

1 Eric B. Hull (#291167)
BOWSE DAVIS HUFFINE CHUNG & HULL
2 1149 N. Gower Street, Suite 216
Los Angeles, California 90038
3 Telephone: (323) 785-2912
ehull@bdhch.com

4 Sean J. O'Hara (pro hac vice)
5 KERCSMAR & O'HARA PLLC
8800 East Raintree Drive, Suite 310
6 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
Telephone: (480)421-1001
7 Facsimile: (480) 421-1002
sjo@KandOlaw.com

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9 ART RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY LLC

NATHAN B. SABRI (Bar No. 252216)
nsabri@perkinscoie.com
ROBIN L. BREWER (Bar No. 253686)
rbrewer@perkinscoie.com
JONATHAN I. TIETZ (pro hac vice)
jtietz@perkinscoie.com
DORIANNE SALMON (pro hac vice)
dsalmon@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
505 Howard Street, Suite 1000
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 344-7000

Attorneys for Defendants
GOOGLE LLC and YOUTUBE, LLC

10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14

15 ART RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY LLC,
16 Plaintiff,
17 v.
18 GOOGLE LLC, and YOUTUBE, LLC,
19 Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-CV-04898-AMO

~~PROPOSED~~ **STIPULATED JUDGMENT
AND ORDER**

Judge: Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
GOOGLE EXHIBIT 1023

1 Plaintiff ART Research and Technology LLC (“ART Research”) and Defendants Google
2 LLC and YouTube, LLC (collectively, “Defendants”) (collectively, the “Parties”) through their
3 respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, ART Research filed Case No. 3:24-cv-04898-AMO against Defendants on
5 August 8, 2024;

6 WHEREAS, ART Research has asserted that Defendants infringe United States Patent
7 Numbers 9,451,001 (“’001 patent”), 10,084,840 (“’840 patent”); 10,609,442 (“’442 patent”), and
8 10,681,103 (“’103 patent”) (collectively, “the asserted patents”);

9 WHEREAS, Defendants deny infringement, and have asserted a number of affirmative
10 defenses and counterclaims, including that the asserted patents are invalid;

11 WHEREAS, on October 4, 2024, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss all asserted claims
12 in this case on the grounds that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 (Dkt. No. 22);

13 WHEREAS, on September 29, 2025, this Court issued an *Order Granting Motion to*
14 *Dismiss* (Dkt. No. 34), determining the asserted patents invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and granting
15 ART Research leave to amend;

16 WHEREAS, the Parties collectively wish to resolve this case;

17 WHEREAS, ART Research does not intend to amend its complaint;

18 WHEREAS, ART Research accepts the Court’s Order finding the asserted patents invalid
19 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 (Dkt. No. 34) as final and waives any right to appeal;

20 WHEREAS, provided ART Research does not appeal, each Party agrees to bear its
21 respective fees and costs associated with this case;

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in favor of
23 Defendants, and against ART Research, that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101
24 as set forth in the Court’s Order (Dkt. No. 34).

25 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

