

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NVIDIA CORP.,  
Petitioner,

v.

ADVANCED CLUSTER SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-01608  
Patent 8,082,289 B2

---

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, ARTHUR M. PESLAK, and  
SEAN P. O'HANLON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

O'HANLON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION  
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial  
*35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74*

## I. INTRODUCTION

On June 17, 2021, with Board authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner filed a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned proceeding. Paper 15 (“Mot.”). Along with the motion, the parties filed a copy of a “stipulated dismissal” that the parties assert they filed in district court litigation involving the ’289 patent on June 11, 2021. Ex. 2027; *see also* Mot. 1.

## II. DISCUSSION

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” It is also provided in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) that if no petitioner remains, the Office may terminate the *inter partes* review.

In this case, the Board issued a decision to institute *inter partes* review. Paper 11. However, the Board has not yet decided the merits of the proceeding or entered a final written decision. In the joint motion, the parties state that they have settled their dispute and have reached an agreement to request termination of this *inter partes* review. Mot. 1–2. The parties state also that “the stipulated dismissal and . . . joint motion constitute the entire agreement between the parties ‘made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination’ of this” *inter partes* review. *Id.* at 2. Accordingly, in the circumstances present here, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the joint motion is *granted* and this proceeding is terminated with respect to Petitioner and Patent Owner.

IPR2020-01608  
Patent 8,082,289 B2

For PETITIONER:

Brent Yamashita  
Jonathan Hicks  
DLA Piper LLP  
brent.yamashita@dlapiper.com  
jonathan.hicks@dlapiper.com  
NVIDIA-ACS-IPR@us.dlapiper.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Jon W. Gurka  
Ted M. Cannon  
Cheryl T. Burgess  
Knobbe Martens Olson and Bear, LLP  
2jwg@knobbe.com  
2tmc@knobbe.com  
2ctb@knobbe.com  
BoxZTANNL.017LP@knobbe.com