

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AUTOCONNECT HOLDINGS LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION,  
TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA,  
INC., TOYOTA MOTOR ENGINEERING &  
MANUFACTURING NORTH  
AMERICA, INC., and TOYOTA MOTOR  
SALES, U.S.A., INC.,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 2:24-CV-00802-JRG-RSP

(LEAD CASE)

AUTHOCONNECT HOLDINGS LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

GENERAL MOTORS LLC,

*Defendant.*

Case No. 2:24-CV-00877-JRG-RSP

(MEMBER CASE)

**DEFENDANT GENERAL MOTORS LLC'S  
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO AMEND DOCKET CONTROL ORDER**

Defendant General Motors LLC (“General Motors”) respectfully files this Unopposed Motion to Amend Docket Control Order and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

Pursuant to the Court’s Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 44), the deadline for Plaintiff AutoConnect Holdings LLC (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Toyota Motor Corporation, Toyota Motor

North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (“Toyota Defendants”) and General Motors (together, “Defendants”) (collectively, “the Parties”) to file their Proposed Protective Order is February 11, 2025.<sup>1</sup> At this time, General Motors seeks a brief one-week extension of time up to and including February 19, 2025, for the Parties to file their Proposed Protective Order.

General Motors represents that good cause exists for this brief extension. The Parties have diligently met and conferred on the terms in the Parties’ Proposed Protective Order. However, the Parties have not agreed on all terms in the Parties’ Proposed Protective Order. This brief one-week extension will ensure that the Parties have adequate time to continue meeting and conferring on the remaining terms at issue in the Parties’ Proposed Protective Order.

General Motors represents that this extension is not sought for purposes of delay but rather so that justice may be served. Counsel for General Motors met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and Toyota Defendants, and counsel for Plaintiff and Toyota Defendants indicated that Plaintiff and Toyota Defendants are unopposed to the relief sought in this Motion.

Accordingly, General Motors respectfully requests that the Court grant this Unopposed Motion and enter an order extending the time in which the Parties are required to file their Proposed Protective Order up to and including February 19, 2025.

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff and General Motors previously filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Proposed Protective Order (“Motion for Extension”) (Dkt. No. 47). In the Motion for Extension, Plaintiff and General Motors requested an extension up to and including February 12, 2025. At the time of this filing, the Court has not ruled on the Motion for Extension.

Dated: February 12, 2025

By */s/ Melissa R. Smith*

---

Melissa R. Smith  
Texas State Bar No. 24001351  
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com  
**GILLAM & SMITH, LLP**  
303 South Washington Avenue  
Marshall, Texas 75670  
Phone: (903) 934-8450  
Fax: (903) 934-9257

Peter J. Brennan (pro hac vice)  
Benjamin J. Bradford (pro hac vice)  
Reginald J. Hill (pro hac vice)  
Nicole Keenan (pro hac vice)  
Mitchell Denti (pro hac vice)  
**JENNER & BLOCK LLP**  
353 North Clark St.  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Telephone: (312) 840-7224  
Facsimile: (312) 840-7324  
pbrennan@jenner.com  
bbradford@jenner.com  
rhill@jenner.com  
nkeenan@jenner.com  
mdenti@jenner.com

*Attorneys for Defendant General  
Motors LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that counsel for General Motors met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and Toyota Defendants to discuss the substantive relief sought in this Motion pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(h). Plaintiff and Toyota Defendants are unopposed to the relief sought in this Motion.

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Melissa R. Smith

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic services are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on February 12, 2025.

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Melissa R. Smith

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

AUTOCONNECT HOLDINGS LLC,  
  
*Plaintiff,*  
  
v.  
  
TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION,  
TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA,  
INC., TOYOTA MOTOR ENGINEERING &  
MANUFACTURING NORTH  
AMERICA, INC., and TOYOTA MOTOR  
SALES, U.S.A., INC.,  
  
*Defendants.*

Case No. 2:24-CV-00802-JRG-RSP

(LEAD CASE)

AUTHOCONNECT HOLDINGS LLC,  
  
*Plaintiff,*  
  
v.  
  
