UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner,

v.

VAMPIRE LABS, LLC. Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,358,103

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2025-01215

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION			
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '103 PATENT			1
	A.	Back	ground Of The '103 Patent	1
	B.	Priori	ity Date	1
	C.	Prose	cution History Of The '103 Patent	3
	D.	Level	l of Ordinary Skill	4
III.	CLA	IM CO	INSTRUCTION	4
IV.	PREC	CISE R	REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	8
V.	STEPHENS IN VIEW OF HOROWITZ RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-6, 8, 9, AND 11-14			10
	А.	Ground by Ste	nd 1: Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 11-14 are Rendered Obvious ephens in View of Horowitz	10
		1.	Summary of Stephens	10
		2.	Summary of Horowitz	12
		3.	Claim 1	13
		4.	Claim 2	27
		5.	Claim 3	28
		6.	Claim 4	29
		7.	Claim 5	29
		8.	Claim 6	33
		9.	Claim 8	35
		10.	Claim 9	36
		11.	Claim 11	36
		12.	Claim 12	39
		13.	Claim 13	40
		14.	Claim 14	41
VI.	TOY. 1-7, A	A IN V And 9	/IEW OF HOROWITZ RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS -14	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

]	Page
	А.	Groun	nd 2: Claims 1, 2, and 9-11 are Rendered Obvious by Toya	4.4
		111 V 16	ew of Horowitz	44
		1.	Summary of Toya	44
		2.	Claim 1	46
		3.	Claim 2	62
		4.	Claim 9	63
		5.	Claim 10	64
		6.	Claim 11	67
	B.	Grou	nd 3: Claims 3-7 and 12-14 are Rendered Obvious by	
		Toya	in View of Stephens and Horowitz	68
		1.	Claim 3	69
		2.	Claim 4	71
		3.	Claim 5	72
		4.	Claim 6	74
		5.	Claim 7	76
		6.	Claim 12	78
		7.	Claim 13	78
		8.	Claim 14	78
VII.	DISC	RETIO	ONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER	
	FINT	IV		80
VIII.	NOTI	CES A	AND STATEMENTS	80
IX.	CON	CLUS	ION	82
CERT	TIFICA	TION	V OF WORD COUNT (37 C.F.R. § 42.24)	83
CERT	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(E)(4) AND 42.205(A))			

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §§ 102(a)10), 12, 44, 45
35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b)	10, 12, 44
35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e)	10, 44, 45
35 U.S.C. § 112	5
35 U.S.C. § 112(f)	5
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	8
Other Authorities	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	80
March 26, 2025 PTAB Memorandum ("Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management")	80
83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.205(a)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	80
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	81
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	82
37 C.F.R. § 42.24	83
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	9

Exhibit List

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 8,358,103 to Eastlack ("'103 Patent")	EX1001
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,358,103	EX1002
Application as filed for U.S. Provisional Patent Appl. No. 61/078,365	EX1003
Application as filed for U.S. Provisional Patent Appl. No. 61/084,616	EX1004
Application as filed for U.S. Patent Appl. No. 12/497,859	EX1005
Application as filed for U.S. Patent Appl. No. 12/511,069	EX1006
Declaration of Dr. Michael S. Chen in Support of Petition for <i>Inter</i> <i>Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,358,103	EX1007
Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Michael S. Chen	EX1008
U.S. Patent No. 5,734,254 to Stephens ("Stephens")	EX1009
P. HOROWITZ AND W. HILL, THE ART OF ELECTRONICS (Cambridge Univ. Press 2nd Ed. 1989) ("Horowitz")	EX1010
U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2008/0061733 to Toya ("Toya")	EX1011
Intel, MCS® 51 Microcontroller Family User's Manual (February 1994)	EX1012
P. SCHERZ ET AL., PRACTICAL ELECTRONICS FOR INVENTORS (McGraw-Hill 2 nd Ed. 2007) ("Scherz")	EX1013
Universal Serial Bus Specification, Revision 2.0 (April 27, 2000)	EX1014

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Petitioner" or "Apple") petitions for *inter partes* review of claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,358,103 ("the '103 Patent" (EX1001)). The challenged claims do not present any patentable improvement over the prior art, as set forth in this Petition and in the supporting Declaration of Dr. Michael S. Chen. (EX1007.)

Accordingly, Petitioner requests that the Board institute *inter partes* review of the '103 patent and cancel the claims at the conclusion of the instituted proceeding.

II. SUMMARY OF THE '103 PATENT

A. Background Of The '103 Patent

The '103 patent relates to battery chargers for devices such as mobile phones. As described by the patent, charging devices may suffer from "vampiric" power consumption that can occur while the charging unit (*e.g.*, an inductive charging unit) is coupled to an alternating current (AC) power source. (EX1001, 1:26-62.) The patent purports to limit vampiric power consumption by automatically coupling the charging unit and the AC power source to charge a battery when the battery is below a charging threshold, and then decoupling them once a desired charging state is observed. (EX1001, 1:26-62, 2:67-3:51.)

B. Priority Date

The application that became the '103 patent (Appl. No. 12/511,069) was

filed on July 29, 2009 as a continuation-in-part of Appl. No. 12/497,859, which was filed on July 6, 2009. (EX1001, (22), (63).) Appl. No. 12/511,069 claims priority to a provisional application (No. 61/078,365) filed on July 4, 2008, and to a second provisional application (No. 61/084,616) filed on July 29, 2008. (EX1001, (60).)

However, as shown in Exhibits EX1003 through EX1006, Appl. No. 12/511,069 introduced and claimed new matter not found in any of the other applications in the chain of priority. (See EX1003 through EX1006 (applications to which the '103 patent claims priority, as filed with the USPTO).) For example, all challenged claims of the '103 patent require a monitoring module to determine when a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source. However, neither the provisional applications nor the parent application supported any such supplemental power source, or any determining when a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from such a supplemental power source. Instead, it was only with the filing of the 12/511,069 application on July 29, 2009, that the applicant for the first time provided any disclosure relating to a supplemental power source or determining when a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from such a supplemental power source. (Id.; EX1002, 83.) Similarly, certain challenged claims include additional limitations that were not disclosed until the

July 29, 2009 application, including the "USB module" of claim 7, and the "optocoupled relay" of claims 11 and 14. (*See* EX1002, 82, 83.) Thus, based on the disclosures of the '103 Patent and the applications in its priority chain, and based further on the nature and scope of the claims, and the existing knowledge in the field, the challenged claims are not entitled to a priority date earlier than July 29, 2009.

In any event, under no circumstances is the '103 patent entitled to a priority date earlier than July 4, 2008. This is the filing date of the earliest application to which the '103 patent claims priority.

C. Prosecution History Of The '103 Patent

The prosecution of the '103 patent was not robust. The application that became the '103 patent was filed on July 29, 2009, and was allowed following only a single Office Action, which rejected the claims in view of the prior art. (EX1002, 39-51.) Following an Examiner's Amendment to the claims to include the claimed "separation module ... comprised of a relay switch" and "at least one of a transformer to inductively generate an electric current, a rectification circuit, and a voltage regulation circuit," a Notice of Allowance was issued, in which the Examiner identified two claim elements— the activation module and the separation module comprising a relay switch—as absent from the prior art. (EX1002, 28-33.) However, the Examiner reached this conclusion after

considering only eight references (including none of the references presented in this Petition).

D. Level of Ordinary Skill

Based on the disclosure of the '103 patent and an assumed priority date of July 29, 2009¹, a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") would have had a Bachelor of Science degree and a Masters degree in Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering, Physics, or an equivalent field, as well as one to two years of academic or industry experience in power electronics or battery charging or comparable industry experience. (EX1007, ¶27.)

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

The Board construes claims under *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005); *see also* 83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018) (Board adopting same claim construction standard used by Article III federal courts and the ITC). Under *Phillips*, claims are given their ordinary and customary meaning in light of the specification. 415 F.3d at 1315-17.

The '103 patent is the subject of co-pending litigation as identified in

¹ Even if a priority date of July 4, 2008, were applied, it would not change the level of ordinary skill, or any of the analysis herein. (*See* Section II.B; EX1007, ¶27 n.1.)

Section VIII. Patent Owner asserts that Petitioner infringes at least claim 1 of the '103 patent. The litigation is in its early stages, and the parties have not yet exchanged briefing regarding the claim construction of any terms of the '103 patent.

Petitioner does not believe any claim construction is necessary for this Petition.² As set forth in this Petition, the challenged claims are invalid under any reasonable interpretation of the claims. (EX1007, \P 29.)

Claims 1-10 and 14 recite a "connection module"; a "monitoring module"; an "activation module"; and a "separation module." (EX1001, 18:33-20:49.) Further, claim 5 recites an "interrupt controller module," and claim 7 recites a "USB module." Should the Board determine that one or more of these limitations are construed as means-plus-function limitations according to 35 U.S.C. § 112(f), Petitioner provides the respective functions and corresponding structures for each such limitation in the table below, and sets forth in the Petition how these

² In the event trial is instituted, Petitioner reserves its right to address construction of any terms raised at trial, or in the related district court action. Petitioner believes that the '103 patent claims are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, including indefiniteness. Because such issues cannot be raised in an IPR, Petitioner reserves the right to raise those issues in the related district court action.

