



District Judge Alan D Albright of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas [W.D.Tex.](#)

Showing 50 federal motion chains with an order issued by District Judge Alan D Albright; with keyword(s) [(IPR OR "inter partes review")]; with Stay Pending PTAB or Stay Pending USPTO as motion types; not with Stipulation; filed between 2000-01-01 and 2025-08-28.; sorted by most recently issued order.


Motion Types

Motion Type ^	Orders	Outcomes (% is the nat'l avg. grant rate)	Grant	Deny	Partial	Other
Stay Pending PTAB	44	 52%	43%	55%	2%	0%
Stay Pending USPTO	6	 52%	33%	67%	0%	0%

Timing

Time from Opening Motion to Order

These timing analytics reflect the time from the filing of the opening motion to the order resolving the motion.



Motion Chain List

Stay Pending USPTO  Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2025-07-17

Order Issued: 2025-08-15 (29 days)

 **301 Opening:** Google LLC

B. PTO Proceedings In January 2021, Google filed a petition for *inter partes review* challenging the validity of every claim of the '327 patent. In July

 [View this document](#)


 **302 Response:** EcoFactor, Inc.

Reexamination of the '327 Patent Based on the Same Prior Art as Its Prior Failed *IPR* and the Same Prior Art Google Relied on at Trial

 [View this document](#)

 **303 Response:** EcoFactor, Inc.

 **305 Reply:** Google LLC

 **306 Order to Stay Pending USPTO**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

[EcoFactor, Inc. v. Google LLC](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00075](#)

Patent

Trial

Jury Trial

Claim Construction Hearing

High-Volume Plaintiff

Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB Partial

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2025-04-25

Order Issued: 2025-07-25 (91 days)

[64 Opening](#): Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Defendant. APPLE'S OPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

[66 Response](#): Proxense, LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*


Defendant. PROXENSE'S OPPOSITION TO APPLE'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE PATENTS-AT-ISSUE TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

[67 Reply](#): Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

APPLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Partial

[Proxense, LLC v. Apple, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:24-cv-00143](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB  Grant


Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)


Motion Filed: 2025-04-14

Order Issued: 2025-05-27 (43 days)

 **92 Opening:** NXP, B.V., and NXP Semiconductors N.V. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

V., NXP B.V., AND NXP USA, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

 [View this document](#)

 **98 Response:** ParkerVision, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

PARKERVISION INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION

 [View this document](#)


 **102 Reply:** NXP, B.V., and NXP Semiconductors N.V. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

USA, INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* I. INTRODUCTION
The recent delay in trial has significantly changed

 [View this document](#)

 **110 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW*
Defendants NXP Semiconductors N.V., NXP B.V., and NXP USA, Inc. d/b/a

 [View this document](#)

[ParkerVision, Inc. v. NXP Semiconductors N.V. et al](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:23-cv-00389](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2024-12-13

Order Issued: 2025-02-20 (69 days)

➔ **33 Opening:** Green Revolution Cooling, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT GREEN REVOLUTION COOLING, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE '457 PATENT TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

↶ **40 Response:** Midas Green Technologies, LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT GREEN REVOLUTION COOLING, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE '457 PATENT TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **47 Reply:** Green Revolution Cooling, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

REVOLUTION COOLING, INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE '457 PATENT i Midas's Opposition [Dkt. No. 40] is comprised

[View this document](#)

🔍 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

[Midas Green Technologies, LLC v. Green Revolution Cooling, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:24-cv-00166](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2024-12-30

Order Issued: 2025-02-05 (37 days)

➔ **100 Opening:** Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS IN *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS I. INTRODUCTION The Court should exercise

[View this document](#)

↶ **102 Response:** Theta IP, LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

not going to come from the Board's decisions—because Apple stated in its *IPR* petitions that the Board need not construe terms, or should apply Theta's

[View this document](#)

➔ **103 Reply:** Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

WRITTEN DECISIONS IN *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS I. INTRODUCTION The core facts that warrant staying this case pending *IPR* final written decisions

[View this document](#)

🔍 **104 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

DENYING APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS IN *INTER PARTES REVIEW* This Court considered the arguments in Apple Inc.'s Opposed Motion

[View this document](#)

Theta IP, LLC v. Apple Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:23-cv-00314

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✔ Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2024-11-01

Order Issued: 2024-11-07 (6 days)

➔ **136 Opening:** Auto Telematics Ltd. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Demanded PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY PENDING **IPR** PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY PENDING **IPR** I. INTRODUCTION Plaintiff Auto Telematics Ltd.

