

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

XIFI NETWORKS R&D, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-01203 (Patent 11,849,337 B1); IPR2025-01204 (Patent 11,818,591 B2)  
IPR2025-01205 (Patent 12,003,976 B1); IPR2025-01206 (Patent 11,950,105 B1)  
IPR2025-01207 (Patent 12,015,933 B1); IPR2025-01208 (Patent 11,974,143 B2)  
IPR2025-01209 (Patent 11,856,414 B1); IPR2025-01270 (Patent 12,114,177 B2)  
PGR2025-00067 (Patent 12,190,198 B1); PGR2025-00068 (Patent 12,169,756 B1)  
PGR2025-00069 (Patent 12,250,564 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, MICHELLEN. WORMMEESTER, KEVIN C.  
TROCK, JOHN D. HAMMANN, MICHAEL T. CYGAN, and STEPHEN E.  
BELISLE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.<sup>2</sup>

TROCK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses issues that are the same in each of the above-listed proceedings. We issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this heading style in any subsequent papers.

<sup>2</sup> This is not an order by an expanded panel. The Administrative Patent Judges listed are paneled in various groups of three in the above-identified proceedings.

Granting Petitioner’s Motions for  
*Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Patrick Schmidt  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Patrick Schmidt as counsel for Petitioner in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 18 (collectively “Motions”).<sup>3</sup> The Motion in each case is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Schmidt. Ex. 1025 (collectively “Declarations”).

Having reviewed the Motions and Mr. Schmidt’s Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Schmidt meets the requirements for admission *pro hac vice* and that Petitioner has shown good cause for Mr. Schmidt’s *pro hac vice* admission. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission) (Paper 4). Petitioner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Schmidt. Papers 1; 2. Petitioner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Schmidt as back-up counsel. Paper 3, 2. Mr. Schmidt will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in these proceedings as back-up counsel only.

---

<sup>3</sup> For expediency, we refer to Paper and Exhibit numbers filed in IPR2025-01203. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in IPR2025-01204, IPR2025-01205, IPR2025-01206, IPR2025-01207, IPR2025-01208, IPR2025-01209, IPR2025-01270, PGR2025-00067, PGR2025-00068, and PGR2025-00069.

## ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Motions are *granted* and Patrick Schmidt is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings, but that Mr. Schmidt is authorized to act as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schmidt is to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide<sup>4</sup> (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schmidt is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

---

<sup>4</sup> Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

For PETITIONER:

James M. Glass

Benjamin Kleinman

Quincy Lu

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

benjaminkleinman@quinnemanuel.com

quincylu@quinnemanuel.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Cyrus A. Morton

Jessica L. Gutierrez

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

cmorton@robinskaplan.com

jgutierrez@robinskaplan.com