


PARTIAL ACCESS ORIGINAL REPORTS October 04, 2010



Immunologic Escape After Prolonged Progression-Free Survival With Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Variant III Peptide Vaccination in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma

Authors: [John H. Sampson](#), [Amy B. Heimberger](#), [Gary E. Archer](#), [Kenneth D. Aldape](#), [Allan H. Friedman](#), [Henry S. Friedman](#), [Mark R. Gilbert](#), ... [SHOW ALL ...](#), and [Darell D. Bigner](#)  | [AUTHORS INFO & AFFILIATIONS](#)

J Clin Oncol 28, 4722-4729(2010) [Volume 28, Number 31](#)
DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.6963

 4,667 / 657  score 21      PDF

Abstract

Purpose

Immunologic targeting of tumor-specific gene mutations may allow precise eradication of neoplastic cells without toxicity. Epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (*EGFRvIII*) is a constitutively activated and immunogenic mutation not expressed in normal tissues but widely expressed in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) and other neoplasms.

Patients and Methods

A phase II, multicenter trial was undertaken to assess the immunogenicity of an *EGFRvIII*-targeted peptide vaccine and to estimate the progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of vaccinated patients with newly diagnosed *EGFRvIII*-expressing GBM with minimal residual disease. Intradermal vaccinations were given until toxicity or tumor progression was observed. Sample size was calculated to differentiate between PFS rates of 20% and 40% 6 months after vaccination.

ASCO® Career Center

ASCO Career Center

Hematology Oncology Opportunity in Kinston, NC

Kinston, North Carolina | Competitive Compensation and Comprehensive Benefits



ECU Health Physicians and ECU Health Oncology- Kinston are seeking a Board Eligible or Board Certified Fellowship trained Hematology Oncologist to ...

Employer: ECU Health [Apply for this job](#)

Neuro-Oncology Opportunity Located In Greenville, NC - Brain Tumor Program

Greenville, North Carolina | Blended compensation plan - Base + Quality + Productivity



ECU Health Physicians and ECU Health Medical Center, located in Greenville, North Carolina are ...

after vaccination.

Results

There were no symptomatic autoimmune reactions. The 6-month PFS rate after vaccination was 67% (95% CI, 40% to 83%) and after diagnosis was 94% (95% CI, 67% to 99%; n = 18). The median OS was 26.0 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 47.7 months). After adjustment for age and Karnofsky performance status, the OS of vaccinated patients was greater than that observed in a control group matched for eligibility criteria, prognostic factors, and temozolomide treatment (hazard ratio, 5.3; $P = .0013$; n = 17). The development of specific antibody ($P = .025$) or delayed-type hypersensitivity ($P = .03$) responses to *EGFRvIII* had a significant effect on OS. At recurrence, 82% (95% CI, 48% to 97%) of patients had lost *EGFRvIII* expression ($P < .001$).

Conclusion

EGFRvIII-targeted vaccination in patients with GBM warrants investigation in a phase III, randomized trial.

Immunologic Escape After Prolonged Progression-Free Survival



Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), the most common primary malignant neoplasm of the CNS, remains universally fatal. Patients with newly diagnosed GBM have a median overall survival (OS) of only 14.6 months despite maximal surgical resection, conformal radiation,¹ and systemic chemotherapy.² These conventional modalities lack specificity and, as a result, are limited by damage to normal tissue.¹ Immunologic recognition of tumor-specific mutations, however, holds the promise of more precisely eliminating neoplastic cells.

The mutated epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (*EGFRvIII*) is a cell surface protein containing a tumor-specific epitope reportedly expressed on approximately one third of GBMs^{3,4} and a broad array of neoplasms in other tissues, including the breast, lung, and head and neck.⁵⁻⁸ It is not found in any normal tissues.⁹ *EGFRvIII* is characterized by a consistent



in-frame deletion of 801 base pairs from the extracellular domain that splits a codon and produces a novel glycine at the fusion junction and approximates two normally distant parts of the protein. This mutation encodes a protein with a constitutively active tyrosine kinase¹⁰ that enhances tumorigenicity^{11,12} and tumor cell migration¹³ and confers radiation and chemotherapeutic resistance to tumor cells.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ For patients with GBM who survive 1 year or longer after diagnosis, the expression of *EGFRvIII* is also an independent negative prognostic indicator of survival.⁴ Thus, several factors make *EGFRvIII* an ideal target for antitumor immunotherapy.