Claim Term Function Structure Claims 1-10: Determining Connection module 1118, when a target device is and equivalents thereof coupled to an inductive (EX1001, 11:55-57, 12:9-19, power apparatus (EX1001, Fig. 11)

limitations would be met under such construction.

connection module (claims 1-10, 14)	18:34-35) <u>Claim 14</u> : Confirming whether a target device is coupled to an inductive power apparatus (EX1001, 20:23-24)	
monitoring module (claims 1-10, 14)	<u>Claims 1-10</u> : Determining when a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source (EX1001, 18:35-38) <u>Claim 14</u> : Detecting whether a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source (EX1001, 20:32-34)	Monitoring module 920 and equivalents thereof (EX1001, 11:1-5, 16:13-24)

Claim Term	Function	Structure
activation module (claims 1-10, 14)	<u>Claims 1-10</u> : Automatically coupling the inductive power apparatus and an alternating current power source when a power level of the target device battery is below the charging threshold (EX1001, 18:39-42) <u>Claim 14</u> : Automatically coupling the target device and the alternating current power source using the inductive power apparatus when the target device battery is below the charging threshold (EX1001, 20:41-44)	Activation module 922 and equivalents thereof (EX1001, 3:50-51, 7:55-8:5, 8:22-29, 10:50-55, 17:5-9, Figs. 4, 11)

Claim Term	Function	Structure
separation module (claims 1-10, 14)	<u>Claims 1-10</u> : Automatically decoupling the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source when a desired charging state of the target device battery is observed (EX1001, 18:43-46) <u>Claim 14</u> : Automatically decoupling the target device and the alternating current power source when a desired charging state of the target device battery is detected by the monitoring module (EX1001, 20:45- 48)	Separation module 334 and equivalents thereof (EX1001, 7:55-8:5, 8:22-29, 10:50-55, Figs. 4, 11)
interrupt controller module (claim 5)	Generating an interrupt signal determined by the feedback signal received by the input buffer (EX1001, 19:9-11)	Interrupt controller module 1042 and equivalents thereof (EX1001, 10:22-24, 11:13- 16)
USB module (claim 7)	Generating an engage signal to control the coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source (EX1001, 19:19-21)	USB module 1052 and equivalents thereof (EX1001, 10:24-30, 13:21- 40, Fig. 12)

IV. PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq. (including

§ 42.104(b)), Petitioner requests cancellation of claims 1-14 of the '103 Patent

based on the following references and the accompanying Declaration of Dr.

Michael S. Chen (EX1007).

Prior Art Reference	Abbreviation
U.S. Patent No. 5,734,254 to Stephens ("Stephens")	Stephens (EX1009)
P. HOROWITZ AND W. HILL, THE ART OF ELECTRONICS (Cambridge Univ. Press 2nd Ed. 1989)	Horowitz (EX1010)
U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2008/0061733 to Toya ("Toya")	Toya (EX1011)

The statutory grounds for the challenge of each claim are set forth below.

Throughout the Petition, all statutory citations are pre-AIA based on the earliest

possible priority date of July 4, 2008.

Ground	35 U.S.C. §	Claims	Prior Art References
1	103	1-6, 8, 9, 11-14	Stephens, Horowitz
2	103	1, 2, 9-11	Toya, Horowitz
3	103	3-7, 12-14	Toya, Stephens, Horowitz

Below, Petitioner discusses why the challenged claims are unpatentable, including by specifying how and where the prior art satisfies each limitation of each challenged claim, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).³ Petitioner's showing establishes a reasonable likelihood that it will prevail on each ground of invalidity as to each challenged claim.

V. STEPHENS IN VIEW OF HOROWITZ RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-6, 8, 9, AND 11-14

Stephens in view of Horowitz teaches each and every limitation of claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 11-14 of the '103 patent, for the reasons set forth below. (EX1007, ¶31.)

A. Ground 1: Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 11-14 are Rendered Obvious by Stephens in View of Horowitz

1. Summary of Stephens

Stephens is a U.S. Patent issued to inventor Charles S. Stephens. The underlying application was filed on December 6, 1996, and issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,734,254 on March 31, 1998. Stephens is thus prior art to the '103 patent under at least 35 U.S.C. §§102(a), (b), and (e).

Stephens discloses battery packs, AC adapters, and charging systems for portable electronic devices, such as cell phones. (EX1009, 1:6-8; EX1007, ¶33.) Figure 1 illustrates:

³ Petitioner also shows how each claim preamble is satisfied, but does not concede that any preamble is limiting for any ground in the Petition.



(EX1009, Fig. 1, 2:47-4:21; EX1007, ¶33.)

In embodiments, a battery charging system includes an adapter (40) and a battery pack (10) with a battery (12). (EX1009, 1:64-2:19, 3:59-4:14; EX1007, \P 34.) A proximity indicating device (38) of the battery pack indicates that the battery pack is positioned for charging. (EX1009, 3:50-58; EX1007, \P 34.) The adapter comprises a primary transformer winding (62) that emits an AC power signal controlled by a power selector (60). (EX1009, 1:64-2:19, 3:59-4:14; EX1007, \P 34.) The AC power signal is inductively coupled to a secondary

transformer winding (32) of the battery pack, which is used to charge the battery via a power converter (30). (EX1009, 1:64-2:19, 3:8-41; EX1007, ¶34.) The adapter includes a controller 50, which may be an Intel 8051 microprocessor. (EX1009, 3:59-4:6; EX1007, ¶34.)

Stephens is analogous art to the claimed invention because it is directed to the same field of endeavor—electronic circuits, including for battery charging. (EX1007, ¶35.) By disclosing battery chargers that flexibly "permit[] a battery of any size and voltage to be charged," Stephens is reasonably pertinent to the problem faced by the '103 patent inventor—providing battery charging systems that couple a power source and an inductive power apparatus to charge a device battery. (EX1009, 1:5-42; EX1001, 1:19-39; EX1007, ¶35.)

2. Summary of Horowitz

Horowitz is an electronics textbook published in 1989, setting forth a "definitive volume teaching the art of the subject." (EX1010, *xix*; EX1007, ¶36.) Horowitz is prior art to the '103 patent under at least 35 U.S.C. §§102(a) and (b).

Relevant to this proceeding, Horowitz includes, in a chapter titled "Foundations," disclosure of switches ("mundane but important devices [that] seem to wind up in most electronic equipment"), including relay switches. (EX1010, 53-55; EX1007, ¶37.) Horowitz further discloses, in a chapter titled "Digital Meets Analog," the use of opto-coupler relays, including examples of

"nearly every kind of opto-coupler you are likely to encounter." (EX1010, 595-99; EX1007, ¶37.) Horowitz provides specific instructions, including schematics and instrumentation diagrams, for implementing opto-coupler relays into practical systems. (EX1010, 595-99; EX1007, ¶37.)

Horowitz is analogous art to the claimed invention at least because it is a "definitive volume" in the same field of endeavor (electronic circuits) as the '103 patent; and provides disclosures analogous to those of the '103 patent. (EX1010, *xix*; EX1007, ¶38.) Horowitz provides specific guidance for implementing such components, and is thus reasonably pertinent to the problem of battery charger design faced by the inventor of the '103 patent. (*Id*.)

3. Claim 1

a. [Preamble] An inductive battery charging system, comprising:

Stephens' "object" is to "provide a battery and corresponding charger." (EX1009, 1:40-42; EX1007, ¶40.) The battery charging system is inductive: for example, in the charging system, an AC adapter is configured for "*inductively* charging another battery pack or portable electronic device." (EX1009, 1:64-2:19 (emphasis added); EX1007, ¶40.)

b. [A] a connection module to determine when a target device is coupled to an inductive power apparatus;

Stephens' proximity indicating device 38 (of battery pack 10, *i.e.*, the target

device) and proximity detector 68 (of adapter 40) indicate via signals to controller 50 that the battery pack is proximate to the adapter and "positioned for charging" via inductive coupling of the transformer windings (32, 62). (EX1009, 2:8-19, 3:50-58, Fig. 1; EX1007, ¶41.) Figure 1 illustrates:



(EX1009, Fig. 1 (annotated); EX1007, ¶41.)

The claimed connection module is thus met by controller 50 in communication with proximity indicating device 38 and proximity detector 68. (EX1007, ¶42.)

The primary transformer winding 62 of adapter 40 becomes "inductively coupled to secondary transformer winding 32," thus "form[ing] a complete transformer." (EX1009, 4:10-14; EX1007, ¶43.) Primary transformer winding 62, and/or the "complete transformer" formed with secondary transformer winding 32, meet the claimed inductive power apparatus. (EX1007, ¶43.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *connection module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Stephens in view of Horowitz. (See Section III; EX1007, ¶44.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, (44.) And as described below for claim 5, element [B], controller 50 is preferably an Intel 8051 processor that includes an input buffer, at least via general purpose I/O ports used for input and output signals (*e.g.*, from proximity detector 68). (EX1001, 12:20-22, 14:60-64; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶44.) Further, controller 50 can include "sensing, feedback and control logic". (EX1009, 3:8-13, 3:20-26, 3:63-67; EX1007, ¶44.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's connection module comprising a sense feedback loop and an input buffer that identifies coupling and receives input indicative of the same. (EX1001, 11:55-57, 12:9-19, Fig. 10; EX1007, ¶44.) Thus, the corresponding structure is met. (EX1007, $\P44$.)

c. [B] a monitoring module to determine when a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source; and

Stephens discloses that "sensed battery conditions are propagated from

battery 12 through IR port 24 to controller 50 in adapter 40." (EX1009, 3:65-67;

EX1007, ¶45.) Figure 1 (annotated below) illustrates:



(EX1009, Fig. 1 (annotated); EX1007, ¶45.)

The claimed monitoring module is thus met by controller 50 in

communication with battery 12 via IR ports 24, 54. (EX1007, ¶46.) The sensed conditions include a "threshold voltage," *e.g.*, a full charge threshold, below which the battery may be "normally charged"; and at which charging may be discontinued. (EX1009, 2:52-57, 2:64-67, 3:1-7, 3:14-33, 3:63-4:6; EX1007, ¶46.)

The supplemental power source is met at least by battery 12 itself. (EX1007, ¶47.) This is consistent with the '103 patent. For example, claim 9, which depends from claim 1, recites that the supplemental power source is comprised of "*at least one of a target device battery*, a charger system battery and an alternate inductive power apparatus." (EX1001, 19:27-30 (emphasis added), 2:51-53; EX1007, ¶47.) Thus a supplemental power source comprising a target device battery, such as Stephens' battery 12, is within the scope of the supplemental power source of the parent claim 1. (EX1007, ¶47.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *monitoring module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Stephens in view of Horowitz. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶48.) The recited function (*see* Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, ¶48.) Controller 50 is preferably an Intel 8051 processor, and as described above, is configured to receive "sensed battery conditions [that] are propagated from battery 12 through IR port 24." (EX1009, 3:59-67; EX1007, ¶48.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure that a

processor and a battery monitor of the monitoring module are coupled to the target device to evaluate the target device's battery level. (EX1001, 11:1-5; EX1007, $\P48$.) Further, the '103 patent discloses that the monitoring module can use the processor to check the status of a GPIO input port; this is equivalent to controller 50 in Stephens, in which an Intel 8051 processor utilizes its general purpose I/O ports for input signals (*e.g.*, the sensed battery conditions received from IR port 24). (EX1001, 14:60-64; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, $\P48$.) Thus, the corresponding structure is met. (EX1007, $\P48$.)

d. [C] an activation module to automatically couple the inductive power apparatus and an alternating current power source when a power level of the target device battery is below the charging threshold;

In Stephens, controller 50 provides control signals to power selector 60, which is "coupled between the primary transformer winding [62] and an AC input," to control the coupling of the primary transformer winding and the AC input. (EX1009, 1:64-2:19, 3:14-23, 3:50-4:14, 6:22-25, Fig. 1; EX1007, ¶49.) Figure 1 illustrates:



(EX1009, Fig. 1 (annotated); EX1007, ¶49.)