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,  
  
*Defendant.*

Case No. 2:24-CV-00877-JRG-RSP

(MEMBER CASE)

**FIRST AMENDED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER**

In accordance with the Unopposed Motion to Amend Docket Control Order, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this Court:

Original Date	Amended Date	Event
July 20, 2026		*Jury Selection - 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas

7 days before Jury Selection		*Defendant to disclose final invalidity theories, final prior art references/combinations, and final equitable defenses with notice of the same filed with the Court.
10 days before Jury Selection		*Plaintiff to disclose final election of Asserted Claims with notice of the same filed with the Court.
June 22, 2026		* If a juror questionnaire is to be used, an editable (in Microsoft Word format) questionnaire shall be jointly submitted to the Deputy Clerk in Charge by this date. <sup>1</sup>
June 15, 2026		*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Roy Payne
June 8, 2026		*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer  The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions <i>in limine</i> . The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
June 8, 2026		*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions <i>in Limine</i> , Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations
June 1, 2026		*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting.  If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shawn McRoberts, at shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Parties are referred to the Court’s Standing Order Regarding Use of Juror Questionnaires in Advance of *Voir Dire*.

May 26, 2026		File Motions <i>in Limine</i>  The parties shall limit their motions <i>in limine</i> to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.
May 26, 2026		Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
May 18, 2026		Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
May 4, 2026		Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof
April 27, 2026		*Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions). Responses to dispositive motions that were filed <u>prior</u> to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e), not to exceed the deadline as set forth in this Docket Control Order. <sup>2</sup> Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV-56.
April 13, 2026		*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions)  No motion to strike expert testimony (including a <i>Daubert</i> motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.

---

<sup>2</sup> The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that “[a] party’s failure to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein creates a presumption that the party does not controvert the facts set out by movant and has no evidence to offer in opposition to the motion.” If the deadline under Local Rule CV 7(e) exceeds the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions, the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions controls.

April 13, 2026		<p>*File Dispositive Motions</p> <p>No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.</p> <p>Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties.</u></p>
April 6, 2026		Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
March 23, 2026		Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
March 2, 2026		Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof
February 9, 2026		Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
January 27, 2026		Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
January 20, 2026		*Claim Construction Hearing - 9:00 a.m. in <b>Marshall, Texas</b> before Judge Roy Payne
January 9, 2026		*Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
January 6, 2026		*Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Brief)
December 23, 2025		Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
December 9, 2025		<p>Comply with P.R. 4-5(a) (Opening Claim Construction Brief) and Submit Technical Tutorials (if any)</p> <p>Good cause must be shown to submit technical tutorials after the deadline to comply with P.R. 4-5(a).</p>

December 2, 2025		<p>Deadline to Substantially Complete Document Production and Exchange Privilege Logs</p> <p>Counsel are expected to make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and not wait until the substantial completion deadline.</p>
November 25, 2025		Comply with P.R. 4-4 (Deadline to Complete Claim Construction Discovery)
November 18, 2025		File Response to Amended Pleadings
November 4, 2025		<p>*File Amended Pleadings</p> <p>It is not necessary to seek leave of Court to amend pleadings prior to this deadline unless the amendment seeks to assert additional patents.</p>
October 28, 2025		Comply with P.R. 4-3 (Joint Claim Construction Statement)
October 7, 2025		Comply with P.R. 4-2 (Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions)
September 16, 2025		Comply with P.R. 4-1 (Exchange Proposed Claim Terms)
April 1, 2025		Comply with P.R. 3-3 & 3-4 (Invalidity Contentions) (Dkt. Nos. 19, 20)
April 1, 2025		Comply with Standing Order Regarding Subject-Matter Eligibility Contentions <sup>3</sup> (Dkt. Nos. 19, 20)
February 25, 2025		Joint Additional Parties (Dkt. Nos. 19, 20)
February 11, 2025	<b>February 19, 2025</b>	<p>*File Proposed Protective Order</p> <p>The Proposed Protective Order shall be filed as a separate motion with the caption indicating whether or not the proposed order is opposed in any part.</p>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.txed.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/judgeFiles/EDTX%20Standing%20Order%20Re%20Subject%20Matter%20Eligibility%20Contentions%20.pdf> [https://perma.cc/RQN2-YU5P]