On the basis of preclinical data supporting the safety and potential efficacy of an *EGFRvIII*-targeted vaccine,^{17,18} a phase II, multicenter, prospective trial was undertaken to assess the immunogenicity of an *EGFRvIII*-targeted peptide vaccine and to estimate progression-free survival (PFS) from vaccination and histologic diagnosis in patients newly diagnosed with GBM who expressed *EGFRvIII*.

Patients and Methods

Vaccine Product

PEPvIII (LEEKKGNVVTDHC) is a 13-amino-acid peptide with an additional terminal cysteine that spans the *EGFRvIII* mutation (AnaSpec, San Jose, CA). The peptide preparation was > 95% pure as assessed by high-pressure liquid chromatography and was conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH; biosyn, Carlsbad, CA) at a 1:1 ratio (w/w) (PEPvIII-KLH) using the heterobifunctional cross-linker sulfosuccinimidyl 6-[3'(2-pyridyldithio)-propionamido]hexanoate (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Patient Selection

Adults with newly diagnosed *EGFRvIII*-expressing GBM with a gross total resection (> 95%) and a Karnofsky performance status (KPS) ≥ 80% who had no radiographic evidence of progression after standard of care external beam radiation therapy and concurrent temozolomide (TMZ) and were willing to

sign an informed consent were eligible for vaccination. The trial was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (BB-IND-9944) and the local institutional review boards at Duke University Medical Center and M. D. Anderson Cancer Center.

Clinical Protocol

The initial three vaccinations of PEPvIII-KLH were given every two weeks starting 4 weeks after the completion of radiation. Subsequent vaccines were given once a month until radiographic evidence of tumor progression or death. All vaccines were given intradermally in the inguinal region within 10 cm of the inguinal ligament on alternating sides.

Patients were monitored once a month by physical examination and every two months by magnetic resonance imaging. Progressive disease was defined radiographically according to the MacDonald criteria¹⁹ or by the development of a new contrast-enhancing lesion of > 1 cm at the discretion of the treating neurooncologist. On tumor progression, further treatment was at the discretion of the patient's treating neurooncologist.

Immunologic Monitoring and Immunohistochemical Analysis

Delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) testing for cellular immune responses to PEPvIII (1 mg/mL), as well as to the recall antigens tetanus toxoid (NDC 49281-800-83 undiluted; Aventis Pasteur, Swiftwater, PA), *Candida* (#M15 1:1000; Greer Laboratories, Lenoir, NC), and *Trichophyton* (#M26, 1:1000; Greer), was performed by using standard intradermal injections in a volume of 100 µL. A positive skin test for all antigens was defined as > 5 mm induration within 48 to 72 hours.

Serum for assessing humoral responses was stored at -20°C before analysis in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay or a PEPvIII-Dynabead (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) assay, as described previously.²⁰

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for *EGFRvIII* was performed on paraffin-embedded tissue, as previously described.²¹ IHC was

performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments–certified laboratory using compliant techniques.

Matched Cohort

Patients in the matched cohort selected for PFS and OS comparisons were all treated contemporaneously at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center. In the matched control cohort (n = 17), all patients were adults; had *EGFRvIII*-expressing primary GBMs, a KPS \geq 80%, and a resection of > 95% of the original tumor volume; and had been treated with radiation and TMZ. Patients with tumor progression within 4 weeks of completing radiation therapy were also excluded from this matched control cohort.

Methylguanine Methyltransferase Promoter Methylation Status

Samples were isolated from five sections of a representative tissue block. Following deparaffinization and proteinase K treatment, DNA was isolated from tumor tissue using a kit from Epicenter (Madison, WI). After sodium bisulfite treatment, methyl-specific polymerase chain reaction was performed as previously described.²² A ratio of methylated-to-unmethylated peaks of \geq 1.0 was scored as methylated.