Stephens' control signals can cause power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 to automatically "discontinue charging once a full charge is reached and sustained." (EX1009, 3:16-26, 1:64-2:13, 4:7-14; EX1007, ¶50.) Conversely, because the battery is "normally charged by a high power charge until [the] threshold voltage is reached," the POSITA would understand Stephens to likewise teach that the control signals would cause power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 to automatically charge the battery when the battery's power level is

below the full charge threshold. (EX1009, 3:1-26, 2:5-13, 4:7-14; EX1007, ¶50.) This is at least because POSITA would understand that once battery charging is "discontinue[d]," the battery's power level will dissipate (particularly if the battery pack is installed in a device such as a notebook computer or cellular telephone, see EX1009, 2:49-52), such that it would eventually deplete without being reconnected to the AC power source for recharging. (EX1009, 3:23-26; EX1007, ¶50.) The POSITA would understand this to defeat the purpose of Stephens' battery charging system, which is configured to "reach[] and sustain[]" a full charge for rechargeable batteries such as lithium-ion and nickel metal hydride batteries. (EX1009, 3:23-33; EX1007, ¶50.) Further, as Stephens indicates, the regulation of such batteries is known in the art. (EX1009, 3:31-33; EX1007, ¶50.) The POSITA thus would understand Stephens' charger to apply known techniques to couple the inductive apparatus to the battery pack and maintain its charge. (EX1007, ¶50.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *activation module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Stephens in view of Horowitz. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶51.) The recited functions (*see* Section III) are met as described above. (EX1007, ¶51.) With respect to structure, Stephens in view of Horowitz teaches that the power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 of the separation module comprises relay switches, such as decoupling relays, as described below for elements [D] and

[E].) (EX1007, $\P51$.) The '103 patent discloses that the activation module uses a processor to control a relay of the separation module, *i.e.*, to couple or decouple the transformer 104 from the AC power source 102, as described above. (EX1001, 17:5-9; EX1007, $\P51$.) This is met at least equivalently by controller 50, which is a processor that provides signals to power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 to control a relay, such that the battery is "normally charged by a high power charge until a threshold voltage is reached," after which charging may be discontinued. (EX1009, 3:1-4, 3:16-26; EX1007, $\P51$.)

e. [D] a separation module to automatically decouple the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source when a desired charging state of the target device battery is observed,

As described above for element [C], Stephens discloses that the battery is "normally charged by a high power charge until a threshold voltage is reached," after which charging may be discontinued. (EX1009, 3:1-4, 3:16-26; EX1007, ¶52.) For example, "after a threshold voltage across battery 12 is sensed," controller 50 provides control signals to power selector 60, which is "coupled between the primary transformer winding [62] and an AC input." (EX1009, 1:64-2:19, 3:14-23, 3:50-4:14, 6:22-25, Fig. 1; EX1007, ¶52.) The control signals can cause power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 to automatically "discontinue charging once a full charge is reached and sustained." (EX1009, 3:16-26, 1:64-





(EX1009, Fig. 1 (annotated); EX1007, ¶52.)

It would have been apparent to the POSITA that this discontinuing the charging could be performed by decoupling the primary transformer winding 62 and the AC input. (EX1007, ¶53.) Stephens discloses that power converter 30 can include "decoupling relays." (EX1009, 3:34-40; EX1007, ¶53.) A relay is an electrically controlled switch, so the decoupling relays will decouple the terminals of the power converter 30. (EX1010, 55; EX1007, ¶53.)

To the extent not expressly disclosed in Stephens, it would have been obvious to the POSITA for power selector 60 to similarly include decoupling relays, analogous to the decoupling relays of Stephens' power converter 30. (EX1007, ¶54.) Stephens' power converter 30 and power selector 60 can perform analogous functions; *i.e.*, either or both can "control the level of an AC power signal output." (EX1009, 2:8-13; EX1007, ¶54.) The POSITA would have understood that by controlling the AC power signal output via power selector 60 instead of power converter 30, which belongs to the battery pack 10, the battery pack 10 could be made smaller and lighter by offloading components to the power selector 60 (of adapter 40)—a particular advantage for Stephens' lithium ion batteries, which, as Stephens recognizes, are known for advantageously being compact and lightweight. (EX1009, 2:60-67; EX1007, ¶54.) Additionally, as Stephens notes, providing components external to the battery pack 10 can advantageously allow a battery pack with "more universal application" that is "relatively inexpensive to manufacture." (EX1009, 4:2-6; EX1007, ¶54.)

(i) Motivation to Combine

While Stephens describes various "known configurations" of power converter 30 for controlling AC power signals, it does not provide similar detail for power selector 60. (EX1009, 3:34-40; EX1007, ¶55.) Accordingly, the POSITA would be motivated to look to other teachings of how to implement AC power

control in power selector 60. (EX1007, ¶55.)

The POSITA would look to decoupling relays, because Stephens expressly describes their use in a different component of the same system to perform an analogous task (controlling AC power signals). (EX1009, 3:34-40; EX1007, ¶56.) The POSITA would also consult well-known and authoritative literature in the art, such as Horowitz, for guidance. (EX1007, ¶56.) This is particularly because Horowitz is a comprehensive and widely used textbook in the same field (electronics) as Stephens and the '103 patent, setting forth a "definitive volume teaching the art of the subject." (EX1010, *xix*; EX1007, ¶56.) For example, Horowitz includes specific passages guiding the POSITA's implementation of switching components such as relays. (EX1010, 55; EX1007, ¶56.)

Horowitz explains that a "primary use[]" of relays is for high-voltage or high-current switching. (EX1010, 55; EX1007, ¶57.) Horowitz describes specific advantages of relays: "relays are useful to switch ac power while keeping the control signals electrically isolated." (EX1010, 55; EX1007, ¶57.) This is analogous to the task performed by the power selector 60: "selecting a level of power emitted" from a high-voltage AC input (*e.g.*, 90-260 volts), based on control signals from controller 50. (EX1009, 1:64-2:13, 4:15-18; EX1007, ¶57.) The POSITA would thus be motivated to combine a relay, such as the decoupling relays of Stephens, with the power selector 60 to realize the advantages described

in Horowitz. (EX1007, ¶57.) Further, because decoupling relays are a "known configuration[]" and are already used in Stephens' battery charging system, the POSITA would have a reasonable expectation of success in making the combination. (EX1009, 3:34-40; EX1007, ¶57.)

(ii) Means-Plus-Function

If *separation module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Stephens in view of Horowitz. (See Section III; EX1007, ¶58.) The recited functions (see Section III) are met as described above. (EX1007, **§**58.) And Stephens in view of Horowitz teaches that the power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 comprises relay switches, such as decoupling relays; and that, as described in the '103 patent, the relay switches may include opto-coupled relays (as shown below for claim 11, element [F]) or electromechanical relays (such as described in Horowitz). (EX1001, 3:50-51; EX1010, 55, 595-98; EX1007, ¶58.) Further, in Stephens, the power converter 30 and/or power selector 60 receives signals from controller 50 that cause it to automatically "discontinue charging once a full charge is reached and sustained," *i.e.*, by decoupling the primary transformer winding 62 from AC power. (EX1009, 3:16-26, 1:64-2:13, 4:7-14; EX1007, ¶58.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure that the separation module receives an output voltage of a comparator, which controls whether a relay couples or decouples the transformer

104 from the AC power source 102. (EX1001, 7:55-8:5, 8:22-29, Fig. 9; EX1007, ¶58.)

f. [E] wherein the separation module is comprised of a relay switch,

This element is met as for element [D]. (EX1007, ¶59.) For example, as described above, Stephens discloses "decoupling relays" and, at least in view of Horowitz, teaches that power selector 60 may include such a relay. (EX1007, ¶59.) The POSITA would understand decoupling relays to comprise a relay switch. (EX1007, ¶59; *see also* EX1010, 55 ("Relays are electrically controlled switches.").)

g. [F] wherein the inductive power apparatus includes at least one of a transformer to inductively generate an electric current, a rectification circuit, and a voltage regulation circuit.

Stephens' inductive power apparatus includes a transformer to inductively generate an electric current, at least because primary transformer winding 62 becomes "inductively coupled to secondary transformer winding 32" and thus inductively generates electric current to charge battery 12. (EX1009, 4:7-14; EX1007, ¶60.) Additionally, the inductive power apparatus includes power selector 60, which meets a voltage regulation circuit at least because it is used for "selecting a level of power emitted from the primary transformer winding," and utilizes control signals from controller 50 to output that controlled level of AC

power. (EX1009, 1:64-2:13; EX1007, $\P60$.) That is, the POSITA would understand the power selector 60 to include a circuit that regulates, *e.g.*, a rootmean-square (RMS) voltage level of the AC signal provided to the primary transformer winding 62, based on the selected level of power. (EX1007, $\P60$.)

Additionally, Stephens discloses that AC/DC converter 70, of adapter 40, "produce[s] a regulated output consisting of a positive voltage and corresponding negative return voltage." (EX1009, 4:15-21; EX1007, ¶61.) The POSITA would thus understand the inductive power apparatus, via AC/DC converter 70, to include a voltage regulation circuit to produce that regulated output. (EX1007, ¶61.)

4. Claim 2

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 1,

The preamble is met as for claim 1. $(EX1007, \P63.)$

b. [A] wherein the relay switch of the separation module is deactivated when the target device and the inductive power apparatus are decoupled.

Stephens' control signals are for "controlling the charging of a battery pack in the proximity of the adapter," *i.e.*, by "control[ling] the level of an AC power signal output from the power selector". (EX1009, 2:5-10; EX1007, ¶64.) The control signals are based on proximity sensors that indicate when the battery pack is proximate to the adapter and "positioned for charging." (EX1009, 2:8-19, 3:50-58, 4:7-14, Fig. 1; EX1007, ¶64.) It would have been obvious that when the

battery pack and the adapter are decoupled, and thus not positioned for charging, the control signals would deactivate the relay switch of the power selector 60, so as to not provide AC power to charge the battery. (EX1007, \P 64.)