[View this document](#)

🔍 **138 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

Trial Demanded ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY PENDING **IPR** Before the Court is Plaintiff Auto Telematics Ltd.'s Emergency Motion to Stay

[View this document](#)

[Auto Telematics Ltd. v. United Services Automobile Association](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:22-cv-00474](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-08-04

Order Issued: 2024-05-29 (299 days)

➔ **52 Opening:** Micron Technology, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANT MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING COMPLETION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** II. ILL. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS INTRODUCTION oie ccc ceececsse

[View this document](#)

↩ **56 Response:** Sonrai Memory Limited *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING COMPLETION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **58 Reply:** Micron Technology, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

🔍 **96 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING COMPLETION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Came on for consideration this date is Defendant Micron Technology

[View this document](#)

[Sonrai Memory Limited v. Micron Technology, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 1:23-cv-01407](#)

Patent

Trial Claim Construction Hearing High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2024-02-05

Order Issued: 2024-02-20 (15 days)

➔ **95 Opening:** United Services Automobile Association, and Noblr Reciprocal Exchange [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

↶ **102 Response:** Auto Telematics Ltd. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING **IPR** PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING **IPR** TABLE OF CONTENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS.....

[View this document](#)

🔍 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

[Auto Telematics Ltd. v. United Services Automobile Association](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:22-cv-00474](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-09-19

Order Issued: 2023-10-24 (35 days)

➔ **128 Opening:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS I. INTRODUCTION Samsung brings this Opposed Renewed Motion to Stay Pending **Inter Partes Review** ("Renewed

[View this document](#)

↶ **129 Response:** Smart Mobile Technologies LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

TO SAMSUNG'S OPPOSED RENEWED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **130 Reply:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED RENEWED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS I. INTRODUCTION Samsung seeks only a limited

[View this document](#)

🔍 **131 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' OPPOSED RENEWED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendant Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung

[View this document](#)

[Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00701](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB  Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-05-11

Order Issued: 2023-08-24 (105 days)

[Peter Pedersen v. Oracle Corporation](#)


[W.D.Tex. 6:22-cv-00410](#)

Patent

High-Volume Plaintiff


 **24 Opening:** Oracle Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANT ORACLE CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* II. IIL. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS INTRODUCTION ooo. ieee cece cce

 [View this document](#)

 **29 Response:** Peter Pedersen *keyword(s) in docket entry text*


TO DEFENDANT ORACLE CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* 1 I. INTRODUCTION Plaintiff Peter Pedersen ("Plaintiff" or "Pedersen")

 [View this document](#)


 **32 Reply:** Oracle Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

CORPORATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

 [View this document](#)

 **42 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

ORACLE CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Came on for consideration this date is Defendant Oracle Corporation's

 [View this document](#)

Stay Pending PTAB  Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)


Motion Filed: 2023-05-11


Order Issued: 2023-08-24 (105 days)

 [24 Opening](#): Oracle Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANT ORACLE CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* II. IIL. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS INTRODUCTION ooo. cece cceseesc

 [View this document](#)

 [29 Response](#): Peter Pedersen *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

 [32 Reply](#): Oracle Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

 [42 Order to Stay Pending PTAB](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

ORACLE CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Came on for consideration this date is Defendant Oracle Corporation's

 [View this document](#)

[Peter Pedersen v. Oracle Corporation](#)

[W.D.Tex. 1:23-cv-01020](#)

Patent

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-17

Order Issued: 2023-08-08 (172 days)

📄 **88 Opening:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANTS' JOINT OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

📄 **96 Response:** Smart Mobile Technologies LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

📄 **97 Reply:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

📄 **115 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Defendants. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Before the Court is Defendants Apple Inc. ("Apple"), Samsung Electronics

[View this document](#)

Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.
et al

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00701

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-17

Order Issued: 2023-08-08 (172 days)

➡ **99** Opening: Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

↩ **110** Response: Smart Mobile Technologies LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➡ **111** Reply: Apple Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

IN SUPPORT OF JOINT OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS With all 175 claims asserted against Samsung

[View this document](#)

🔍 **128** Order to Stay Pending PTAB, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Defendants. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Before the Court is Defendants Apple Inc. ("Apple"), Samsung Electronics

[View this document](#)

Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00603

Patent

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-03-30

Order Issued: 2023-08-01 (124 days)

➔ **47 Opening:** Blue Bird Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Requested DEFENDANT BLUEBIRD INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Defendant Bluebird Inc. ("Bluebird") moves to stay the proceedings