Statistical Analysis

A two-stage clinical trial design was used to differentiate between a 6-month PFS rate of 20% and 40% from the time of vaccination with α and $\beta = .1$ on the basis of data derived from the matched cohort. If five or more of the 22 patients accrued in stage 1 were alive and free from disease progression at 6 months after vaccination, then an additional 22 patients were to be accrued during a second stage. If 11 or more of the 44 patients survived 6 months progression free from the time of vaccination, the treatment regimen was to be considered worthy of additional investigation. PFS and OS were estimated using Kaplan-Meier methods. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to compare PFS and OS of the vaccinated patients with the matched cohort; adjustments for known prognostic factors and

covariates were made in the model. The study was not initially powered for these comparisons, however. Adverse events were defined according to the National Cancer Institute's Common Toxicity Criteria (Version 2.0). Frequencies were used to describe the *EGFRvIII*-specific antibody responses and DTH reactions. A binomial test was used to assess whether the proportion of patients with *EGFRvIII* IHC staining changes due to vaccination was significantly different from zero.

Results

Study Population

At both centers, all known patients with *EGFRvIII*-expressing newly diagnosed GBM were screened for study eligibility. Only two patients at each site refused participation despite being eligible. Twenty-one patients were enrolled and vaccinated before accrual was suspended at the end of stage 1. On retrospective quality control review, three patients were found not to meet eligibility criteria because < 95% of the tumor volume had been resected. Therefore, the primary focus of analyses reported here will involve the 18 eligible patients. Follow-up through October 2008 is reflected in this article. All but one of the patients who were vaccinated received TMZ delivered concurrently with radiotherapy² ([Table 1](#)). TMZ therapy after radiation was not used in conjunction with the vaccinations. Study data are summarized in [Table 2](#).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Patients With Primary Glioblastoma Treated With *EGFRvIII* Peptide Vaccination and the Matched Cohort

Parameter	<i>EGFRvIII</i> Vaccine Group		Matched Cohort	
	No.	%	No.	%
Total No. of Patients	18		17	

EXPAND TABLE

Abbreviations: *EGFRvIII*, epidermal growth factor receptor variant III; KPS, Karnofsky performance status; TMZ, temozolomide.

* $P = .055$.

† $P = .016$.

Table 2. Patient Summary

Patient	Age (years)	Sex	KPS	MGMT Methylation	Humoral Response
					Belongs to Vaccine Group
1	62	M	90	ME	N/A

EXPAND TABLE

Abbreviations: KPS, Karnofsky performance status; *MGMT*, methylguanine methyltransferase; DTH, delayed-type hypersensitivity; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; M, male; ME, methylated; N/A, sample not available; U, unmethylated; F, female; (–), no response; (+), positive response.

* Positive humoral response in CSF.

† No progression.

‡ Alive.

Toxicity and Adverse Events

Toxicity was generally minimal (Appendix Table A1, online only) and mostly related to injection site reactions, which never produced grade ≥ 2 toxicity. However, one patient was removed from the study when a presumed severe allergic reaction (numbness and tingling in the perioral area) to the vaccine components was suspected, although a similar event occurred

several weeks later when the patient had an unrelated procedure. This was still considered a serious adverse event. In addition, one patient developed asymptomatic areas of T2-signal hyperintensity that on subsequent magnetic resonance imaging scans demonstrated contrast enhancement that eventually resolved. These lesions remained hypometabolic on positron emission tomography. This reaction was deemed a grade 1 leukoencephalopathy toxicity (Appendix Table A1 and [Fig 1](#)) and resolved without treatment.




Fig 1. Axial magnetic resonance images showing progression of multiple lesions surrounding the corpus callosum. Left: T1-weighted, contrast-enhanced image 8 months from first vaccination showing new enhancing lesions distant from the right frontal tumor cavity. Right: T2-weighted image showing hyperintense lesion in similar distribution. Areas of contrast-enhancement have resolved, but areas of T2 hyperintensity have persisted.

PFS

The median PFS from time of histologic diagnosis for the 18 eligible patients was 14.2 months (95% CI, 9.9 to 17.6 months;

[Fig 2A](#) and Appendix Table A2, online only). Among the 18 eligible patients, 12 patients (67%; 95% CI, 40% to 83%) were alive and without radiographic evidence of progression 6 months after vaccination, and 17 patients (94%; 95% CI, 67% to 99%) were alive and without radiographic evidence of progression 6 months after histologic diagnosis (Appendix Table A2). After considering these data, we rejected the null hypothesis for the primary end point. Accrual was terminated after this interim analysis because the number of patients living progression free for more than 6 months after vaccination ($n = 12$) exceeded the critical value associated with the hypothesis test to be conducted at the end of the second stage of the study ($n \geq 11$).