To the extent that "wherein the relay switch … is deactivated" is understood to require disconnecting the relay switch itself from a power source, this is also met by Stephens in view of Horowitz: it would have been obvious to the POSITA to perform such disconnection when the target device and the inductive power apparatus are decoupled. (EX1007, ¶65.) This is to avoid unnecessary power consumption in the separation module, *e.g.*, power consumption by a light sensing component (*e.g.*, for a light source) in an opto-coupled relay, which does not need to detect light while the target device and inductive power apparatus are decoupled. (*See* EX1010, 595-99; EX1007, ¶65.) Avoiding such power consumption in a battery charging circuit would have been a goal of the POSITA. (EX1007, ¶65.)

5. Claim 3

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 1, further comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶67.)

b. [A] a processor of the monitoring module coupled to the target device, wherein the processor is used to evaluate a target device battery power level with respect to the charging threshold.

Stephens' controller 50 includes "sensing, feedback and control logic"

coupled to battery 12, such that "after a threshold voltage across battery 12 is sensed," controller 50 propagates control signals to discontinue charging. (EX1009, 3:8-26, 3:63-67; EX1007, ¶68.) Stephens further discloses that controller 50 "is preferably an Intel 8051 or like processor." (EX1009, 3:59-60; EX1007, ¶68.)

6. Claim 4

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 3, further comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 3. (EX1007, ¶70.)

b. [A] a battery monitor coupled to the target device to determine the target device battery power level;

This element is met as for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶71.)

c. [B] wherein the target device is comprised of a mobile device.

Stephens' battery pack 10 is suitable for use in a portable electronic device

such as a cellular telephone. (EX1009, 2:49-52; EX1007, ¶72.) The POSITA

would understand battery pack 10 to itself be a mobile device at least because of its

inclusion in other mobile devices such as cellular telephones. (EX1007, ¶72.)

7. Claim 5

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging system of claim 1, further comprising:*

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶74.)

b. [A] a sense feedback loop of the connection module to identify whether the target device is coupled with at least one of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶75.)

c. [B] an input buffer of the connection module to receive a feedback signal; and

Stephens' controller 50 is configured to receive a "binary signal" propagated by proximity detector 68 to indicate that the battery pack is positioned for charging with respect to the adapter. (EX1009, 3:50-56; EX1007, ¶76.) Stephens additionally discloses that sensing logic receives signals from the sensors, and "provides feedback signals to the control logic" in controller 50. EX1009, 3:14-26, 3:65-67; EX1007, ¶76.)

The feedback signal is received by an input buffer of the connection module at least because controller 50 is "preferably an Intel 8051 or like processor." (EX1009, 3:59-4:6; EX1007, ¶77.) According to the '103 patent, an input buffer is met by General Purpose Input Output (GPIO) hardware, *e.g.*, a GPIO input port, such as the general purpose I/O ports used for input and output in the Intel 8051:


INTRODUCTION

The 8051 is the original member of the MCS®-51 family, and is the core for all MCS-51 devices. The features of the 8051 core are:

- 8-bit CPU optimized for control applications
- Extensive Boolean processing (single-bit logic) capabilities
- 64K Program Memory address space
- 64K Data Memory address space
- 4K bytes of on-chip Program Memory
- 128 bytes of on-chip Data RAM
- 32 bidirectional and individually addressable I/O lines
- Two 16-bit timer/counters
- Full duplex UART
- 6-source/5-vector interrupt structure with two priority levels
- On-chip clock oscillator

The basic architectural structure of this 8051 core is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Block Diagram of the 8051 Core

(EX1001, 12:20-22, 14:60-64; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶77.)

d. [C] an interrupt controller module to generate an interrupt signal determined by the feedback signal received by the input buffer.

Stephens' controller 50 receives feedback signals provided by proximity detector 68, indicating whether the battery pack is ready for charging; and responds to the feedback signals "in turn" by "regulat[ing] AC/DC power converter 30 by

setting known parameters therein." (EX1009, 3:16-23; EX1007, ¶78.) To the extent not expressly disclosed, it would have been obvious for controller 50 to include a interrupt controller module to generate the claimed interrupt signal—at least because the Intel 8051 provides five individually programmable interrupt sources, including external interrupt sources that can generate an interrupt signal and enter an interrupt service routine based on, *e.g.*, signals (such as from proximity detector 68) received at a GPIO input port, *e.g.*, an INTx pin. (EX1012, 1-20–1-22, 2-12–2-13, 3-23–3-25; EX1007, ¶78.) This is analogous to the '103 patent's description that "a logic state change on the input buffer 1138 will trigger an interrupt by the interrupt controller module 1042 to initiate an Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) by the processor 1044." (EX1001, 12:1-4; EX1007, ¶78.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *interrupt controller module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Stephens in view of Horowitz. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶79.) The recited function (*see* Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, ¶79.) With respect to structure, the '103 patent discloses that the interrupt controller module responds to a logic state change on the input buffer, which is a GPIO input port, by causing a processor to initiate an ISR. (EX1001, 12:1-4, 14:60-64; EX1007, ¶79.) This is met at least equivalently by controller 50, which is preferably an Intel 8051 processor; as described above, the Intel 8051

includes interrupt sources that can generate an interrupt signal and enter an interrupt service routine based on, *e.g.*, changes in logic signals (e.g., from

proximity detector 68) received at a GPIO input port, e.g., an INTx pin. (EX1009,

3:59-60; EX1012, 1-20–1-22, 2-12–2-13, 3-23–3-25; EX1007, ¶79.)

8. Claim 6

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 4, further comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 4. (EX1007, ¶81.)

b. [A] an output buffer to generate an engage signal to control a coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source.

As described above for claim 1, elements [C] and [D], controller 50 provides control signals to power selector 60 to couple and decouple the primary transformer winding 62 and the AC input. (EX1007, ¶82.)

The POSITA would understand the control signals to be generated by an output buffer at least because controller 50 is "preferably an Intel 8051 or like processor." (EX1009, 3:59-4:6; EX1007, ¶83.) According to the '103 patent, an output buffer is met by GPIO hardware, *e.g.*, a GPIO output port, such as the general purpose I/O ports used for input and output in the Intel 8051:



INTRODUCTION

The 8051 is the original member of the MCS®-51 family, and is the core for all MCS-51 devices. The features of the 8051 core are:

- · 8-bit CPU optimized for control applications
- · Extensive Boolean processing (single-bit logic) capabilities
- 64K Program Memory address space
- 64K Data Memory address space
- 4K bytes of on-chip Program Memory
- 128 bytes of on-chip Data RAM
- 32 bidirectional and individually addressable I/O lines
- Two 16-bit timer/counters
- Full duplex UART
- · 6-source/5-vector interrupt structure with two priority levels
- · On-chip clock oscillator



The basic architectural structure of this 8051 core is shown in Figure 1.

(EX1001, 12:20-22, 15:23-26; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶83.)

Additionally, a coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source is met by power selector 60's selection of an AC voltage for provision to the primary winding 62; as the POSITA would understand, a higher output voltage would result in a greater coupling between the inductive power apparatus (*e.g.*, the primary winding 62) and the AC power source, and vice versa. (EX1007, \P 84.)

9. Claim 8

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 1,

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶86.)

b. [A] wherein the connection module determines whether the target device and the inductive power apparatus are coupled together by determining whether power is being provided to the target device by the inductive power apparatus.

As described for claim 1, element [A], the connection module includes controller 50 in communication with proximity indicating device 38 and proximity detector 68. (EX1007, ¶87.) Controller 50 receives various "sensed battery conditions" from the battery 12. (EX1009, 3:63-4:6; EX1007, ¶87.) These battery conditions include, *e.g.*, an "instantaneous current," which the POSITA would understand to indicate whether power is being provided to the battery by the adapter 40. (EX1009, 2:52-57; EX1007, ¶87.)

To the extent not expressly disclosed, it would have been obvious to the POSITA to use the instantaneous current data to determine whether the target device and inductive power apparatus are coupled together. (EX1007, ¶88.) While Stephens' proximity indicating device 38 and proximity detector 68 indicate whether the target device and inductive power apparatus are in close proximity, that proximity information alone may not reliably indicate whether the target device and inductive power apparatus are coupled. (EX1007, ¶88.) For example,

as the POSITA would understand, the proximity detector 68 might be subject to false positives, *e.g.*, because debris or other obstacles may cause the proximity detector 68 to register the presence of an object that is not the target device. (EX1007, ¶88.) It would have been obvious for the POSITA to use the instantaneous current data to confirm that the proximate object is in fact the target device, and that the target device and the inductive power apparatus are coupled, *e.g.*, by confirming that current is actively being applied to the target device 10 to charge the battery 12. (EX1007, ¶88.)

10. Claim 9

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 1,

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶90.)

b. [A] wherein the supplemental power source is comprised of at least one of a target device battery, a charger system battery and an alternate inductive power apparatus.

This element is met as for claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶91.)

11. Claim 11

a. [Preamble] An inductive battery charging method, comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 1, [Preamble]. (EX1007, ¶93.)

b. [A] *identifying whether a target device is coupled to an inductive power apparatus;*

This element is met as for claim 1, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶94.)

c. [B] determining whether a power level of a target device battery is below a lower charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶95.) For

example, Stephens discloses that charging is discontinued below a threshold voltage. (EX1009, 2:52-57, 2:64-67, 3:1-7, 3:14-33, 3:63-4:6; EX1007, ¶95.)

d. [C] automatically engaging the inductive power apparatus and an alternating current power source when a lower available power threshold of a battery is reached;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶96.) To the extent not expressly disclosed, it would have been obvious to automatically reconnect Stephens' inductive power apparatus to the AC power source upon reaching a lower available power threshold, such that the battery does not deplete after being decoupled. (EX1007, ¶96.)

e. [D] automatically decoupling the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source when a desired threshold power level of the target device battery is reached,

This element is met as for claim 1, element [D]. (EX1007, ¶97.)

f. [E] wherein the inductive power apparatus includes at least one of a transformer to inductively generate an electric current, a rectification circuit, and a voltage regulation circuit; and

This element is met as for claim 1, element [F]. (EX1007, ¶98.)

g. [F] deactivating an opto-coupled relay of the inductive power apparatus when the target device and the inductive power apparatus are decoupled.

