


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION

Case No. 2:19-cv-09474 JAK (Ex)

LG Electronics Inc.,
Plaintiff,
vs.

**ORDER REGARDING JOINT
STIPULATION FOR ORDER
FOCUSING PATENT CLAIMS AND
PRIOR ART (DKT. 42)**

Hisense Electronics Manufacturing
Company of America Corporation;
HISENSE USA CORPORATION;
HISENSE INTERNATIONAL (HONG
KONG) AMERICA INVESTMENT
CO., LIMITED (f/k/a HISENSE
INTERNATIONAL AMERICA
HOLDINGS CO., LIMITED); HISENSE
INTERNATIONAL (HK) CO.,
LIMITED; Hisense International Co.
Ltd.; Qingdao Hisense Electronics Co.
Ltd. (f/k/a Hisense Electric Co., Ltd.);
and Hisense Co., Ltd.,
Defendants.

1 per patent from among the twelve prior art references previously identified for that
2 particular patent and no more than a total of 20 references. For purposes of this Final
3 Election of Asserted Prior Art, each obviousness combination counts as a separate
4 prior art reference.

5 5. If the patent claimant asserts infringement of only one patent, all per-
6 patent limits in this order are increased by 50%, rounding up.

7
8 ***Modification of this Order***

9 6. Subject to Court approval, the parties may modify this Order by
10 agreement, but should endeavor to limit the asserted claims and prior art references to
11 the greatest extent possible. Absent agreement, post-entry motions to modify this
12 Order's numerical limits on asserted claims and prior art references must demonstrate
13 good cause warranting the modification. Motions to modify other portions of this
14 Order are committed to the sound discretion of the Court.²

15
16 ***Ongoing Good-Faith Efforts to Streamline Case***

17 7. The parties should endeavor to continue to narrow the issues in dispute,
18 including the asserted claims and prior art, beyond the limits provided in this Order, in
19 advance of trial.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: April 6, 2020



23 _____
24 John A. Kronstadt
25 United States District Judge

26 _____
27 ² This Order anticipates the issues may be further narrowed by the parties and the
28 Court during pretrial proceedings as part of trial management, and to facilitate a trial
that can be completed in a reasonable number of days.