Fig 2. (A) Progression-free survival (PFS). Left: The median PFS from histologic diagnosis in the patients who had been vaccinated ($n = 18$; solid blue line) was 14.2 months (95% CI, 9.9 to 17.6 months). Dotted blue lines show 95% CIs. Right: In the temozolomide (TMZ) -treated historical cohort ($n = 17$; gold line), the median PFS was 6.3 months (95% CI, 4.1 to 9.0 months). The PFS of patients who had been vaccinated compares favorably with that of the TMZ-treated cohort before ($P = .013$) and after ($P = .041$) adjustment for age and Karnofsky performance status (KPS). (B) Overall survival (OS). Left: The median survival of patients who had been vaccinated ($n = 18$; solid blue line) was 26.0 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 47.7 months;). Dotted blue lines show 95% CIs. Right: In the TMZ-treated historical cohort ($n = 17$; gold line), the median survival was 15.0 months (95% CI, 11.4 to 19.7 months). The OS of patients who had been vaccinated compares favorably with that of the TMZ-treated cohort before ($P = .002$) and after (P

= .001) adjustment for age and KPS. *EGFRVIII*, epidermal growth factor receptor variant III.

In the matched cohort (n = 17), the median PFS from histologic diagnosis was 6.3 months (95% CI, 4.1 to 9.0 months). The PFS hazard ratio (HR) comparing the matched cohort with our patients was 2.4 (95% CI, 1.2 to 5.0; $P = .013$; [Fig 2A](#)). Even after adjustment for age and KPS, the PFS of vaccinated patients remained significantly greater than that observed in the TMZ-treated matched control group (HR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.0 to 4.8; $P = .041$). Inferences were not affected by the exclusion of the three ineligible patients.

Survival Time

The median OS from time of histologic diagnosis for the 18 eligible patients was 26.0 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 47.7 months). Among the 18 eligible patients, 94% (95% CI, 67% to 99%) were alive 12 months after vaccination and 94% (95% CI, 67% to 99%) were alive at 12 months after histologic diagnosis ([Fig 2B](#) and Appendix Table A2). In the matched cohort (n = 17), time from histologic diagnosis to the median OS was 15.0 months (95% CI, 11.4 to 19.7 months). After adjustment for age and KPS, the survival of vaccinated patients was significantly better than that observed in a TMZ-treated matched control group (HR, 5.1; 95% CI, 1.9 to 13.9; $P = .001$). Inferences were not affected by the exclusion of the three ineligible patients.

IHC Analysis of EGFRVIII Expression

Among recurrent tumors from which pathologic material was obtained (n = 11), all were evaluated by IHC for *EGFRVIII* expression. Of these 11 samples, 82% (95% CI, 48% to 97%) had lost *EGFRVIII* expression at recurrence (binomial test $P < .001$; [Table 3](#); [Fig 3](#)). Of the two patients who had positive *EGFRVIII* staining at recurrence, one had < 1% of cells staining for *EGFRVIII*.

Table 3. *EGFRVIII* Immunohistochemistry Before and After

vaccination

Before Vaccination	At Recurrence
Positive	Negative
Positive	Negative
Positive	Negative

▼ EXPAND TABLE

NOTE. Percent negative after vaccine is 82% (95% CI, 48% to 97%) or nine of 11; binomial test $P < .001$.

Abbreviation: *EGFRvIII*, epidermal growth factor receptor variant III.

Fig 3. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and EGFR variant III (*EGFRvIII*) immunohistochemistry of a patient with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Staining with (A) EGFR and (B) *EGFRvIII* before vaccine. (C) Preservation of EGFR staining but (D) specific loss of *EGFRvIII* staining at recurrence after vaccination.

Immune Responses

At the interim analysis when the study was terminated, 14 patients had serum samples that had been analyzed for *EGFRvIII*-specific humoral responses. Six (43%; 95% CI, 18% to 71%; $P < .001$) had evidence of a humoral response against

PEPvIII. The maximum concentration of antibody that reacted against *EGFRvIII*, as estimated by comparison with an *EGFRvIII*-specific monoclonal antibody,²⁰ was 910 ng/mL. In one patient with a positive serum titer, CSF was obtained and it

demonstrated a concentration of antibody against *EGFRvIII* of 16.2 ng/mL.

