

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

SANASTAR, INC., d/b/a WIZKID PRODUCTS
a Florida Corporation,

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,

v.

FRESH PRODUCTS LLC,
an Ohio Limited Liability Company,

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff.

CASE NO.: 3:25-cv-00418-JZ

Hon. Jack Zouhary

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff, SANASTAR, INC., d/b/a WIZKID PRODUCTS., a Florida Corporation (“SANASTAR”), and FRESH PRODUCTS LLC (“FRESH PRODUCTS”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby files this Joint Status Report as ordered by the Court on April 22, 2025. *See* ECF 21.

On April 22, 2025 this Court ordered the parties to hold a conference to discuss various topics, including the status of Defendant’s Inter Partes Review (“IPR”) of the Asserted Patents; any departure from the local rules; and suggesting an initial case schedule. Following this outline, the parties held a conference on June 25, 2025 to work through these topics and determine how the litigation should proceed. The result of that conference is as follows:

Defendant intends to file an IPR for each of the Asserted Patents in July, although Defendant does not intend to file them simultaneously. Defendant will file an IPR against one of the Asserted Patents sometime in July, and the second IPR will be filed after the first IPR is filed, but no later than July 31, 2025. Defendant also indicates that it will file a Motion to Stay pending IPR with this Court within seven days of filing the second IPR Petition.

Fresh Products, LLC v. Sanastar, Inc.
IPR2025-01339
Fresh Products Exhibit 1027

The parties do not intend to deviate from the Local Rules by much, if at all. The only deviation is in regard to the case schedule outlined therein, starting with Plaintiff's obligation to file Initial Infringement Contentions within 15 days after the filing of Defendant's Answer. The parties mutually agreed that the Local Rules, including the timing provisions of each party's obligations thereunder, will be triggered based on this Court's adjudication of Defendant's forthcoming Motion to Stay. Specifically, should this Court deny Defendant's Motion to Stay, all case deadlines under the Local Rules shall commence fifteen (15) days after such denial. If, however, the Court grants Defendant's Motion to Stay, the application of the Local Rules' event deadlines will activate pursuant to parameters established by the Court's order. Based on the foregoing, the parties intend to follow the case schedule as outlined in the Local Patent Rules, which the parties mutually agree should only become effective as described above.

Dated: June 30, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Alexander D. Brown/

Alexander D. Brown (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)

abrown@conceptlaw.com

Zac Davis (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)

zdavis@conceptlaw.com

THE CONCEPT LAW GROUP, P.A.

6400 North Andrews Avenue, Suite 500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

(t) 754-300-1500

(f) 754-300-1501

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ Joseph F. Jennings

Joseph F. Jennings

joe.jennings@knobbe.com

Rhett D. Ramsey

rhett.ramsey@knobbe.com

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

(t) 949-760-0404

Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on **June 30, 2025**, I electronically filed the foregoing document via CM/ECF, which caused a true and correct copy to be served electronically upon all entitled parties.

By: /s/Alexander D. Brown/
Alexander D. Brown, Esq. (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
abrown@conceptlaw.com
THE CONCEPT LAW GROUP, P.A.