This element is met as for claim 1, elements [D] and [E], and claim 2, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶99, ¶101.) As described above, Stephens teaches deactivating a relay of the inductive power apparatus (*e.g.*, power selector 60) when the target device (*e.g.*, battery pack 10) and the inductive power apparatus are decoupled. (EX1007, ¶99.) In addition, Horowitz teaches that the relay switch of power selector 60 can comprise an opto-coupled relay. (EX1007, ¶99.) For example, Horowitz describes opto-couplers (which the POSITA would understand to meet opto-coupled relays) as "very useful," and identifies numerous technical advantages, including galvanic isolation and isolation of digital noise. (EX1010, 595; EX1007, ¶99.) Horowitz describes opto-couplers as "essential in circuits that interact with the ac power mains"—such as power selector 60 in Stephens, which interacts with both the primary transformer winding 62 and the AC power mains. (EX1010, 595 (emphasis added); EX1007, ¶99.) In addition to the reasons described above for claim 1, elements [C] and [D], the POSITA would be

motivated to combine power selector 60 with the opto-coupled relays described in Horowitz in order to realize the advantages described by Horowitz, and because the POSITA would understand the combination to be "essential." (EX1007, ¶99.)

Additionally, Horowitz provides specific instructions for integrating optocoupled relays into electronic circuits, including circuit schematics for "nearly every kind of opto-coupler you are likely to encounter." (EX1010, 595-98, Fig. 9.26; EX1007, ¶100.) Thus, the POSITA would have had a reasonable expectation of success in making the combination. (EX1007, ¶100.)

12. Claim 12

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging method of claim 11*,

The preamble is met as for claim 11. (EX1007, ¶103.)

b. [A] wherein the power level of the target device battery is determined using a processor and a battery monitor, and

This element is met as for claim 4, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶104.) The power level is determined using a processor at least because Stephens discloses that controller 50 is preferably an Intel 8051 processor. (EX1009, 3:59-60;

EX1007, ¶104.)

c. [B] wherein the target device is comprised of a mobile device coupled to the processor and the battery monitor.

This element is met as for claim 4, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶105.)

13. Claim 13

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging method of claim 12, further comprising:*

The preamble is met as for claim 12. (EX1007, ¶107.)

b. [A] identifying a coupling of the inductive power apparatus and the target device using a sense feedback loop;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶108.)

c. [B] receiving a feedback signal using an input buffer;

This element is met as for claim 5, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶109.)

d. [C] transmitting an interrupt signal determined in accordance with the feedback signal received by the input buffer; and

This element is met as for claim 5, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶110.)

e. [D] adapting a coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source based on the interrupt signal.

This element is met as for claim 5, element [C]. (EX1007, \P 111.) To the extent not expressly disclosed in Stephens, it would have been obvious for

controller 50 to respond to the feedback signals by controlling power selector 60-

e.g., to couple/decouple the inductive power apparatus and the AC power source as

described above for claim 1, elements [C] and [D]—at least because Stephens

discloses that power converter 30 and power selector 60 can perform analogous

functions, and that either or both can "control the level of an AC power signal

output." (EX1009, 2:8-13; EX1007, ¶111.)

Additionally, as described above for claim 6, element [A], adapting a coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source is met by controller 50 controlling power selector 60's selection of an AC voltage for provision to the primary winding 62; as the POSITA would understand, a higher output voltage would result in a greater coupling between the inductive power apparatus (*e.g.*, the primary winding 62) and the AC power source, and vice versa. (EX1007, \P 112.)

14. Claim 14

a. [Preamble] An inductive battery charging system, comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 1, [Preamble]. (EX1007, ¶114.)

b. [A] a connection module to confirm whether a target device is coupled to an inductive power apparatus, wherein the inductive power apparatus is comprised of a transformer and the target device is comprised of a mobile device;

This element is met as for claim 1, elements [A] and [F], and claim 4,

element [B]. (EX1007, ¶115.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *connection module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is met as described above for claim 1, element [A]. (*See* Section III;

EX1007, ¶116.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above.

(EX1007, ¶116.) And the corresponding structure is met as described for claim 1, element [A].

c. [B] a sense feedback loop of the connection module to identify whether the target device is coupled to an alternating current power source using at least one of a sense feedback signal and a power transmitted from the alternating current power source to the target device;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [A]. (EX1007, $\P117$.) The sense feedback signal is met at least by an output of the proximity detector 68. (EX1007, $\P117$.)

d. [C] a monitoring module to detect whether a target device battery is below a charging threshold while using power from a supplemental power source;

This element is met as for claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶118.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If monitoring module is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this

element is met as described above for claim 1, element [B]. (See Section III;

EX1007, ¶119.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above.

(EX1007, ¶119.) And the corresponding structure is met as described for claim 1,

element [B]. (EX1007, ¶119.)

e. [D] a battery monitor coupled to the target device to determine a target device battery power level;

This element is met as for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶120.)

f. [E] a processor of the monitoring module coupled to the target device, wherein the processor is used to evaluate the target device battery power level with respect to the charging threshold;

This element is met as for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶121.)

g. [F] an activation module to automatically couple the target device and the alternating current power source using the inductive power apparatus when the target device battery is below the charging threshold; and

This element is met as for claim 1, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶122.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If activation module is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this

element is met as described above for claim 1, element [C]. (See Section III;

EX1007, ¶123.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above.

(EX1007, ¶123.) And the corresponding structure is met as described for claim 1,

element [C]. (EX1007, ¶123.)

h. [G] a separation module to automatically decouple the target device and the alternating current power source when a desired charging state of the target device battery is detected by the monitoring module,

This element is met as for claim 1, element [D]. (EX1007, ¶124.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *separation module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is met as described above for claim 1, element [D]. (*See* Section III;

EX1007, ¶125.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above.

(EX1007, ¶125.) And the corresponding structure is met as described for claim 1,

element [D]. (EX1007, ¶125.)

i. [H] wherein the separation module is comprised of an opto-coupled relay.

This element is met as for claim 11, element [F]. (EX1007, ¶126.)

VI. TOYA IN VIEW OF HOROWITZ RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-7, AND 9-14

A. Ground 2: Claims 1, 2, and 9-11 are Rendered Obvious by Toya in View of Horowitz

Toya in view of Horowitz teaches each and every limitation of claims 1, 2, and 9-11 of the '103 patent, for the reasons set forth below. (EX1007, ¶127.)

1. Summary of Toya

Toya is the publication of a U.S. patent application (Appl. No. 11/889,297, "Battery Charger") by inventor Shoichi Toya. The application was filed on August 10, 2007 (claiming priority to a Japanese patent application filed August 11, 2006); published on March 13, 2008; and ultimately issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,633,293 on December 15, 2009. (EX1011, Cover.) Toya is thus prior art to the '103 patent under at least 35 U.S.C. §§102(a), (b), and (e). Even if the '103 patent were afforded its earliest possible priority date of July 4, 2008—the filing date of the earliest application to which it claims priority—Toya would still be prior art under at least §§102(a) and (e).

Toya discloses an inductive battery charger without electrical contacts. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶129.) A high frequency power supply provides power to a primary coil, which magnetically couples with a secondary coil housed in portable electronic equipment (*e.g.*, a mobile phone) and conveys power to the secondary coil via magnetic induction. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶129.) The induced AC power is then rectified to charge a battery pack in the portable electronic equipment. (*Id.*) The battery charger also includes an internal battery; when power is not input to the battery charger, power is supplied to the high frequency power supply from the internal battery, which is used to charge the battery pack as described above. (*Id.*)

Toya further discloses that the battery pack can include a "full charge detection circuit" to detect a full battery charge; and a "charge termination circuit" to suspend charging when the full battery charge is detected. (EX1011, [0022]-[0023]; EX1007, ¶130.) Toya's battery charger can thus "switch the power supply off after the battery pack has been fully charged to prevent wasted power consumption." (EX1011, [0022]-[0023], [0045]; EX1007, ¶130.)

Toya is analogous art to the claimed invention because it is directed to the same field of endeavor—electronic circuits, including for battery charging. (EX1007, ¶131.) Toya is reasonably pertinent to the problem faced by the '103 patent inventor; for example, Toya discloses circuitry for suspending charging

when a full battery charge is detected, thus "prevent[ing] wasted battery power consumption." (EX1011, [0023]; EX1007, ¶131.) This is pertinent to the '103 patent's problem of "prevent[ing] a vampiric power loss" that can occur in a battery charging system. (EX1001, 1:26-62; EX1007, ¶131.)

2. Claim 1

a. [Preamble]

Toya discloses a "battery charger without electrical contacts," with which a battery can be "charged by electrical power induced in the secondary coil." (EX1011, [0002], [0021]; EX1007, ¶133.)

b. Element [A]

Toya discloses at least an inductive power apparatus that includes battery charger 10 and battery pack 31 (or 51). (EX1011, [0056]-[0059], Figs. 5-8; EX1007, \P 134.) The battery pack may be housed in a target device (*e.g.*, portable electronic equipment 30) that is set in charging position and charged by the battery charger:

FIG. 5



(EX1011, [0056], Fig. 5 (annotated); EX1007, ¶134.)

The battery charger 10 includes a high frequency power supply 14 and a primary coil 13. (EX1011, [0008], FIG. 6; EX1007, ¶135.) The high frequency power supply 14 includes an electronic equipment detection circuit 16 to determine if the portable electronic equipment 30 is set in position for charging. (EX1011, [0045]; EX1007, ¶135.) When portable electronic equipment 30 is set in position and DC power is supplied, the high frequency power supply 14 supplies high frequency power to the primary coil 13. (EX1011, [0008], [0045]; EX1007, ¶135.)



(EX1011, [0056], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶135.)

The battery pack 31 (or 51) includes rechargeable battery 32 and secondary coil 33. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶136.) The primary coil 13 of the battery charger 10 magnetically couples with the secondary coil 33. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶136.) High frequency power in the primary coil 13 is conveyed to the secondary coil 33 by magnetic induction, and current in the secondary coil 33 is rectified to charge the rechargeable battery 32. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶136.)



FIG. 8



(EX1011, [0056], Figs. 7-8 (annotated); EX1007, ¶136.)

