

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5
6 EVOLUTION MALTA LIMITED, *et al.*,
7 Plaintiffs,
8 v.
9 LIGHT & WONDER, INC., *et al.*,
10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00993-CDS-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 121]

11 Pending before the Court is the parties’ stipulation to stay discovery. Docket No. 121.

12 The Court has broad discretionary power to control discovery. *See, e.g., Little v. City of*
13 *Seattle*, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988). The party seeking a stay of discovery bears the heavy
14 burden of making a strong showing that discovery should be denied. *Turner Broad. Sys., Inc. v.*
15 *Tracinda Corp.*, 175 F.R.D. 554, 556 (D. Nev. 1997). Discovery may be stayed when: (1) there
16 is a pending motion that is potentially dispositive in scope and effect; (2) the potentially dispositive
17 motion can be decided without additional discovery; and (3) the Court has taken a “preliminary
18 peek” at the merits of the underlying motion and is convinced that Plaintiff will be unable to state
19 a claim for relief. *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013).

20 The stipulation fails to address the governing standards. *See* Docket No. 121. Accordingly,
21 the parties’ stipulation to stay discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 121.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: June 25, 2025

24
25 
26 Nancy J. Koppe
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28