The median OS from histologic diagnosis for the six patients who developed *EGFRvIII*-specific antibody responses was 47.7 months (95% CI, 20.8 to ∞ months; Fig 4). For the eight patients who did not develop antibody responses, the OS was only 22.8 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 34.9 months). After adjustment for age, KPS, and methylguanine methyltransferase (*MGMT*) methylation, the OS from vaccination ($P = .025$) and histologic diagnosis ($P = .025$) of the patients who developed antibody responses was found to be greater than the OS for those who had not. However, these findings need to be validated prospectively in a model with a larger number of patients.

Fig 4. Immune response correlates. Overall survival (OS) from histologic diagnosis for all patients for whom serum was available to test for epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (*EGFRvIII*) – specific antibody titers (n = 14; left) and delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH; n = 17; right). The blue line shows patients with *EGFRvIII*-specific immune responses, and the gold line shows patients without *EGFRvIII*-specific responses. Left: The median OS for the six patients who developed *EGFRvIII*-specific antibody responses was 47.7 months (95% CI, 20.8 to ∞ months). For the eight patients who did not develop antibody responses, the OS was only 22.8 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 34.9 months). After adjustment for age, Karnofsky performance status, and methylguanine methyltransferase methylation, the OS from vaccination of the patients who developed antibody responses was found to be greater (hazard ratio. 0.08: 95% CI. 0.007 to 0.93: $P = .043$). Right:

The median OS for the three patients who developed DTH responses specific for PEPvIII (a 13-amino-acid peptide with an additional terminal cysteine that spans the *EGFRvIII* mutation) has not been reached at 50 months. For the 14 patients who did not develop DTH responses to PEPvIII, the OS was 23.1 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 44.1 months). Patients who developed PEPvIII DTH responses had a significantly longer OS ($P = .03$).

To assess patient cellular immune responses to the vaccinating antigen and endogenous antigens in vivo, DTH skin tests were performed with PEPvIII and recall antigens. All patients showed no response to PEPvIII before vaccination. After vaccination, three (18%; 95% CI, 4% to 43%; binomial proportions $P < .001$) of 17 showed a positive DTH response to PEPvIII after vaccination.

The median OS from histologic diagnosis for the three patients who developed PEPvIII-specific DTH responses had not been reached at 50 months follow-up. For the 14 patients who did not develop DTH responses to PEPvIII, the OS was 23.1 months (95% CI, 21.0 to 44.1 months). Although the number of patients who developed PEPvIII DTH responses was small, the patients did have a significantly longer PFS and OS from vaccination and histologic diagnosis ($P = .03$). Conversely, DTH responses to recall antigens did not have a significant effect on PFS from vaccination ($P = .81$) or histologic diagnosis ($P = .88$) or on OS from vaccination ($P = .58$) or histologic diagnosis ($P = .61$). These small patient numbers, however, preclude meaningful adjustment for known prognostic factors such as age, KPS, and *MGMT* methylation.

***MGMT* Methylation**

Because almost all of our study patients were treated with concurrent TMZ during radiotherapy, an unintentional study bias may have been introduced by selecting patients with GBM that possessed the capacity for *MGMT* methylation, which compromises DNA repair and is associated with longer survival in patients with GBM who receive alkylating agents such as TMZ.²² Of the patients from whom tumor tissue was obtained for

MGMT testing (n = 13), seven (54%) had *MGMT* methylation, which is a slightly higher proportion than previously reported.²² However, the PFS and OS from either histologic diagnosis or vaccination is actually unexpectedly longer in our study for patients with unmethylated *MGMT*. This does not reach statistical significance in unadjusted univariate analyses but when adjusted for age and KPS, patients with unmethylated *MGMT* had a significantly longer PFS from vaccination (HR, 0.17; 95% CI, 0.032 to 0.90; *P* = .037) and histologic diagnosis (HR, 0.17; 95% CI, 0.032 to 0.90; *P* = .037) than patients with methylated *MGMT* who were treated with TMZ. There was also a trend for these patients to have a longer OS from vaccination (*P* = .062) and histologic diagnosis (*P* = .062). These data are summarized in Appendix Figure A1 and Appendix Table A3 (online only). A larger number of patients is needed, however, to validate these observations.