[View this document](#)

↶ **49 Response:** Lone Star SCM Systems, Ltd. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

➔ **51 Reply:** Blue Bird Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

BLUEBIRD INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* 1 The modulus operandi of non-practicing entities like Lone Star SCM

[View this document](#)

🔍 **61 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Before the Court is Defendant Bluebird Inc.'s ("Bluebird") Opposed Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes Review* filed on March

[View this document](#)

Lone Star SCM Systems, Ltd. v. Bluebird Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00844

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing


Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-28


Order Issued: 2023-07-31 (153 days)

[Lone Star SCM Systems, Ltd. v. Zebra Technologies Corporation](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00842](#)**Patent** **77 Opening:** Zebra Technologies Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*DEFENDANT ZEBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW*

Defendant Zebra Technologies Corporation moves to stay these proceedings

 [View this document](#) **78 Response:** Lone Star SCM Systems, Ltd. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*STAR SCM SYSTEMS, LTD'S RESPONSE TO OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Defendant

Zebra Technologies Corporation ("Zebra") moved to stay the

 [View this document](#) **80 Reply:** Zebra Technologies Corporation *keyword(s) in docket entry text*CORPORATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Plaintiff Lone

Star SCM Systems, Ltd.'s Opposition confirms that

 [View this document](#) **85 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: GrantGRANTING ZEBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Before the Court is Defendant Zebra Technologies Corporation's ("Zebra") [View this document](#)

Stay Pending USPTO ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-04-21

Order Issued: 2023-06-29 (69 days)

 [104 Opening](#): Apple Inc.

 [114 Response](#): Identity Security LLC

set). In the interim, it litigated (and lost) Markman, filed four (rejected) **IPR** proceedings, and now has engaged in significant discovery. A party should

[View this document](#)

 [116 Reply](#): Apple Inc.

this Court's Markman order and Plaintiff's concessions during **inter partes review** ("**IPR**") proceedings. See Ex. 13 at 14-20 (Plaintiff urging narrow construction

[View this document](#)

 [128 Order to Stay Pending USPTO](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

[Identity Security LLC v. Apple, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 1:22-cv-00058](#)

Patent

Trial

Order re: Summary Judgment

Jury Trial

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✔ Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-05-31

Order Issued: 2023-06-23 (23 days)

 [29 Opening](#): Zoho Corporation **keyword(s) in docket entry text**

1:23-cv-00071-DII DEFENDANT ZOHO CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW**
TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

 [33 Order to Stay Pending PTAB](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendant Zoho Corporation's Motion to Stay Pending **Inter Partes Review**. ECF No. 29 (the "Motion")

[View this document](#)

[Peter Pedersen v. Zoho Corporation](#)

[W.D.Tex. 1:23-cv-00071](#)

Patent

Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending USPTO ✖ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-23

Order Issued: 2023-05-18 (84 days)

➔ [147 Opening](#): Impinj, Inc.

↩ [149 Response](#): NXP USA, Inc.

➔ [150 Reply](#): Impinj, Inc.

🔍 [167 Order to Stay Pending USPTO](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

(C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2012) (finding that the ten-month delay in filing the *IPR* petition was justified)). The timeliness of Impinj, therefore, does not go

[View this document](#)

[Impinj, Inc. v. NXP USA, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00530](#)

Patent Contracts

Trial Jury Trial Claim Construction Hearing Commercial

Business Tort Breach of Contract

Stay Pending USPTO ✖ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-01-31

Order Issued: 2023-04-20 (79 days)

➔ [141 Opening](#): Impinj, Inc.

↩ [143 Response](#): NXP USA, Inc.

➔ [144 Reply](#): Impinj, Inc.

specifically noted . . . that it cannot say that the merits of Facebook's *IPR* petitions are particularly strong." Id. (cleaned up).

Here, by contrast, the

[View this document](#)

🔍 [160 Order to Stay Pending USPTO](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

[Impinj, Inc. v. NXP USA, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00530](#)

Patent Contracts

Trial Jury Trial Claim Construction Hearing Commercial


Business Tort Breach of Contract

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-21

Order Issued: 2023-04-14 (52 days)

 **112 Opening:** LG Electronics, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)


Defendant. DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE '122 PATENT, '287 PATENT AND '393 PATENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

[View this document](#)

 **133 Response:** Carolyn W. Hafeman [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

Defendant. PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

 **148 Reply:** LG Electronics, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

jury and PTAB reaching inconsistent outcomes. Because Microsoft and Google's **IPR** grounds are exceptionally strong and likely to invalidate all of the Asserted

