

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Evans, Robert M.](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#); [Hamad Hamad](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [Hartley, Michael J.](#); [Petrillo, Kathleen](#); midwest@caldwellcc.com
Subject: RE: IPR2025-01117, -01118 - Notification of Development and Request for Authorization to File Supplemental Briefing
Date: Thursday, October 9, 2025 4:44:59 PM

The request is granted.

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 5-page Reply on discretionary issues in IPR2025-01117 and IPR2025-01118 due no later than Tuesday, October 14, 2025, limited to addressing the arguments raised below. Petitioner is authorized to file a 5-page Sur-reply due no later than Wednesday, October 17, 2025.

From: Evans, Robert M. <revans@lewisrice.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 3:15 PM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>; Hamad Hamad <hhamad@caldwellcc.com>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Hartley, Michael J. <MHartley@lewisrice.com>; Petrillo, Kathleen <KPetrillo@lewisrice.com>; midwest@caldwellcc.com
Subject: RE: IPR2025-01117, -01118 - Notification of Development and Request for Authorization to File Supplemental Briefing

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Deputy Director,

I write on behalf of Petitioner and Patent Owner to request a one-day extension of time for Patent Owner's Reply regarding discretionary issues and a two-day extension for Petitioner's Sur-Reply.

Per the Deputy Director's email this morning, Patent Owner's Reply on discretionary issues is currently due Monday, October 13, 2025 and Petitioner's Sur-Reply is currently due Wednesday, October 15, 2025.

Patent Owner respectfully requests a one-day extension of its Reply deadline from October 13, 2025, to October 14, 2025. Patent Owner makes this request because of counsel currently being in trial and having related travel conflicts.

Petitioner also respectfully requests a two-day extension of its Sur-reply deadline to and including October 17. Petitioner makes this request to conform with the requested one-

day extension for Patent Owner's Reply and because counsel for Petitioner has several pre-scheduled, out-of-office conflicts on October 15.

If the Deputy Director wishes to schedule a conference call to discuss the above, the parties will provide their collective availability for a call upon request.

Thank you,

Robert M. Evans, Jr.

Lead Counsel for Petitioner



Robert M. Evans, Jr.

revans@lewisrice.com

600 Washington Avenue
Suite 2500
St. Louis, Missouri 63101-1311
314.444.7784 (direct)
314.612.7784 (fax)
www.lewisrice.com

From: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>

Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 8:05 AM

To: Hamad Hamad <hhamad@caldwellcc.com>; Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>; Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Cc: Hartley, Michael J. <MHartley@lewisrice.com>; Petrillo, Kathleen <KPetrillo@lewisrice.com>; Evans, Robert M. <revans@lewisrice.com>; midwest@caldwellcc.com

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: IPR2025-01117, -01118 - Notification of Development and Request for Authorization to File Supplemental Briefing

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 5-page Reply on discretionary issues in IPR2025-01117 and IPR2025-01118 due no later than Monday, October 13, 2025, limited to addressing the arguments raised below. Petitioner is authorized to file a 5-page Sur-reply due no later than Wednesday, October 15, 2025.

Petitioner's request for authorization to file a Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response in IPR2025-01117 and 01118 is granted. Petitioner may file a 15-page Reply, limited to issues identified in its email to the Board on October 8, no later than October 22, 2025. Patent Owner is also authorized to file a 15-page Sur-reply, responding to the issues raised in the Reply, no later than November 5, 2025.

From: Hamad Hamad <hhamad@caldwellcc.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 9:58 AM

To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>; Trials

<Trials@USPTO.GOV>

Cc: Hartley, Michael J. <mhartley@lewisrice.com>; Petrillo, Kathleen <kpetrillo@lewisrice.com>;
revans@lewisrice.com; midwest@caldwellcc.com

Subject: IPR2025-01117, -01118 - Notification of Development and Request for Authorization to File Supplemental Briefing

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Deputy Director and Board,

We write on behalf of Patent Owner and Petitioner in the above-captioned matters to request authorization for Patent Owner to file a five-page Reply in support of its request for discretionary denial. The parties also request that Petitioner be authorized to file a five-page sur-reply one week after Patent Owner files its Reply.

The parties have also conferred regarding the filing of reply briefs to Patent Owner's Preliminary Responses (POPRs), and the Parties request authorization for Petitioner to file a 15-page Preliminary Reply and for Patent Owner to file a 15-page Preliminary Sur-reply in the above captioned matters.

The parties' respective position statements regarding these requests are provided below.