Discussion

Our study results demonstrate that vaccinating patients who have newly diagnosed *EGFRvIII*-positive GBM with a peptide containing an *EGFRvIII*-specific epitope is safe, induces specific immunity against *EGFRvIII*, and is associated with the elimination of *EGFRvIII*-expressing cells at recurrence. This observation that an *EGFRvIII*-targeted vaccine is capable of potentially eliminating *EGFRvIII*-expressing tumor cells in the majority of patients is an intriguing finding and consistent with what we found in our preclinical studies in mice.¹⁸ It suggests that the immunologic privilege of the brain may not be absolute in this context as well. It is difficult to differentiate between elimination of a specific population of tumor cells expressing *EGFRvIII* and a downregulation of the expression of the mutated tyrosine kinase, however. Recurrent tumors in these patients continue to express the wild-type EGFR protein. This raises the possibility that intramolecular cross-priming that might induce immune responses against wild-type EGFR may be attenuated.

We also found that patients with GBM who had received the PEPvIII-KLH vaccine had significantly longer OS than a

contemporaneously treated cohort matched for eligibility criteria and treatment, and they compare favorably with recently published trials evaluating other patient cohorts treated with carmustine polymers (13.7 months)²³ or serial TMZ cycles (14.6 months).² Although the median OS time observed in this study is encouraging, it may not be different from an untreated population or from that reported in other recent studies using different immunotherapy approaches.²⁴⁻²⁸ Although nearly consecutive patients with *EGFRvIII*-expressing GBM were enrolled at two different centers during this trial, the small sample size and restricted eligibility criteria, although chosen to optimize the identification of an immune response, led to some bias that cannot be adequately addressed despite our attempts to select an adequate matched control population. Thus, although these data suggest that vaccination with PEPvIII-KLH may improve PFS and OS in this population, definitive evidence of efficacy will require a blinded, placebo-controlled, randomized phase III study, which is currently in the planning stages.

Our finding that vaccinated patients with an unmethylated *MGMT* promoter, which usually confers resistance to TMZ,²² actually had a longer PFS and OS than those patients with methylated *MGMT* raises the possibility that *EGFRvIII*-targeted vaccination may be an effective alternative for those patients and mandates that phase III trials of this vaccine approach will need to stratify patients for this variable. Although unexpected, this finding is consistent with the observation by Murat et al²⁹ that EGFR signaling, which would be induced in *EGFRvIII*-expressing tumor cells, confers resistance to TMZ and predicts a poor outcome after standard therapy.

Although this study demonstrates the possible benefits of vaccination with a peptide that contains a tumor-specific epitope, there remain a number of issues that must be addressed to optimize this therapeutic modality. While one distinct advantage of our approach is that the vaccine is available off-the-shelf and does not involve labor-intensive and

expensive cell preparation techniques, a notable disadvantage is that only a subset of patients with GBM expresses the targeted antigen, *EGFRvIII*. Thus, although a tumor-specific vaccine may have the advantage of minimizing autoimmune complications, the heterogeneity of malignant brain tumors may limit the effectiveness of vaccinations that target only one tumor-specific antigen. Vaccines that target only one antigen may not target all tumors or all cells comprising a tumor and may therefore select for the survival and proliferation of those cells that do not express the targeted antigen. This may ultimately limit this potentially promising approach. Multiantigenic vaccines may serve as an alternative, but they risk the induction of autoimmunity. Using such an approach, however, several investigators have demonstrated robust immunologic responses and encouraging clinical results without catastrophic autoimmune responses.[26-28,30,31](#)

Acknowledgment

We thank the research staff who supported this study, including Denise Lally-Goss, Sharon McGehee-Norman, Beth Perry, Lammone Crutcher, Susan Graham, and Roxana Gomez. Editorial assistance was provided by Elizabeth Hess. This article is dedicated to Samuel Hassenbusch, MD.

See accompanying editorial on page [4670](#)

Clinical trial information can be found for the following:
NCT00643097.

J Clin Oncol Sampson et al 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.6963

Publisher's Note

The article by Sampson et al, entitled "Immunologic Escape After Prolonged Progression-Free Survival With Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Variant III Peptide Vaccination in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma" (J Clin Oncol 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.6963), was published online on October 4, 2010, with an error.