The claimed connection module is met at least by controller 25 and the high frequency power supply 14, which determine if the target device (portable electronic equipment 30) is set in position for charging. (EX1011, [0045], [0051]-[0054], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶137.) Controller 25 makes this determination based on an electronic equipment data signal transmitted from the portable electronic equipment 30 to the electronic equipment detection circuit 16 of the power supply 14. (EX1011, [0045], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶137.) Toya explains that when the portable electronic equipment 30 is set for charging, it is positioned such that the secondary coil 33 is adjacent to the top plate 11A of the battery charger 10 and opposite the primary coil 13, such that the battery charger 10 may charge the battery pack 31 via the magnetic coupling of primary coil 13 and secondary coil 33. (EX1011, [0048], [0059], Figs. 2-3 and 5-6; EX1007, ¶137.) The POSITA would thus understand determining if the target device is set in position for charging to meet determining whether the target device is coupled to the inductive power apparatus (e.g., whether portable electronic equipment 30 is magnetically coupled to primary coil 13 of the inductive power apparatus via secondary coil 33). (EX1007, ¶137.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If connection module is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this

element is still met by Toya in view of Horowitz. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶138.) The recited function (*see* Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, ¶138.) Further, it would have been obvious for controller 25 to include a processor with an input buffer, at least via general purpose I/O ports of the processor used for input and output (*e.g.*, to receive an electronic equipment data signal from primary coil 13), such as discussed for Ground 1, claim 5, element [B]. (*See* EX1001, 12:20-22, 14:60-64; EX1011, [0051]-[0052]; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶138.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure of connection module 918 comprising input buffer 1038 that receives input indicative of a coupling state. (EX1001, 11:55-57, 12:9-19, Fig. 10; EX1007, ¶138.)

c. Element [B]

Toya discloses that the battery pack 51 includes a full charge detection circuit 59 to detect whether the target device's rechargeable battery 32 is fully charged:

FIG. 8



(EX1011, [0022], [0057], Fig. 8 (annotated); EX1007, ¶139.)

When the rechargeable battery 32 reaches full charge, the full charge detection circuit 59 issues a full charge signal. (EX1011, [0057]; EX1007, ¶140.) The POSITA would understand detecting whether the battery 32 reaches full charge to meet determining whether the battery is below a charging threshold (*i.e.*, a threshold representing a full charge). (EX1007, ¶140.)

The supplemental power source is met at least by the internal battery 12 of the battery charger 10. (EX1011, [0022], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶141.) As Toya explains, when there is no input power applied to the battery charger 10, the internal battery 12 acts as a supplemental power source by charging the battery pack via the high frequency power supply 14 and primary coil 13. (EX1011,

[0008], [0044]; EX1007, ¶141.)

Additionally, the supplemental power source is met by battery 32 itself, consistent with dependent claim 9's recitation that the supplemental power source is comprised of "*at least one of a target device battery*, a charger system battery and an alternate inductive power apparatus." (EX1001, 19:27-30 (emphasis added), 2:51-53; EX1007, ¶142.) Claim 9's limitation of the supplemental power source indicates that a supplemental power source comprising a target device battery, such as battery 32, is within the scope of the parent claim 1. (EX1007, ¶142.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *monitoring module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is still met by Toya in view of Horowitz. (*See* Section III; EX1007, \P 143.) The recited function (*see* Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, \P 143.) As described above, detection circuit 59 is configured to detect whether the target device's rechargeable battery 32 is fully charged. (EX1011, [0022], [0057], Fig. 8; EX1007, \P 143.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure that a processor and a battery monitor of the monitoring module are coupled to the target device 916 to evaluate the target device's battery level. (EX1001, 11:1-5; EX1007, \P 143.)

d. Element [C]

Toya discloses that in battery charger 10, controller 25 can independently turn switches 23 on or off to couple or decouple AC adapter connection terminals 17A from the power supply circuit of the high frequency power supply 14 of the inductive power apparatus:



(EX1011, [0051]-[0052], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶144.)

The AC adapter connection terminals 17A receive DC power via an AC adapter 40, as shown in Figure 1:



(EX1011, [0014]-[-0015], [0046], Fig. 1 (annotated); EX1007, ¶145.)

The POSITA would understand an AC adapter, such as AC adapter 40, to couple to an alternating current (AC) power source. (EX1007, ¶146.) This is evident to the POSITA at least by the prongs of AC adapter 40 shown in FIG. 1, which are configured for insertion into a standard wall outlet providing AC power, such as a North American NEMA 5-15 outlet. (*See* EX1013, 92-94; EX1007, ¶146.)

The claimed activation module is met at least by controller 25. (EX1011, [0051]-[0052]; EX1007, ¶147.) When controller 25 detects that the portable electronic equipment 30 is set for charging and power is input via the AC adapter connection terminals 17A, controller 25 automatically switches on the switch 23

that is connected to terminals 17A, thus coupling the inductive power apparatus to an AC power source via the AC adapter 40 and initiating charging of the portable equipment 30's battery 32. (EX1011, [0051]-[0052], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶147.) In the annotated FIG. 6 below, the blue path indicates the coupling between the inductive power apparatus (*e.g.*, high frequency power supply 14) and connection terminals 17A, which are coupled to an AC power source via AC adapter 40 as described above, when the switch 23 associated with terminals 17A is closed (turned on):



(EX1011, [0051]-[0052], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶147.)

Controller 25 uses data signals from the battery pack to detect when the portable electronic equipment 30 is set for charging. (EX1011, [0051]- [0052], [0057]; EX1007, ¶148.) When battery 32 reaches a charging threshold (*i.e.*, a full charge), a full charge signal is generated by full charge detection circuit 59; and

detected by the charge termination circuit 29, which then cuts off the supply of power to the inductive power apparatus (*i.e.*, via controller 25 turning off switch 23). (EX1011, [0051]-[0052], [0057], Figs. 6, 8; EX1007, ¶148.)

The POSITA would understand that, conversely, when the battery 32 is below the charging threshold, the full charge signal is not detected, and coupling is permitted (*i.e.*, via controller 25 turning on switch 23) between the inductive power apparatus the AC power source (via connection terminals 17A) as described above:



(EX1011, [0022]-[0023], [0051]-[0052], [0057], Figs. 6, 8; EX1007, ¶149.)

This is at least because POSITA would understand that once the power supply is cut off to the battery, the battery's power level will dissipate (particularly if the battery pack is installed in a device such as a notebook computer or cellular telephone, *see* EX1011, Fig. 5), such that it would eventually deplete without being reconnected to the power source for recharging. (EX1007, ¶150.) The POSITA

would understand this to defeat the purpose of Toya's battery charging system, which is to provide a battery charger to "sufficiently" charge rechargeable batteries such as lithium-ion batteries. (EX1011, [0011]-[0012]; EX1007, ¶150.) The POSITA would understand that the regulation of such batteries is known in the art. (*See* EX1009, 3:31-33; EX1007, ¶150.) The POSITA thus would understand Toya's charger to apply known techniques to couple the inductive apparatus to the rechargeable battery 32 and maintain its charge. (EX1007, ¶150.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *activation module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is met because Toya in view of Horowitz teaches the recited function and its corresponding structure. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶151.) The recited functions (*see* Section III) are met as described above. (EX1007, ¶151.)

With respect to structure, the '103 patent discloses that the activation module uses a processor to permit current to the separation module, *i.e.*, to couple or decouple the transformer 104 from the AC power source 102, as described above. (EX1001, 17:5-9; EX1007, ¶152.) This is met at least equivalently by controller 25, which, as described above, is a processor that provides signals to relay switches 23, connected to terminals 17A, thus coupling/decoupling the inductive power apparatus to an AC power source via the AC adapter 40 (EX1011, [0051]-[0054], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶152.)

e. Element [D]

The separation module is met at least by Toya's controller 25 and switches 23. (EX1007, $\P153$.) Switches 23 can be independently turned on and off by controller 25. (EX1011, [0051]; EX1007, $\P153$.) For example, as described above for elements [C] and [D], Toya discloses that when battery 32 reaches a desired charging state (*i.e.*, a fully charged state), the full charge signal is generated and is detected by the charge termination circuit 29, which then cuts off the supply of power to the inductive power apparatus (*e.g.*, via controller 25 turning off the switch 23 associated with terminals 17A):



(EX1011, [0022]-[0023], [0051]-[0052], [0057], Figs. 6 (annotated), 8; EX1007, ¶153.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If separation module is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this

element is met because Toya in view of Horowitz teaches the recited function and its corresponding structure. (*See* Section III; EX1007, ¶154.) The recited functions (*see* Section III) are met as described above. (EX1007, ¶154.)

With respect to structure, as described above, Toya in view of Horowitz teaches that the separation module comprises relay switches 23. (EX1007, ¶155.) Further, as described in the '103 patent, the switches 23 may include opto-coupled relays (as shown below for claim 11, element [F]) or electromechanical relays (such as described in Horowitz). (EX1001, 3:50-51; EX1010, 55, 595-98; EX1007, ¶155.) Further, as described above for Toya, when battery 32 reaches a fully charged state, a full charge signal is generated and used to cut off the supply of power to the inductive power apparatus. (EX1011, [0022]-[0023], [0051]-[0052], [0057], Figs. 6, 8; EX1007, ¶155.) For the purposes of this proceeding, this is equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure that the separation module receives an output voltage of a comparator, which controls whether a relay couples or decouples the transformer 104 from the AC power source 102. (EX1001, 7:55-8:5, 8:22-29, Fig. 9; EX1007, ¶155.)

f. Element [E]

As described above for element [D], Toya discloses a separation module comprising controller 25 and switches 23. (EX1007, ¶156.) However, Toya does not expressly provide what type of switch for switches 23. (EX1007, ¶156.)

Accordingly, the POSITA seeking to use the inductive charging system of Toya would have looked to other references, such as Horowitz, for details of how to implement switches 23. (EX1007, ¶156.)

The POSITA would have consulted Horowitz's disclosure of relay switches for combination with Toya's switches 23. (EX1007, ¶157.) The POSITA would have been motivated to do so: for example, Horowitz explains that a "primary use[]" of relays is for high-voltage or high-current switching, including potentially the switching in Toya. (EX1010, 55; EX1007, ¶157.) The POSITA would understand relays to be particularly useful in circuits such as in Toya, where lowvoltage microprocessor signals (*i.e.*, of controller 25) are used to switch highervoltage DC signals (*i.e.*, provided via terminals 17A/17B). (EX1007, ¶157.) Horowitz also describes advantages of relays, including providing electrical isolation of control signals (e.g., signals provided by controller 25). (EX1007, (157.) The POSITA would have been motivated to make the combination to realize these advantages. (EX1007, ¶157.) Further, Horowitz provides implementation details, including circuit schematics, that the POSITA would have utilized in combining Horowitz's relay switches with Toya. (See, e.g., EX1010, 595-98; EX1007, ¶157.) Accordingly, the POSITA would have had a reasonable expectation of success in making the combination. (EX1007, $\P157$.)

g. Element [F]

Toya's battery charger 10 includes primary coil 13 of a transformer, which inductively couples to secondary coil 33 to generate an electric current. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶158.) Further, the POSITA would understand the battery charger's AC adapter 40 to belong to the inductive power apparatus, because the AC adapter provides a power source for the battery charger 10; and would further understand the AC adapter to include both a rectifier circuit and a voltage regulation circuit, for converting AC input to voltage-regulated DC output. (*See* EX1013, 92-94; EX1011, [0046]-[0047], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶158.)