(\*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without an acceptable showing of good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

**Mediation:** While certain cases may benefit from mediation, such may not be appropriate for every case. The Court finds that the Parties are best suited to evaluate whether mediation will benefit the case after the issuance of the Court’s claim construction order. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Parties to file a Joint Notice indicating whether the case should be referred for mediation **within fourteen days of the issuance of the Court’s claim construction order**. As a part of such Joint Notice, the Parties should indicate whether they have a mutually agreeable mediator for the Court to consider. If the Parties disagree about whether mediation is appropriate, the Parties should set forth a brief statement of their competing positions in the Joint Notice.

**Summary Judgment Motions, Motions to Strike Expert Testimony, and Daubert Motions:** For each motion, the moving party shall provide the Court with two (2) hard copies of the completed briefing (opening motion, response, reply, and if applicable, sur-reply), excluding exhibits, in D-three-ring binders, appropriately tabbed. All documents shall be single-sided and must include the CM/ECF header. These copies shall be delivered to the Court within three (3) business days after briefing has completed. For expert-related motions, complete digital copies of the relevant expert report(s) and accompanying exhibits shall be submitted on a single flash drive to the Court. Complete digital copies of the expert report(s) shall be delivered to the Court no later than the dispositive motion deadline.

**Indefiniteness:** In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules’ normal page limits.

**Lead Counsel:** The Parties are directed to Local Rule CV-11(a)(1), which provides that “[o]n the first appearance through counsel, each party shall designate a lead attorney on the pleadings or otherwise.” Additionally, once designated, a party’s lead attorney may only be changed by the filing of a Motion to Change Lead Counsel and thereafter obtaining from the Court an Order granting leave to designate different lead counsel. The true lead counsel should be designated early and should not expect to parachute in as lead once the case has been largely developed.

**Motions for Continuance:** The following will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

- (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
- (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;

- (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

**Amendments to the Docket Control Order (“DCO”):** Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

**Proposed DCO:** The Parties’ Proposed DCO should also follow the format described above under “Amendments to the Docket Control Order (‘DCO’).”

**Joint Pretrial Order:** In the contentions of the Parties included in the Joint Pretrial Order, the Plaintiff shall specify all allegedly infringed claims that will be asserted at trial. The Plaintiff shall also specify the nature of each theory of infringement, including under which subsections of 35 U.S.C. § 271 it alleges infringement, and whether the Plaintiff alleges divided infringement or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents. Each Defendant shall indicate the nature of each theory of invalidity, including invalidity for anticipation, obviousness, subject-matter eligibility, written description, enablement, or any other basis for invalidity. The Defendant shall also specify each prior art reference or combination of references upon which the Defendant shall rely at trial, with respect to each theory of invalidity. Other than as set forth in the above deadlines, the contentions of the Parties may not be amended, supplemented, or dropped without leave of the Court based upon a showing of good cause. The Parties in a case which has been consolidated for pre-trial purposes and which is moving towards a separate trial on the merits (subsequent to pre-trial) shall file, as an exhibit to the parties’ Joint Pretrial Order, a list identifying all docket entries from the lead case that relate to the applicable member case.

**Trial:** All parties must appear in person at trial. All non-individual (including but not limited to corporate) parties must appear at trial through the presence in person of a designated representative. Once they have appeared, any representative of a non-individual party shall not be replaced or substituted without express leave of Court.