

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Justin Poplin](#); [Almashat, Hasan](#); [Hissan Anis](#); [Khariton, Oleg](#); [Hickman, Luca](#); [Holmes, Brandon](#); [wei.wang@glacier.law](#); [ben.wang@glacier.law](#); [ruoting.men@glacier.law](#)
Cc: [Trials](#)
Subject: RE: Request for Authorization to File Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution - IPR2025-01231
Date: Monday, December 29, 2025 1:38:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

Given the upcoming statutory due date on January 20th, we deny Petitioner's request to extend the Board's ten (10) day deadline for the Reply addressing the real party in interest issue raised in Patent Owner's Preliminary Response.

We authorize Petitioner's request to file a Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution of Counsel, limited to four (4) pages. Patent Owner is then authorized to file an Opposition within five (5) business days, limited to four (4) pages. The Board will consider Petitioner's Motion and Patent Owner's Opposition, if filed, in due course.

At this time, no conference call with parties is necessary on January 14th or 15th.

Regards,

Franchesca I. Alicea Villanueva
Supervisory Paralegal
Patent Trial & Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

From: Justin Poplin <JPoplin@avekip.com>
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2025 6:07 PM
To: Almashat, Hasan <Hasan.Almashat@Dinsmore.com>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Hissan Anis <HAnis@avekip.com>; Khariton, Oleg <Oleg.Khariton@DINSMORE.COM>; Hickman, Luca <Luca.Hickman@Dinsmore.com>; Holmes, Brandon <Brandon.Holmes@DINSMORE.COM>; wei.wang@glacier.law; ben.wang@glacier.law; ruoting.men@glacier.law
Subject: RE: Request for Authorization to File Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution - IPR2025-01231

You don't often get email from jpoplin@avekip.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Ex. 3001
IPR2025-01231

To be clear, Patent Owner does not oppose counsel for Petitioner withdrawing *after* the real party in interest issue is resolved. Patent Owner does oppose counsel for Petitioner withdrawing *before* the real party in interest issue is resolved.

Respectfully,

JUSTIN POPLIN

PRINCIPAL, ATTORNEY

7285 W 132nd Street, Suite 340

Overland Park, KS 66213

913.303.3841 | AvekIP.com



From: Almashat, Hasan <Hasan.Almashat@Dinsmore.com>

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2025 7:05 PM

To: Trials <trials@uspto.gov>

Cc: Justin Poplin <JPoplin@avekip.com>; Hissan Anis <HAnis@avekip.com>; Khariton, Oleg <Oleg.Khariton@DINSMORE.COM>; Hickman, Luca <Luca.Hickman@Dinsmore.com>; Holmes, Brandon <Brandon.Holmes@DINSMORE.COM>; wei.wang@glacier.law; ben.wang@glacier.law; ruoting.men@glacier.law

Subject: Request for Authorization to File Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution - IPR2025-01231

Your Honors:

Petitioner Shenzhen Ronglida Technology Co. Ltd. respectfully requests authorization to file a *Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution of Counsel* pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(e).

Nature of Relief Requested: Petitioner seeks to substitute its Lead Counsel. More specifically, Hasan A. Almashat and the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl LLP (collectively, “*Dinsmore*”) request to withdraw as Lead Counsel, and Petitioner has appointed Mr. Raymond Y. Chan (Reg. No. 37,484) of Glacier Law LLP to substitute as the new Lead Counsel. Mr. Wei Wang (also of Glacier Law LLP) will remain as Back-Up Counsel to ensure continuity. Petitioner further seeks a brief extension of the Board’s 10 day deadline to address the real parties in interest issue until two (2) business days after the ruling on the Motion for *Withdrawal and Substitution of Counsel*.

Times when All Parties Are Available:

- January 14, 2026
- January 15, 2026

Position of the Parties: Counsel at Dinsmore has conferred with Patent Owner’s counsel

regarding the request for the *Withdrawal and Substitution of Counsel*. Patent Owner opposes the ultimate relief sought. Counsel at Dinsmore and counsel for Patent Owner exchanged e-mails regarding this matter and engaged in a telephonic meet-and-confer. Counsel at Dinsmore inadvertently neglected to discuss the request for a potential extension of time, but understands from the conversation that Patent Owner would nonetheless oppose same.

Respectfully submitted,

Hasan Almashat

Dinsmore

Hasan A. Almashat

Associate

Dinsmore & Shohl LLP • Legal Counsel

550 S. Hope Street

Suite 1765

Los Angeles, CA 90071

T (619) 400-0533 • F (213) 335-7740

E Hasan.Almashat@Dinsmore.com • dinsmore.com