3. Claim 2

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for claim 1. $(EX1007, \P160.)$

b. Element [A]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [D]. (EX1007, ¶161.) Toya's controller 25 detects an electronic equipment data signal induced in the primary coil 13 to determine that portable electronic equipment is "set for charging." (EX1011, [0051]; EX1007, ¶161.) Toya discloses that when this data signal indicates that the portable electronic equipment is set for charging, controller 25 accordingly "turns ON any switch 23," and supplies power to the primary coil 13 (and thus the battery 32). (EX1011, [0051]-[0054]; EX1007, ¶161.) To the extent

not expressly disclosed, it would have been obvious that when the portable electronic equipment and the battery charger are decoupled, and thus not set for charging, the controller would conversely deactivate the relay switch 23 coupling the primary coil 13 (and thus battery 32) to AC power, so as to not provide AC power to charge the decoupled battery. (EX1007, ¶161.)

To the extent that the claimed "wherein the relay switch … is deactivated" is understood to require disconnecting the relay switch itself from a power source, this is also met by Toya in view of Horowitz: it would have been obvious to the POSITA to perform such disconnection when the target device and the inductive power apparatus are decoupled. (EX1007, ¶162.) This is to avoid unnecessary power consumption in the separation module, *e.g.*, power consumption by a light sensing component (*e.g.*, for a light source) in an opto-coupled relay, which does not need to detect light while the target device and inductive power apparatus are decoupled. (*See* EX1010, 595-99; EX1007, ¶162.) Disconnecting the relay in this fashion would have advanced Toya's goal of "prevent[ing] wasted consumption of input power." (EX1011, [0023], [0045]; EX1007, ¶162.)

4. Claim 9

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶164.)

b. Element [A]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶165.) For example, as described above, internal battery 12 comprises a charger system battery at least because it is an internal battery of battery charger 10. (EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶165.)

5. Claim 10

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 1, further comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 1. (EX1007, ¶167.)

b. Element [A] a bypass module to initiate a charging sequence by electrically coupling the alternating current power source and the inductive power apparatus when a bypass input is detected.

Toya discloses that switches 23 can be independently turned on or off by controller 25 in order to supply DC power to the high frequency power supply 14. (EX1011, [0051]; EX1007, ¶168.) DC power can be supplied from connection terminals 17A or 17B, and/or from internal battery 12. (EX1011, [0051]-[0054]; EX1007, ¶168.) When the portable electronic equipment 30 is set for charging, and no DC power is input from connection terminals 17A or 17B, then controller 25 turns on the switch 23 connected to the internal battery 12 to supply power to the high frequency power supply 14 from the internal battery 12:



(EX1011, [0051]-[0054], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶168.)

But when the internal battery's capacity is low, controller 25 switches off the switch 23 that connects the internal battery 12 to the high frequency power supply 14. (EX1011, [0053]; EX1007, ¶169.) At the same time, if DC power is present at the input terminals 17A/17B, controller 25 switches on the switch or switches 23 that connect the input terminals 17A/17B to the high frequency power supply 14, thus initiating a charging sequence as described above for claim 1, element [C]:



(EX1011, [0051]-[0054], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶169.)

The POSITA would understand the above inputs (detecting low internal battery capacity and detecting the presents of DC power at input terminals 17A/17B) to meet the claimed bypass input, *i.e.*, by directing controller 25 to bypass the internal battery 12 and instead provide power from the input terminals 17A/17B. (EX1007, ¶170.) The POSITA would further understand controller 25 and switches 23, in communication with input terminals 17A/17B, to meet the claimed bypass module, *i.e.*, by turning the appropriate switches 23 on and off to bypass the internal battery 12 and initiate a charging sequence by coupling an AC power source to the inductive power apparatus as described above for claim 1, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶170.)
6. Claim 11

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for claim 1, [Preamble]. (EX1007, ¶172.)

b. Element [A]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶173.)

c. Element [B]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶174.) For example, Toya discloses detecting whether the battery 32 is below a threshold charge. (EX1011, [0022]-[0023], [0051]-[0052], [0057].) (EX1007, ¶174.)

d. Element [C]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶175.) To the extent not expressly disclosed, it would have been obvious to automatically reconnect Toya's inductive power apparatus to the AC power source upon reaching a lower available power threshold, such that the battery does not deplete after being decoupled. (EX1007, ¶175.)

e. Element [D]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [D]. (EX1007, ¶176.)

f. Element [E]

This element is met as for claim 1, element [F]. (EX1007, ¶177.)

g. Element [F]

This element is met as for claim 1, elements [D] and [E], and claim 2,

element [A]. (EX1007, ¶178.) In addition, Horowitz teaches that Toya's relay switches 23 can comprise an opto-coupled relay. (EX1007, ¶178.) For example, in a passage titled "Opto-couplers and relays," Horowitz describes opto-couplers (which the POSITA would understand to meet opto-coupled relays) as "very useful," and identifies numerous technical advantages, including galvanic isolation and isolation of digital noise. (EX1010, 595; EX1007, ¶178.) In addition to the reasons described above for claim 1, elements [C] and [D], the POSITA would be motivated to combine Toya's relays 23 with the opto-coupled relays described in Horowitz in order to realize the advantages described by Horowitz. (EX1007, ¶178.) Additionally, Horowitz provides specific instructions for integrating optocoupled relays into electronic circuits, including circuit schematics for "nearly every kind of opto-coupler you are likely to encounter." (EX1010, 595-98, Fig. 9.26; EX1007, ¶178.) Thus, the POSITA would have a reasonable expectation of success in making the combination. (EX1007, $\P178$.)

B. Ground 3: Claims 3-7 and 12-14 are Rendered Obvious by Toya in View of Stephens and Horowitz

Toya in view of Stephens and Horowitz teaches each and every limitation of claims 3-7 and 12-14 of the '103 patent, for the reasons set forth below. (EX1007, ¶179.)

1. Claim 3

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for Ground 2, claim 1. (EX1007, ¶181.)

b. Element [A]

Toya in view of Stephens and Horowitz meets this element. (EX1007,

¶182.) Toya discloses that full charge detection circuit 59 of battery pack 51 is coupled to battery 32, and is configured to detect whether battery 32 is fully charged:





(EX1011, [0022], [0057], Fig. 8 (annotated); EX1007, ¶182.)

Toya does not expressly disclose that the full charge detection circuit 59

uses a *processor* to evaluate the power level of battery 32. (EX1007, ¶183.) However, combining the detection circuit 59 with a processor to do so would have been obvious in view of Stephens. (EX1007, ¶183.) Stephens discloses that controller 50 includes "sensing, feedback and control logic" coupled to battery 12, such that "after a threshold voltage across battery 12 is sensed," controller 50 propagates control signals to discontinue charging. (EX1009, 3:8-13, 3:20-26; 3:63-67; EX1007, ¶183.) This is analogous to full charge detection circuit 59 in Toya, which is coupled to battery 32 and detects a charge of battery 32. (EX1011, [0022], [0057], Fig. 8; EX1007, ¶183.) Stephens further discloses that controller 50 "is preferably an Intel 8051 or like processor," and the POSITA would understand that controller 50 accordingly utilizes the processor to evaluate the battery level. (EX1009, 3:59-60, EX1007, ¶183.)

The POSITA would have been motivated to combine Toya's detection circuit 59 with the processor of Stephens' controller 50 at least because Toya is silent on implementation detail for detection circuit 59, leading the POSITA to consult other references for guidance. (EX1007, ¶184.) Stephens is analogous art because both Stephens and Toya relate to control circuits for inductive battery chargers, *e.g.*, in the context of mobile device batteries. (EX1011, [0002]; EX1009, 1:6-8; EX1007, ¶184.) Accordingly, the POSITA would have looked to Stephens to provide detail of how to implement a circuit that detects a battery

voltage in an inductive charger. (EX1007, ¶184.) Processors such as the Intel 8051 of Stephens were specifically designed for "control applications," making them a natural fit for controlling the battery charging operations in Toya.

(EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶184.)

The POSITA would have been further motivated to make the combination because processors such as the Intel 8051 are small and lightweight, making them advantageous for inclusion in a battery pack for portable electronic equipment; and generally advancing Toya's goals of providing battery charging hardware that is "lightweight, thin, small, and convenient to carry." (EX1011, [0011]; EX1007, (185.) Processors such as the Intel 8051 are programmable, allowing them to be easily reconfigured—an important advantage in battery charging systems that may need to accommodate batteries having different characteristics and charging requirements. (EX1007, ¶185.) The POSITA would have been motivated to realize these advantages. (EX1007, ¶185.) And processors such as the Intel 8051 were well-understood and extensively documented (see EX1012) giving the POSITA a reasonable expectation of success in making the combination. (EX1007, ¶185.)

2. Claim 4

a. [Preamble]

Toya in view of Stephens and Horowitz meets the preamble as described

above for claim 3. (EX1007, ¶187.)

b. Element [A]

Toya in view of Stephens and Horowitz meets this element as described above for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶188.)

c. Element [B]

Toya discloses that portable electronic equipment 30 may be a portable telephone. (EX1011, [0042]; EX1007, ¶189.)

3. Claim **5**

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for Ground 2, claim 1. (EX1007, ¶191.)

b. Element [A]

Toya discloses a sense feedback loop at least via controller 25, which detects (*e.g.*, via electronic equipment detection circuit 16) an electronic equipment data signal induced in the primary coil 13 to determine that the portable electronic equipment 30 is "set in position for charging," which the POSITA would understand to mean coupled with primary coil 13 of the battery charger. (EX1011, [0045], [0051]-[0052]; EX1007, [192.)

c. Element [B]

It would have been obvious to the POSITA to implement Toya's controller 25 using a processor, such as the Intel 8051, as taught by Stephens. (EX1007,

¶193.) The POSITA would have been motivated to make the combination, and had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so, for reasons analogous to those discussed above for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶193.) That is, Toya provides limited implementation detail of controller 25, leading the POSITA to look to Stephens, and its description of using an Intel 8051 processor to implement an inductive battery charger controller, for guidance. (EX1007, ¶193.)