Discretionary Denial Briefing:

Patent Owner's Position:

Pursuant to the Office's September 16, 2025 Memorandum entitled "PTAB consideration of prior findings of fact and conclusions of law," (available at https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Memo_re_prior_findings_of_fact_and_conclusions_of_law_9_16_25.pdf), Patent Owner is alerting the Board of a memorandum opinion and order ("Memorandum Opinion") denying a motion for judgment as a matter of law entered by the court in *Midwest Energy Emissions Corp., et al. v. Arthur J. Gallagher & Co., et al.*, C.A. 1:19-cv-01334 (D. Del.), which has been identified as a related case in these proceedings. See, e.g., IPR2025-01117, Paper 1, Petition at 2; see also September 16, 2025 Memorandum ("[T]he Board shall consider relevant materials submitted by the parties from the other proceeding (e.g., an opinion, a judgment, trial testimony, or other evidence) and may authorize additional briefing.").

The Memorandum Opinion, attached here, includes findings of fact and conclusions of law that are relevant to Patent Owner's Request for Discretionary Denial in the above-captioned matters.

Pursuant to the Office's September 16, 2025 Memorandum entitled "PTAB consideration of prior findings of fact and conclusions of law," Patent Owner respectfully requests authorization to file a five-page supplemental brief in connection with Patent Owner's Brief in Support of Discretionary Denial regarding the new development in each matter. Patent Owner will submit the Memorandum Opinion as an exhibit in both proceedings. Patent Owner would not be opposed to Petitioners being permitted a five-page response.

Petitioner's Position:

Petitioner does not oppose Patent Owner's Request for a five-page reply so long as Petitioner is authorized to file a five-page sur-reply.

Petitioner further requests authorization to file Replies to the POPRs. Patent Owner has included arguments in both its Requests for Discretionary Denial and its POPRs regarding RPIs and privity. Petitioner understands that pursuant to the Board's FAQ 13, "the Board panel will not consider papers filed by parties relating to discretionary considerations. Parties must make all their arguments (citing evidence, as needed) on the merits and other non-discretionary considerations in the petition, POPR, and any authorized reply or sur-reply." <https://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/faqs/interim-processes-workload-management>.

Accordingly, to ensure that the Board panel can consider Petitioner's response to the RPI and privity issues raised in both the Discretionary Denial briefing, including Patent Owner's currently Requested Reply, and the POPRs, Petitioner requests a reply to the POPRs as discussed below.

Briefing for the Board Panel:

Petitioner's Position:

Petitioner requests that it be authorized to file 15-page replies to the POPRs in IPR2025-01117 and -1118 to address: (1) RPI and privity; (2) priority; (3) Patent Owner's

arguments and exhibits submitted with its POPR related to conception and reduction to practice; and (4) Patent Owner's arguments regarding Vosteen.

As noted above, it is Petitioner's understanding that the Board Panel will not consider discretionary denial briefs per FAQ 13. Petitioner included RPI and privity arguments in its oppositions to Patent Owner's discretionary denial briefs. Accordingly, Petitioner requests authorization to address Patent Owner's RPI and privity arguments in a reply so that they may be considered by the Board Panel.

Petitioner notes that Patent Owner's RPI and privity arguments are the same in both IPR2025-0117 and -1118. Petitioner's Preliminary Reply regarding the RPI and privity issues would therefore be the same in both proceedings.

To the extent Petitioner is granted authorization to submit replies to the POPRs, Petitioner does not oppose sur-replies by Patent Owner of the same length.

Patent Owner's Position:

Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's request for replies to the POPRs, provided that Patent Owner is granted sur-replies of the same length.

If the Board or Director wishes to schedule a conference call to discuss the above, the parties will provide their collective availability for a call upon request.

Sincerely,
Hamad Hamad
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner

Hamad Hamad /// Caldwell Cassidy Curry P.C.
2121 North Pearl Street, Suite 1200
Dallas, Texas 75201
Direct: 214.888.4843
Firm: 214.888.4848
Fax: 214.888.4849

hhamad@caldwellcc.com

www.caldwellcc.com

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY:

The information contained in this e-mail is subject to the ATTORNEY-CLIENT and ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE and is CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended only for the recipient(s) designated above. Any dissemination, distribution, copying, use or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this e-mail by or to anyone other than the recipient(s) designated by the sender is unauthorized and prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply immediately. Any e-mail erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately destroyed.

This message, including attachments, is from the law firm of Lewis Rice LLC. This message contains information that may be confidential and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient, promptly delete this message and notify the sender of the delivery error by return e-mail or call us at 314-444-7600. You may not forward, print, copy, distribute, or use the information in this message if you are not the intended recipient.