

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

IMPERATIVE CARE, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

INARI MEDICAL, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00289  
Patent 11,554,005 B2

---

Before COKE MORGAN STEWART, *Acting Under Secretary of  
Commerce for Intellectual Property and Acting Director of the United States  
Patent and Trademark Office.*

DECISION  
Denying Patent Owner's Request for Discretionary Denial

<p><i>Imperative Care v. Inari Medical</i> IPR2025-00728 <b>Imperative Care Ex. 1022</b></p>
--

Inari Medical, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a request for discretionary denial (Paper 6, “DD Req.”) in the above-captioned case, and Imperative Care, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed an opposition (Paper 8, “DD Opp.”).

After considering the parties’ arguments and the record, and in view of all relevant considerations, discretionary denial of institution is not appropriate in this proceeding. This determination is based on the totality of the evidence and arguments the parties have presented.

In particular, there is no trial date scheduled in the district court. DD Opp. 6. Petitioner also provides evidence that the district court is likely to grant a stay if this proceeding is instituted. *Id.* at 7–9. In addition, the challenged patent issued recently, in 2023. Early challenges to patents favor robust, predictable patent rights and weigh against discretionary denial.

Although certain arguments are highlighted above, the determination not to exercise discretion to deny institution is based on a holistic assessment of all of the evidence and arguments presented. Accordingly, the Petition is referred to the Board to handle the case in the normal course, including by issuing a decision on institution addressing the merits and other non-discretionary considerations, as appropriate.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s request for discretionary denial is *denied*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition is referred to the Board; and

FURTHER ORDERED that neither party shall file a request for rehearing or Director Review of this decision until the Board issues a decision on institution.

IPR2025-00289  
Patent 11,554,005 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Joshua J. Stowell  
Joseph R. Re  
Brian C. Barnes  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON, & BEAR, LLP  
2JYS@knobbe.com  
2jrr@knobbe.com  
2BCB@knobbe.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Joseph Hamilton  
Paul Parker  
Matthew Williams  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
hamilton-ptab@perkinscoie.com  
parker-ptab@perkinscoie.com  
williams-ptab@perkinscoie.com