

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ONEPLUS TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

PANTECH CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2025-00637  
Patent 9,763,283 B2

---

Before JAMESON LEE, THU A. DANG, and CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

DANG, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER  
Granting Petitioner's Motions for  
*Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jia Hui Jiang and Zhe Wang  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

On May 6, 2025, Petitioner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jia Hui Jiang and Zhe Wang. Papers 6–7 (“Motions”). Petitioner also submitted Declarations from Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang in support of the Motions. Exs. 1018–19 (“Declarations”). Patent Owner has not opposed the Motion.

For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang have sufficient qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, have demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meet all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Declarations. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang. Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby  
ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of  
Jia Hui Jiang and Zhe Wang are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a  
registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang are authorized  
to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang be familiar with  
the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide<sup>1</sup>  
(84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules  
of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal  
Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang are subject to  
the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the  
USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101  
*et. seq.*<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

<sup>2</sup> The Motions state "USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth  
in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*" We note Mr. Jiang and Mr. Wang are subject  
to the "USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct" set forth in 37 C.F.R.  
§§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

IPR2025-00637  
Patent 9,763,283 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Zhiwei Zou  
Wenchong Shu  
BAYES PLLC  
[wayne.zou@bayes.law](mailto:wayne.zou@bayes.law)  
[wenchong.shu@bayes.law](mailto:wenchong.shu@bayes.law)

FOR PATENT OWNER:

James Fussell  
Amanda Bonner  
Graham Buccigross  
Clark Bakewell  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
[jfussell@mayerbrown.com](mailto:jfussell@mayerbrown.com)  
[astreff@mayerbrown.com](mailto:astreff@mayerbrown.com)  
[gbuccigross@mayerbrown.com](mailto:gbuccigross@mayerbrown.com)  
[cbakewell@mayerbrown.com](mailto:cbakewell@mayerbrown.com)