[View this document](#)

 **203 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

2 3 The Court's Order Motion LG's Motion to Stay DENIED Pending **Inter Partes Review** of the '122 Patent, '287 Patent and '393 Patent, Dkt. No. 112 LG's

[View this document](#)

Stay Pending PTAB ✔ Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-03-31

Order Issued: 2023-04-04 (4 days)

 **255 Opening:** Amperex Technology Limited [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

RENEWED OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY IN LIGHT OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION ON **INTER PARTES REVIEW** INVALIDATING ALL ASSERTED PATENTS I. INTRODUCTION On March 28, 2023

[View this document](#)

 **280 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

[Hafeman v. LG Electronics Inc. et al](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00696](#)

Patent Antitrust

Trial Order re: Summary Judgment Jury Trial

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

[Maxell, Ltd. v. Amperex Technology Limited](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00347](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB ✖ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-10-25

Order Issued: 2023-03-24 (150 days)

➔ **59 Opening:** TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd.

at 2; No. 6:20-cv-00522, Dkt. 78 at 2. 1 A Final Written Decision in the **IPR** against the '096 Patent (IPR2021-00375) issued on July 15, 2022. Only claim

[View this document](#)

↶ **61 Response:** UNM Rainforest Innovations

issuance of final written decisions in **IPR** Case Nos. IPR2021-00375, IPR2021-00377, and IPR2021-00582 (the "**IPR** Proceedings"). 1 A Final Written Decision

[View this document](#)

➔ **62 Reply:** TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd.

appeal has expired or any appeal has been terminated" with respect to the **IPR** decisions. 37 C.F.R. § 42.80. Given UNM's stated intent to later assert those

[View this document](#)

🔍 **92 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny **keyword(s) in docket entry text**

LTD., Defendant. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendant TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd.'s ("TP-Link"

[View this document](#)

STC.UNM v. TP-Link Technologies Co., Ltd.

W.D.Tex. 6:19-cv-00262

Patent

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2023-02-03

Order Issued: 2023-03-22 (47 days)

➔ **66 Opening:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*
TRIAL DEMANDED DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING APPEAL OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS WEST/301622569 TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I

[View this document](#)

↶ **67 Response:** Neonode Smartphone LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*
LLC'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING APPEAL OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **68 Reply:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*
DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING APPEAL OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS WEST/301819810 In its Opposition, Neonode

[View this document](#)

🔍 **70 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny
SEVERING CASE AND DENYING SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS Before the Court is Defendants Samsung Electronics

[View this document](#)

Neonode Smartphone LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al
W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00507

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-07-20

Order Issued: 2022-12-22 (155 days)

➔ **85 Opening:** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

ENTERPRISE COMPANY'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENT TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION .

[View this document](#)

↶ **87 Response:** Intellectual Ventures I LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENT I. TABLE OF CONTENTS INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **88 Reply:** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE ASSERTED PATENT I. INTRODUCTION This case is a prime example for a stay pending *IPR*. The PTAB instituted *IPR* on every

[View this document](#)

🔍 **104 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

ENTERPRISE COMPANY'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Before the Court is Defendant Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company's

[View this document](#)

[Intellectual Ventures I LLC et al v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00596](#)

Patent

Order re: Dismiss (Contested) High-Volume Plaintiff

Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-11-15

Order Issued: 2022-12-20 (35 days)

➔ **51 Opening:** Lightspeed POS Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT LIGHTSPEED'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY BASED ON INSTITUTED **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. Introduction

[View this document](#)

↶ **53 Response:** CloudofChange, LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT LIGHTSPEED'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY BASED ON INSITUTED **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS (DKT. 51) TABLE OF CONTENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS

[View this document](#)

➔ **55 Reply:** Lightspeed POS Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

LIGHTSPEED'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY BASED ON INSTITUTED **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS TABLE OF CONTENTS I. Factor 1: CoC Will Not

[View this document](#)

🔍 **57 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

[CloudofChange, LLC v. Lightspeed POS Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-01102](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-05-04

Order Issued: 2022-10-20 (169 days)

➔ **10** Opening: Bank of America, N.A. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,862,508 Exhibit No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Description

[View this document](#)

↶ **12** Response: mCom IP, LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

➔ **13** Reply: Bank of America, N.A. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

⚖️ **26** Order to Stay Pending PTAB, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

CORP., Defendant. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendant Bank of America Corporation's ("Bank

[View this document](#)

[MCOM IP, LLC v. Bank of America Corporation](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:22-cv-00256](#)

