail upon the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner:

Rowan P. Smith rsmith@messner.com

Isaac Crum icrum@messner.com

amullarkey@messner.com

By: /s/ Matthew A. Smith
Matthew A. Smith, Reg. No. 49,003

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER