

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

EDGE NETWORKING SYSTEMS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2025-00618
Patent 11,695,823 B1

Before NABEEL U. KHAN, STACY B. MARGOLIES, and
JASON M. REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KHAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Adverse Judgment After Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)

On February 17, 2025, Microsoft Corporation (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition (Paper 2) requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–5, 7, 8, 12–15, 18, and 19 (“the challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 11,695,823 B1 (“the ’823 patent,” Ex. 1001). On July 15, 2025, Edge Networking Systems, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 10). On September 30, 2025, after considering the parties’ pre-institution briefing and evidence, we instituted *inter partes* review of the challenged claims. Paper 14. On December 23, 2025, after institution, Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response. Paper 18.

On March 10, 2026, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(a) (“statutory disclaimer”), Patent Owner disclaimed all challenged claims. *See* Ex. 2017. Given Patent Owner’s disclaimer of all challenged claims, Petitioner requested that we enter adverse judgment against Patent Owner under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Ex. 3004. We requested Patent Owner to inform us whether it disputed Petitioner’s position. *Id.* In response, Patent Owner stated that “[i]t is Patent Owner’s understanding that this filing renders the IPR moot” but did not expressly dispute Petitioner’s position that adverse judgment be entered against Patent Owner. *Id.*

Our rules state that “disclaimer of a claim such that a party has no remaining claim in the trial” is “construed to be a request for adverse judgment.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Because Patent Owner has disclaimed all challenged claims and no claims remain in this proceeding, adverse judgment is appropriate. We, therefore, enter judgment adverse to the Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00618
Patent 11,695,823 B1

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that adverse judgment against Patent Owner in this proceeding is entered under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(2); and

FURTHER ORDERED that all scheduled Due Dates (*see* Paper 15) are vacated.

IPR2025-00618
Patent 11,695,823 B1

PETITIONER:

Christopher Tyson
John Baird
Glenn Richeson
Patrick McPherson
DUANE MORRIS LLP
cjtyson@duanemorris.com
jmbaird@duanemorris.com
gdricheson@duanemorris.com
pdmcpherson@duanemorris.com

PATENT OWNER:

Brandon Theiss
Daniel Golub
VOLPE KOENIG
btheiss@vklaw.com
dgolub@vklaw.com

David Hecht
HECHT PARTNERS LLP
dhecht@hechtpartners.com