Patent

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-08-16

Order Issued: 2022-10-18 (63 days)

➔ **78 Opening:** Kingston Technology Company, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT I. INTRODUCTION On July 29, 2022, the PTAB instituted **inter partes review** of all challenged

[View this document](#)

↶ **82 Response:** Sonrai Memory Limited [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

➔ **83 Reply:** Kingston Technology Company, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

KINGSTON'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT I. INTRODUCTION Sonrai's opposition confirms

[View this document](#)

🔍 **94 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendants Kingston Technology Company Inc.'s

[View this document](#)

[Sonrai Memory Limited v. Kingston Technology Company, Inc. et al](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-01284](#)

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending USPTO ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-08-08

Order Issued: 2022-09-02 (25 days)

➔ **65 Opening:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

8, 2022, following the Patent Office's decision not to institute *inter partes review* of the '730, '905, and '989 patents, Samsung sought ex parte reexamination

[View this document](#)

↶ **70 Response:** Proxense, LLC

17, 2023 (see id.). Defendants filed unsuccessful 1 petitions for *inter partes review* as to all of the remaining patents-in-suit on August 26, 2021, 1

[View this document](#)

➔ **72 Reply:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

🔍 **Order to Stay Pending USPTO**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

[Proxense, LLC v. Samsung Electronics CO, LTD et al](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00210](#)

Patent


Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

COVID-19

Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-04-04

Order Issued: 2022-08-04 (122 days)

 **91 Opening:** Western Digital Technologies, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)WESTERN DIGITAL'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION .[View this document](#) **98 Response:** Sonrai Memory Limited [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)OPPOSITION TO WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** (DKT. 91) TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION[View this document](#) **99 Reply:** Western Digital Technologies, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)DIGITAL'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT (DKT. 91) TABLE OF CONTENTS Page I. INTRODUCTION[View this document](#) **104 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: GrantTECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Came on for consideration this date is Defendant Western Digital Technologies[View this document](#)

Sonrai Memory Limited v. Western Digital Technologies, Inc

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-01168

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-03-15

Order Issued: 2022-06-27 (104 days)

➔ **44 Opening:** LG Electronics, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE ASSERTED PATENT TABLE OF CONTENTS Page

[View this document](#)

↶ **45 Response:** Sonrai Memory Limited *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANTS LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* (DKT. NO. 44) TABLE OF CONTENTS I. INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **46 Reply:** LG Electronics, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE ASSERTED PATENT Case 6:21-cv-00168-ADA

[View this document](#)

🔍 **55 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Came on for consideration this date is Defendants LG Electronics Inc

[View this document](#)

Sonrai Memory Limited v. LG Electronics Inc. et al

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00168

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB  Grant

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-12-15

Order Issued: 2022-06-08 (175 days)

[Xylon Licensing LLC v. Lone Star National Bancshares-Texas, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00302](#)

Patent

 **18 Opening:** Lone Star National Bancshares-Texas, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

CASE Defendant. DEFENDANT'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** TABLE OF CONTENTS T. BACKGROUND 2.0... ecccececcescsesesee

 [View this document](#)

 **20 Response:** Xylon Licensing LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Dated: January 12, 2022 Respectfully submitted, SAND, SEBOLT

 [View this document](#)

 **21 Reply:** Lone Star National Bancshares-Texas, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** TABLE OF CONTENTS I. ARGUMENT.....

 [View this document](#)

 **22 Reply:** Lone Star National Bancshares-Texas, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** TABLE OF CONTENTS I. ARGUMENT.....

 [View this document](#)

 **28 Order to Stay Pending PTAB,** Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Before the Court is Defendant Lone Star National Bancshares-Texas

 [View this document](#)

Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: **Judge Albright**

Motion Filed: 2022-05-13

Order Issued: 2022-06-07 (25 days)

 **56 Opening:** Kajeet, Inc.

this litigation pending the resolution of the recently instituted *inter partes review* challenging the validity of every asserted claim of U.S. Patent No

 [View this document](#) **59 Response:** Trend Micro Incorporated

Stay Pending *Inter Partes Review*, Defendant Trend Micro Inc. ("Trend Micro") does not oppose a stay to this case pending the *inter partes review* challenge

 [View this document](#) **60 Reply:** Kajeet, Inc.

requested a stay of this litigation pending the outcome of IPR2022-00001 ("the *IPR*"). Dkt. 56. After refusing to join in Kajeet's request, or at least agree

 [View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

Kajeet, Inc. v. Trend Micro Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00389