In the Acknowledgments section, Samuel Hassenbusch's name was inadvertently misspelled. This has been corrected as of November 2, 2010. The authors apologize for the mistake.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Although all authors completed the disclosure declaration, the following author(s) indicated a financial or other interest that is relevant to the subject matter under consideration in this article. Certain relationships marked with a "U" are those for which no compensation was received; those relationships marked with a "C" were compensated. For a detailed description of the disclosure categories, or for more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to the Author Disclosure Declaration and the Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest section in Information for Contributors.

Employment or Leadership Position: None **Consultant or**

Advisory Role: John H. Sampson, Celldex Therapeutics (C); Amy B. Heimberger, Celldex Therapeutics (C); Mark R. Gilbert, Genentech (C), Merck (C), Pfizer (C); Darell D. Bigner, Celldex Therapeutics (C) **Stock Ownership:** None **Honoraria:** John H. Sampson, Celldex Therapeutics; Mark R. Gilbert, Merck, Genentech **Research Funding:** John H. Sampson, Celldex Therapeutics; Mark R. Gilbert, Schering-Plough, Genentech **Expert Testimony:** None **Other Remuneration:** John H. Sampson and Darell D. Bigner received funding under the Duke University Faculty Plan from license fees paid to Duke University by Celldex Therapeutics.

References

1. JP Imperato, NA Paleologos, NA Vick: Effects of treatment on long-term survivors with malignant astrocytomas *Ann Neurol* 28: 818– 822,1990

[+ Show Citations](#) | [View](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

2. R Stupp, WP Mason, MJ van den Bent, etal: Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma *N Engl J Med* 352: 987– 996,2005

[+ Show Citations](#) | [View](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

3. AJ Wong, JM Ruppert, SH Bigner, etal: Structural alterations of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in human gliomas *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89: 2965– 2969,1992

[↩ Go to Citation](#) | [View](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

SHOW ALL REFERENCES

Appendix

Fig A1. Progression-free survival (PFS) from histologic diagnosis for all patients for whom methylguanine methyltransferase (*MGMT*) methylation status could be assessed is shown (n = 13). The blue line shows patients with unmethylated *MGMT* (n = 7) who had a median PFS of 22.0 months (95% CI, 14.9 to ∞ months), and the gold line shows patients with methylated *MGMT* (n = 6) who had a median survival of 10.9 months (95% CI, 6.5 to 15.4 months). When adjusted for age and Karnofsky performance status, patients who had been vaccinated with unmethylated *MGMT* had an unexpectedly significantly longer PFS (hazard ratio, 0.17; 95% CI, 0.032 to 0.90; *P* = .037).

Table A1. Adverse Events and Attribution

Adverse Event	No. of Patients	Severity	Relationship to Study

Neurology	2	2	Unlikely
		1	Probable*

* Same patient as noted in [Fig 1](#).

Table A2. PFS and OS for Patients Who Met Eligibility Criteria (n = 18)

	Experimental Group (n = 18)		Historic Cohort (n = 17)	
	Postvaccination	Postsurgery	Postsurgery	Postsurgery
	95%	95%		95%

Abbreviations: PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival.

Table A3. PFS and OS for Patients Who Had Been Vaccinated by *MGMT* Methylation Status

Variable	Unmethylated <i>MGMT</i> (n = 6)		Methylated <i>MGMT</i> (n = 7)	
	No. of Months	95% CI	No. of Months	95% CI
PFS from vaccine	18.9	11.0	7.1	3.2

Abbreviations: PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival;

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)

JOURNALS

Journal of Clinical
Oncology
JCO Oncology Practice
JCO Global Oncology
JCO Clinical Cancer
Informatics
JCO Precision
Oncology
JCO Oncology
Advances

ASCO

About ASCO
Press Center
Meetings & Education
Contact Us

PUBLICATIONS

ASCO Educational Book
ASCO Daily News
ASCO Connection
The ASCO Post
ASCO Podcasts

ASCO WEBSITES

asco.org
ASCO Career Center
Conquer Cancer

CONTENT

Journal Podcasts
Topics
Meeting Abstracts
ASCO Guidelines

INFORMATION

Author Center
Subscriber Center
Permissions
Reprints
Advertise
E-Alerts
View My Profile
About ASCO Journals

FOLLOW US

JCO_ASCO
JCOOP_ASCO
JCOGO_ASCO
JCOPO_ASCO
JCOCC_ASCO
JCOOA_ASCO