

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TELCOM VENTURES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00957 (Patent 11,937,172 B1)  
IPR2025-00972 (Patent 10,219,199 B2)  
IPR2025-00973 (Patent 9,462,411 B2)  
IPR2025-00974 (Patent 10,674,432 B2)  
IPR2025-00975 (Patent 9,832,708 B2)  
IPR2025-00976 (Patent 11,924,743 B2)  
IPR2025-00977 (Patent 11,770,756 B2)  
IPR2025-00978 (Patent 12,028,793 B2)

---

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE,<sup>1</sup> *Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review

---

<sup>1</sup> Coke Morgan Stewart, Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, is recused and took no part in this decision. *See* <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/dsco-delegation.pdf>.

IPR2025-00957 (Patent 11,937,172 B1)  
IPR2025-00972 (Patent 10,219,199 B2)  
IPR2025-00973 (Patent 9,462,411 B2)  
IPR2025-00974 (Patent 10,674,432 B2)  
IPR2025-00975 (Patent 9,832,708 B2)  
IPR2025-00976 (Patent 11,924,743 B2)  
IPR2025-00977 (Patent 11,770,756 B2)  
IPR2025-00978 (Patent 12,028,793 B2)

Telcom Ventures LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a request for discretionary denial (Paper 7, “DD Req.”) in the above-captioned cases, and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed an opposition (Paper 10, “DD Opp.”).<sup>2</sup>

After considering the parties’ arguments and the record, and in view of all relevant considerations, discretionary denial of institution is appropriate in these proceedings. This determination is based on the totality of the evidence and arguments the parties have presented.

In particular, the projected final written decision due date in the Board proceeding is December 10, 2026.<sup>3</sup> DD Req. 13. The district court’s scheduled trial date is June 1, 2026, and the time-to-trial statistics suggest trial will begin by October 2026. *Id.*; DD. Opp. 17. As such, it is unlikely that a final written decision in this proceeding will issue before district court trial occurs resulting in significant duplication of effort, additional expense for the parties, and a risk of inconsistent decisions. Additionally, there is insufficient evidence that the district court is likely to stay its proceeding even if the Board were to institute trial. Further, Petitioner’s argument that the patent examiner committed “multiple errors” during prosecution are not persuasive. *See* DD Opp. 7–12.

---

<sup>2</sup> Citations are to papers in IPR2025-00957. The parties filed similar papers in IPR2025-00972 through IPR2025-00978.

<sup>3</sup> The projected final written decision in some of the proceedings is December 16, 2026, and in others, December 17, 2026.

IPR2025-00957 (Patent 11,937,172 B1)

IPR2025-00972 (Patent 10,219,199 B2)

IPR2025-00973 (Patent 9,462,411 B2)

IPR2025-00974 (Patent 10,674,432 B2)

IPR2025-00975 (Patent 9,832,708 B2)

IPR2025-00976 (Patent 11,924,743 B2)

IPR2025-00977 (Patent 11,770,756 B2)

IPR2025-00978 (Patent 12,028,793 B2)

Although certain arguments are highlighted above, the determination to exercise discretion to deny institution is based on a holistic assessment of all of the evidence and arguments presented. Accordingly, the Petitions are denied under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's request for discretionary denial is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petitions are *denied*, and no trial is instituted.

IPR2025-00957 (Patent 11,937,172 B1)

IPR2025-00972 (Patent 10,219,199 B2)

IPR2025-00973 (Patent 9,462,411 B2)

IPR2025-00974 (Patent 10,674,432 B2)

IPR2025-00975 (Patent 9,832,708 B2)

IPR2025-00976 (Patent 11,924,743 B2)

IPR2025-00977 (Patent 11,770,756 B2)

IPR2025-00978 (Patent 12,028,793 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

James Glass

Ognjen Zivojnovic

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

ogzivojnovic@quinnemanuel.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Christopher Douglas

Kirk Bradley

Karlee Wroblewski

Jacob Young

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

christopher.douglas@alston.com

kirk.bradley@alston.com

karlee.wroblewski@alston.com

jacob.young@alston.com