Patent**Claim Construction Hearing** **Order re: Dismiss (Contested)****Appealed**

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2022-03-04

Order Issued: 2022-05-20 (77 days)

👉 **87 Opening:** Halliburton US Technologies, Inc., Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and Cimarex Energy Co. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANTS & COUNTER PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSED MOTION FOR A PARTIAL STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW*
Defendants Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. ("HESI") and Cimarex

[View this document](#)

👈 **88 Response:** U.S. Well Services, LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

👉 **91 Reply:** Halliburton US Technologies, Inc., Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and Cimarex Energy Co. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANTS & COUNTER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PARTIAL STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Defendants
Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. ("HESI") and Cimarex

[View this document](#)

👉 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. et al v. U.S. Well Services, LLC
W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00367

Patent


Trial Order re: Summary Judgment Jury Trial

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)


Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: **Judge Albright**

Motion Filed: 2021-12-16

Order Issued: 2022-02-10 (56 days)

 **28 Opening:** Google LLC

also two pending *inter partes review* (“*IPR*”) petitions against the asserted claims. In August 2021 Roku and Nintendo filed these *IPR* petitions based on

[View this document](#) **29 Response:** Ancora Technologies, Inc.

outcome of the ex parte reexamination will not bind Google. Unlike an *inter partes review*, ex parte reexaminations carry no estoppel effect. As a result, if

[View this document](#) **30 Reply:** Google LLC

the issues in this case. Ancora argues that an EPR is less likely than an *IPR* to simplify the issues for trial because EPRs do not result in estoppel. Opp

[View this document](#) **34 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

LLC, Defendants. ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* Upon consideration of the Stipulation and Joint Motion to Stay Pending

[View this document](#)

Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Google, LLC

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00735

Patent

Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-12-14

Order Issued: 2021-12-29 (15 days)

[Gesture Technology Partners, LLC v. Apple, Inc.](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00121](#)**Patent** **36 Opening:** Apple Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)DEMANDED APPLE INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS WEST\2968541 12.1 TABLE OF CONTENTS Page[View this document](#) **39 Response:** Gesture Technology Partners, LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS I. INTRODUCTION Plaintiff Gesture Technology[View this document](#) **40 Reply:** Apple Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS WEST\296998802. 1 Case 6:21-cv-00121-ADA[View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-11-12

Order Issued: 2021-12-20 (38 days)

 **58 Opening:** G&H Diversified Manufacturing, LP

broad preclusive effects of a PGR (relative to the far more common *inter partes review* (“*IPR*”)), the early stage of this case, and the lack of prejudice to


[View this document](#) **61 Response:** DynaEnergetics US, Inc., and DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH

G&H touts as having a higher “more likely than not” standard of review than *IPR*, see Dkt. 58 at 5) by lumping them together with the Central Reexamination

[View this document](#) **65 Reply:** G&H Diversified Manufacturing, LP **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant[DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH et al v. Yellow Jacket Oil Tools, LLC](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-01110](#)**Patent****Order re: Dismiss (Contested)** **Appealed****Stay Pending PTAB**  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-11-19

Order Issued: 2021-12-20 (31 days)

 **44 Opening:** GR Energy Services Operating GP LLC, GR Energy Services, LLC, and GR Energy Services Management, LP

(ordering amended complaint following petitioner’s amendment of claims in an *IPR*). Allowing the case to proceed through a claim construction hearing and discovery

[View this document](#) **49 Response:** DynaEnergetics US, Inc., and DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH **52 Reply:** GR Energy Services Operating GP LLC, GR Energy Services, LLC, and GR Energy Services Management, LP

, ECF No. 219 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 9, 2021) (“[W]here the PTAB has instituted *IPR* proceedings or the PTO has granted EPR’s as to all claims of all asserted

[View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant[DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH et al v. GR Energy Services Operating GP LLC et al](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00085](#)**Patent****High-Volume Plaintiff**


Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-12-03


Order Issued: 2021-12-20 (17 days)

 **42 Opening:** Allied Wireline Services, LLC, and Horizontal Wireline Services, LLC

(ordering amended complaint following petitioner's amendment of claims in an *IPR*). Allowing the case to proceed through a claim construction hearing and discovery

[View this document](#) **45 Response:** DynaEnergetics US, Inc., and DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH

invalidity arguments based on references already considered by the PTO in the *IPR* proceedings."). Though Horizontal argues that the Court should be persuaded

[View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH et al v. Horizontal Wireline Services, LLC et al

W.D.Tex. 6:21-cv-00349

Patent

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending USPTO ✓ GrantIssued By: **Judge Albright**

Motion Filed: 2021-10-08

Order Issued: 2021-12-07 (60 days)

➔ **38 Opening:** T-Mobile USA, Inc.

