

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Taeg Sang \(Tim\) Cho](#); [Erin Hadi](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [Ryan Thorne](#); [Lindsey Miller](#); [Cisco DynamicMesh IPR Service](#); [Lisa Phillips](#); [Elizabeth Bernard](#); [MeshDynamics](#); [Alexander Landyshev](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-01304 ('243 patent) Supplemental briefing in view of Docket Control Order
Date: Monday, December 22, 2025 10:58:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Petitioner may file the corrected reply and should do so as soon as possible.

From: Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho <TCho@desmaraisllp.com>
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2025 10:50 AM
To: Erin Hadi <EHadi@daignaultiyer.com>; Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: Ryan Thorne <RThorne@desmaraisllp.com>; Lindsey Miller <LMiller@desmaraisllp.com>; Cisco DynamicMesh IPR Service <CiscoDynamicMeshIPRService@desmaraisllp.com>; Lisa Phillips <lphillips@daignaultiyer.com>; Elizabeth Bernard <ebarnard@daignaultiyer.com>; MeshDynamics <MeshDynamics@daignaultiyer.com>; Alexander Landyshev <ALandyshev@desmaraisllp.com>
Subject: RE: IPR2025-01304 ('243 patent) Supplemental briefing in view of Docket Control Order

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Director,

Petitioner seeks leave to file a corrected Reply to Patent Owner’s supplemental brief. DM does not oppose the request to the extent Petitioner's changes to the Reply are limited to the correction shown below.

On December 18, 2025, Petitioner filed a Reply to Patent Owner’s supplemental brief. In the Reply, Petitioner stated: “Moreover, the N.D. Cal. court has not set a scheduling-conference date—let alone a trial date.” Patent Owner notified us that this statement is factually incorrect, and we agree—that statement should have read: “Moreover, the N.D. Cal. court has set a scheduling conference for January 13, 2026, but has not set a trial date.”

We regret that the Reply included this factual inaccuracy, and would like to seek an opportunity to fix the record.

Thank you,
Taeg Sang Cho
Petitioner’s Counsel

Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho | Partner

DESMARAIS LLP

T: (212) 808-1060 | E: tcho@desmaraisllp.com

From: Erin Hadi <EHadi@daignaultiyer.com>

Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 3:42 PM

To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <director_discretionary_decision@uspto.gov>

Cc: Ryan Thorne <RThorne@desmaraisllp.com>; Lindsey Miller <LMiller@desmaraisllp.com>; Cisco DynamicMesh IPR Service <CiscoDynamicMeshIPRService@desmaraisllp.com>; Lisa Phillips <lphillips@daignaultiyer.com>; Elizabeth Bernard <ebernard@daignaultiyer.com>; MeshDynamics <MeshDynamics@daignaultiyer.com>; Taeg Sang (Tim) Cho <TCho@desmaraisllp.com>; Alexander Landyshev <ALandyshev@desmaraisllp.com>

Subject: [Ext] IPR2025-01304 ('243 patent) Supplemental briefing in view of Docket Control Order

****EXTERNAL EMAIL** This email originated from outside the company. Do not click on any link unless you recognize the sender and have confidence the content is safe.**

Dear Honorable Board,

In IPR2025-01304, the Eastern District of Texas has issued a Docket Control Order scheduling a trial date. Patent Owner MeshDynamics is respectfully seeking authorization to file a one-page supplemental brief and the Docket Control Order as an accompanying exhibit. The supplemental brief will address the district court trial date set in the Docket Control Order.

Petitioner's position is as follows: Cisco does not oppose Dynamic Mesh's request for a **one**-page supplemental brief directed solely to the issue pertaining to the District Court trial date, provided that Cisco also gets a corresponding one-page reply to DM's supplemental brief due 3 business days after Dynamic Mesh's brief. Cisco does not oppose the addition of the Docket Control Order as an exhibit.

Sincerely,

Erin Hadi



Erin Hadi

Associate

Daignault Iyer LLP

This transmission, and any attached files, may contain information from the law firm of Daignault Iyer LLP which is confidential and/or legally privileged. Such information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom this transmission is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this transmitted information is strictly prohibited, that copies of this transmission and any attached files should be deleted from your disk directories immediately, and that any printed copies of this transmission or attached files should be returned to this firm. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail immediately, and we will arrange for the return to Daignault Iyer LLP of any printed copies.

This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, or distribution by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.