It further would have been obvious for controller 25 to receive the electronic equipment data signal (*e.g.*, via an output of electronic equipment detection circuit 16) using one of the general purpose input ports of the processor, because receiving data signals is the intended function of the input ports. (EX1012, 3-6–3-8; EX1007, ¶194.) And further, the processor's input ports meet the claimed input buffer for the same reasons discussed for Ground 1, claim 5, element [B]. (EX1001, 12:20-22, 14:60-64; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶194.)

d. Element [C]

Toya discloses that controller 25 responds to the electronic equipment data signal by controlling switches 23, to couple or decouple input power from the high frequency power supply 14. (EX1011, [0051]-[0054]; EX1007, ¶195.) As discussed above for element [B], it would have been obvious for controller 25 to be implemented using an Intel 8051 processor; controller 25 thus meets the interrupt controller module, and the control signals provided by controller 25 to switches 23

meet the claimed interrupt signal, for reasons analogous to those discussed for Ground 1, claim 5, element [C] with respect to Stephens' controller 50. (EX1001, 12:1-4; EX1012, 1-20–1-22, 2-12–2-13, 3-23–3-25; EX1007, ¶195.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If *interrupt controller module* is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is met because Toya in view of Stephens and Horowitz teaches the recited function and its corresponding structure. (See Section III; EX1007, ¶196.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, ¶196.) With respect to structure, the '103 patent discloses that the interrupt controller module responds to a logic state change on the input buffer, which is a GPIO input port, by causing a processor to initiate an ISR. (EX1001, 12:1-4, 14:60-64; EX1007, ¶196.) This is met at least equivalently by controller 25; as described above, it would have been obvious to implement controller 25 using an Intel 8051 processor, which includes interrupt sources that can generate an interrupt signal and enter an interrupt service routine based on, e.g., changes in logic signals received at a GPIO input port, e.g., an INTx pin. (EX1009, 3:59-60; EX1012, 1-20–1-22, 2-12–2-13, 3-23–3-25; EX1007, ¶196.)

4. Claim 6

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for claim 4. (EX1007, ¶198.)

b. Element [A]

Toya's controller 25 provides control signals to switches 23, thereby controlling a coupling state of the AC adapter terminals 17A and the high frequency power supply 14:



(EX1011, [0051]-[0052], Fig. 6 (annotated); EX1007, ¶199.)

As discussed above for claims 3 and 5, it would have been obvious to implement Toya's controller 25 using a processor, such as the Intel 8051, such as taught by Stephens with respect to its controller 50. (EX1007, ¶200.) It would have been obvious for Toya's controller 25 to provide control signals to switches 23 using a general purpose output port, because outputting data signals is the intended function of the output ports. (EX1012, 3-6–3-8; EX1007, ¶200.) The processor's output port meets the claimed output buffer for the same reasons discussed above for Ground 1, claim 6, element [A]. (EX1001, 12:20-22, 15:23-

26; EX1012, 1-3; EX1007, ¶200.)

5. Claim 7

a. [Preamble] *The inductive battery charging* system of claim 4, further comprising:

The preamble is met as for claim 4. (EX1007, ¶202.)

b. [A] a USB module to generate an engage signal to control the coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source.

Toya discloses that "When DC power is input from either the AC adapter connection terminals 17A or the USB terminals 17B, the controller 25 switches ON the switch 23 connected to the terminals with the DC power to input that power to the high frequency power supply 14." (EX1011, [0052]; EX1007, ¶203.) The POSITA would thus understand Toya to teach that controller 25 receives an engage signal (DC power) from a USB module (USB terminals 17B) to control the coupling state of the inductive power apparatus and the alternating current power source. (EX1007, ¶203.) For example, by disclosing that "the controller 25 switches ON the switch 23 connected to the terminals with the DC power," Toya teaches switching ON the switch 23 connected to the USB terminals 17B. (EX1007, ¶203.) And because Toya discloses controlling switches 23 "to input *that power*"—*i.e.*, only the DC power from the USB terminals 17B—to the high frequency power supply 14, Toya teaches accordingly switching OFF the switch

23 connected to the AC adapter connection terminals 17A. (EX1007, ¶203.)

(i) Means-Plus-Function

If USB module is interpreted as a means-plus-function limitation, this element is met because Toya in view of Horowitz teaches the recited function and its corresponding structure. (See Section III; EX1007, ¶204.) The recited function (see Section III) is met as described above. (EX1007, ¶204.) With respect to structure, Toya discloses two USB terminals 17B that may connect to a USB cable; the terminals provide USB signals that supply DC power to the high frequency power supply 14 via relays 23. (EX1011, [0046]-[0047], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶204.) The USB signals are also provided to controller 25, which uses the USB signals to control the relays. (EX1011, [0052], Fig. 6; EX1007, ¶204.) With respect to this proceeding, the POSITA would understand this to be equivalent to the '103 patent's disclosure of the USB module, *e.g.*, using a USB interface as "a battery charge port on a cell phone or other mobile device"; providing USB power and ground signals to the inductive charger apparatus; and providing control signals to the separation module 334 and the relay 462. (EX1001, 12:61-13:7; EX1007, (204.) The POSITA would further understand the USB signals of Toya to adhere to the USB specification, *e.g.*, to provide USB signals as disclosed in the '103 patent. (See, e.g., EX1014, 17, 94; EX1007, ¶204.)

6. Claim 12

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for Ground 1, claim 11. (EX1007, ¶206.)

b. Element [A]

This element is met as for claim 4, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶207.)

c. Element [B]

This element is met as for claim 4, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶208.)

7. Claim 13

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for claim 12. (EX1007, ¶210.)

b. Element [A]

This element is met as for claim 5, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶211.)

c. Element [B]

This element is met as for claim 5, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶212.)

d. Element [C]

This element is met as for claim 5, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶213.)

e. Element [D]

This element is met as for claim 5, elements [B] and [C]. (EX1007, ¶214.)

8. Claim 14

a. [Preamble]

The preamble is met as for Ground 2, claim 1 [Preamble]. (EX1007, ¶216.)

b. Element [A]

The claimed connection module is met as for Ground 2, claim 1, element

[A]. (EX1007, ¶217.) The inductive power apparatus is comprised of a

transformer, e.g., primary coil 13 inductively coupled to secondary coil 33.

(EX1011, [0008]; EX1007, ¶217.) The portable electronic equipment 30 may be a portable telephone. (EX1011, [0042]; EX1007, ¶217.)

c. Element [B]

This element is met as for claim 5, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶218.)

d. Element [C]

This element is met as for Ground 2, claim 1, element [B]. (EX1007, ¶219.)

e. Element [D]

This element is met as for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶220.)

f. Element [E]

This element is met as for claim 3, element [A]. (EX1007, ¶221.)

g. Element [F]

This element is met as for Ground 2, claim 1, element [C]. (EX1007, ¶222.)

h. Element [G]

This element is met as for Ground 2, claim 1, element [D]. (EX1007, ¶223.)

i. Element [H]

This element is met as for Ground 2, claim 11, element [F]. (EX1007,

¶224.)

VII. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER FINTIV

The Board should not deny institution under 35 U.S.C. § 314 on the basis of the litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas. No trial date has been set in the litigation; thus, no *Fintiv* issues are presented. *See Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.*, IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020). Should the Patent Owner raise any issues related to *Fintiv* or any other discretionary denial considerations, Petitioner reserves all rights to respond in full. *See* March 26, 2025 PTAB Memorandum ("Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management").

VIII. NOTICES AND STATEMENTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Apple Inc. is the real party-in-interest for Petitioner.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioner identifies the following related matters. The '103 Patent is asserted in the following litigation matter: *Vampire Labs*, *LLC v. Apple, Inc.*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01377-ADA (W.D. Tex.).

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3), Petitioner identifies the following counsel (and a power of attorney accompanies this Petition).

Lead Counsel	Backup Counsel
Alex S. Yap Registration No.: 60,609 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 892-5688 Fax: (213) 892-5454 Email: <u>AYap@mofo.com</u>	Mehran Arjomand Registration No.: 48,231 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 892-5630 Fax: (213) 892-5454 Email: <u>MArjomand@mofo.com</u>
Backup Counsel	
Bryan Blumenkopf Registration No.: 73,282 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130 Tel: (213) 892-5269 Fax: (213) 892-5454 Email: <u>BBlumen@mofo.com</u>	

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4), service information for lead and back-up counsel is provided above. Petitioner consents to electronic service by email to <u>Apple-VampireLabs-IPR@mofo.com</u>.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a), Petitioners certify that the '103 patent is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioners are not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

IX. CONCLUSION

Petitioner requests that the Board initiate *inter partes* review and cancel all challenged claims of the '103 Patent.

The USPTO is authorized to charge any required fees, including the fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) and any excess claim fees, to Deposit Account No. $\underline{03}$ -<u>1952</u> referencing Docket No. <u>10684-0000854</u>.

Dated: June 27, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By /Alex S. Yap/

Alex S. Yap Registration No.: 60,609 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 892-5688 Fax: (213) 892-5454 Email: AYap@mofo.com

Certification of Word Count (37 C.F.R. § 42.24)

I hereby certify that this Petition for *Inter Partes* Review has 13,936 words (as counted by the "Word Count" feature of the Microsoft Word[™] wordprocessing system), exclusive of "a table of contents, a table of authorities, mandatory notices under § 42.8, a certificate of service or word count, or appendix of exhibits or claim listing."

Dated: June 27, 2025

By: <u>/Bryan Blumenkopf/</u> Bryan Blumenkopf

Certificate of Service (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4) and 42.205(a))

I hereby certify that the attached Petition for *Inter Partes* Review and supporting materials were served as of the below date by UPS, which is a means at least as fast and reliable as U.S. Express Mail, on the Patent Owner at the correspondence address indicated for U.S. Patent No. 8,358,103.

DiNovo Price LLP Suite 350 7000 N. MoPac Expressway Austin, TX 78731

Dated: June 27, 2025

By: <u>/Bryan Blumenkopf/</u> Bryan Blumenkopf