Kirsch Research, wherein this Court stayed litigation pending resolution of an **IPR** proceeding. There, as here, the patent office had instituted the proceeding

[View this document](#)← **40 Response:** TC Technology LLC

T-Mobile relies on heavily in its Motion), where the PTAB proceeding was an **IPR** that would be complete in one year. 77 Fed. Reg. 48727; Kirsch Research and

[View this document](#)➔ **42 Reply:** T-Mobile USA, Inc.

this Court refused to grant a late-filed stay motion in favor of an **IPR** because the **IPR** had not yet been instituted. See 6:18-cv-00207-ADA, 2019 WL 11706231

[View this document](#)🔍 **44 Order to Stay Pending USPTO**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

The the °488 Patent has two claims. Sprint filed a petition for **inter partes review** with the Patent and Trademark Office on January 25, 2017, which was

[View this document](#)

TC Technology LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.


W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00899

Patent

Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-09-17

Order Issued: 2021-10-05 (1 year, 18 days)

 **22 Opening:** IKO Industries Inc, and IKO Industries Ltd *keyword(s) in docket entry text*MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE RELATED ITC PROCEEDING AND/OR **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT II. II. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS BACKGROUND[View this document](#) **24 Response:** Kirsch Research and Development, LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE RELATED ITC PROCEEDING AND/OR **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT II. III. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS INTRODUCTION[View this document](#) **25 Reply:** IKO Industries Inc, and IKO Industries Ltd *keyword(s) in docket entry text*SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO STAY PENDING RELATED ITC PROCEEDING AND/OR **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT Kirsch's actions speak louder than its words[View this document](#) **81 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: GrantMOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE RELATED ITC PROCEEDING AND/OR **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT [ECF No. 22] Came on for consideration this[View this document](#)

Kirsch Research and Development, LLC v. IKO Industries, Inc. et al

W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00317

Patent**Claim Construction Hearing** **Order re: Dismiss (Contested)****High-Volume Plaintiff**

Stay Pending PTAB  Grant


Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)


Motion Filed: 2021-09-27

Order Issued: 2021-10-04 (7 days)

 [57 Opening](#): Tarco Specialty Products, Inc. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

DEFENDANT TARCO SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE '482 PATENT Table of Contents Table of Authorities I.

 [View this document](#)

 [62 Order to Stay Pending PTAB](#), Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant

OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF THE °482 PATENT [ECF No. 57] Came on for consideration this date

 [View this document](#)

Kirsch Research and Development, LLC v. Tarco Specialty Products, Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00318

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

High-Volume Plaintiff

Stay Pending PTAB ✖ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2021-05-05

Order Issued: 2021-08-05 (92 days)

➔ **51 Opening:** Facebook, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING INSTITUTED **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS II. III. IV. TABLE OF CONTENTS Page INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

➔ **55 Reply:** Facebook, Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

FACEBOOK, INC.'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS II. III. TABLE OF CONTENTS Page INTRODUCTION

[View this document](#)

⬅ **60 Response:** USC IP Partnership, L.P [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

'S RESPONSE TO FACEBOOK, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING INSTITUTED **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS I. INTRODUCTION Plaintiff USC IP Partnership

[View this document](#)

🔍 **66 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

Defendant Facebook, Inc.'s ("Facebook") Motion to Stay Pending Instituted **Inter Partes Review** ("Motion to Stay"). ECF No. 51. After careful consideration of the

[View this document](#)

[USC IP Partnership, L.P. v. Facebook, Inc.](#)

[W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00555](#)

Patent

Trial Order re: Summary Judgment

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ DenyIssued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-12-16

Order Issued: 2021-04-07 (112 days)

56 Opening: Cizion, LLC, Vulcan Energy Services, LLC, and Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC

printed publications” in an **IPR**, 35 U.S.C. § 311(b)—the estoppel that attaches to a PGR is correspondingly broader than that of an **IPR**. Thus, “any conclusion

[View this document](#)**58 Response:** Kerr Machine Co.**61 Reply:** Cizion, LLC, Vulcan Energy Services, LLC, and Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC**76 Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny[Kerr Machine Co. v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00200](#)[Trademark](#) [Patent](#) [Contracts](#)[Claim Construction Hearing](#) [Commercial](#) [Business Tort](#)[Appealed](#)**Stay Pending PTAB** ✘ DenyIssued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-07-31

Order Issued: 2020-08-02 (2 days)

28 Opening: Cizion, LLC, Vulcan Energy Services, LLC, and Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC

(“PGR”), which is uniquely different from the far more routine **Inter Partes Review (IPR)** proceedings. Because PGRs are only permitted shortly after a patent

[View this document](#)**Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny[Kerr Machine Co. v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:20-cv-00200](#)[Trademark](#) [Patent](#) [Contracts](#)[Claim Construction Hearing](#) [Commercial](#) [Business Tort](#)[Appealed](#)

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-07-21

Order Issued: 2020-07-22 (1 day)

➔ **104 Opening:** Sand Revolution LLC, and Sand Revolution II, LLC [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

Defendants. DEFENDANTS' OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY AFTER INSTITUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF ASSERTED PATENT Defendants Sand Revolution LLC and Sand Revolution

[View this document](#)

🔍 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking LLC v. Sand Revolution LLC et al

W.D.Tex. 7:18-cv-00147

Patent

Claim Construction Hearing Order re: Dismiss (Contested)

Appealed

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ Deny

Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-03-16

Order Issued: 2020-06-23 (99 days)

➔ **37 Opening:** Dell Technologies Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

Defendant. DEFENDANT DELL INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Case 6:19-cv-00514-ADA Document 37 Filed 03/16/20 Page 2 of 15 TABLE

[View this document](#)

↩ **38 Response:** Solas OLED Ltd. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

stay pending completion of an **IPR** based on a single petition filed by Dell on the asserted patent. But because the **IPR** petition has not even been instituted

[View this document](#)

➔ **40 Reply:** Dell Technologies Inc. [keyword\(s\) in docket entry text](#)

INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING **INTER PARTES REVIEW** Case 6:19-cv-00514-ADA Document 40 Filed 03/30/20 Page 2 of 10 TABLE

[View this document](#)

🔍 **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

Solas OLED Ltd. v. Dell Technologies Inc.

W.D.Tex. 6:19-cv-00514

Patent Contracts

Claim Construction Hearing Commercial

High-Volume Plaintiff Business Tort


Stay Pending PTAB  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2020-03-04

Order Issued: 2020-03-19 (15 days)

 **20 Opening:** TIAA, FBS Holdings, Inc.

48757, 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012). In addition, Unified Patents, LLC filed an **IPR** petition against the '940 Patent on December 13, 2019 (IPR2020-00194). That

[View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant[Lighthouse Consulting Group, LLC v. TIAA, FBS Holdings, Inc.](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:19-cv-00605](#)**Patent****Order re: Dismiss (Contested)** **High-Volume Plaintiff****Stay Pending PTAB**  **Grant**Issued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2019-10-08

Order Issued: 2019-10-16 (8 days)

 **28 Opening:** Cisco Systems, Inc. **keyword(s) in docket entry text**

§ § CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF **INTER PARTES REVIEW** PROCEEDINGS DM2\10491315.2 Case 6:19-cv-00209-ADA Document 28 Filed

[View this document](#) **Order to Stay Pending PTAB**, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Grant **keyword(s) in docket entry text**[Parity Networks, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:19-cv-00209](#)**Patent****Order re: Dismiss (Contested)**

Stay Pending PTAB ✘ DenyIssued By: [Judge Albright](#)

Motion Filed: 2019-05-14

Order Issued: 2019-05-30 (16 days)

[67](#) Opening: Dish Network L.L.C. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

TRIAL DEMANDED PATENT CASE DISH'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,799,468 AND 9,465,925 TABLE OF CONTENTS I.

[View this document](#)[69](#) Response: Multimedia Content Management LLC *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,799,468 AND 9,465,925 Table of Contents I.

[View this document](#)[71](#) Reply: Dish Network L.L.C. *keyword(s) in docket entry text*

REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DISH'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,799,468 AND 9,465,925 TABLE OF CONTENTS I.

[View this document](#)[73](#) Order to Stay Pending PTAB, Issued By: Alan D Albright , Outcome: Deny

NETWORK L.L.C., § Defendant. ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES REVIEW* OF U.S. PATENT NUMBERS 8,799A68 AND 9,465g925 Before the Court is

[View this document](#)[Multimedia Content Management LLC v. DISH Network L.L.C.](#)[W.D.Tex. 6:18-cv-00207](#)[Patent](#)[Claim Construction Hearing](#) [Order re: Dismiss \(Contested\)](#)