
C S I R O P U B L I S H I N G

Reproduction, Fertility and Development

Volume 10, 1998

© CSIRO 1998

A journal for the publication of original work, review and comment in the field of reproductive biology, reproductive endocrinology and developmental biology, including puberty, lactation and fetal physiology when they fall within these fields

www.publish.csiro.au/journals/rfd

All enquiries and manuscripts should be directed to

Reproduction, Fertility and Development

CSIRO PUBLISHING

PO Box 1139 (150 Oxford St)

Collingwood

Vic. 3066

Australia

Telephone: 61 3 9662 7618

Facsimile: 61 3 9662 7611

Email: ann.grant@publish.csiro.au



Published by **CSIRO PUBLISHING**
for CSIRO and the
Australian Academy of Science



Cloning and characterization of the cDNA encoding the PH20 protein in the European red fox *Vulpes vulpes*

José ten Have^{AC}, Sandra Beaton^A and Mark P. Bradley^B

^{AB}Vertebrate Biocontrol Cooperative Research Centre, CSIRO Division of Wildlife and Ecology,
PO Box 84, Lyneham, ACT, 2602, Canberra, Australia

^BPerth Zoological Gardens, 20 Labouchere Road, South Perth, WA 6151, Australia

^CTo whom correspondence should be addressed. email: jtenhave@dwe.csiro.au

Abstract. The PH20 protein is thought to play a crucial role in mammalian fertilization. The fox *PH20* homologue has been cloned from a testis cDNA library and the deduced protein sequence shows high levels of homology to PH20 proteins isolated from other species. Unlike other PH20 proteins the fox protein does not appear to be membrane associated through a GPI-linkage nor does it show the presence of a transmembrane domain at the C-terminus of the protein. It is in this region that the proteins appear to be least conserved. Immunolocalization studies on fox sperm show that the PH20 protein is located on the inner acrosomal membrane. Transcription of *PH20* in the fox is seasonally regulated, with the mRNA expressed during those months when spermatogenesis is at its peak. The PH20 sequence described in this paper has been submitted to the Genbank database and has the accession number U41412.

Extra keywords: testis, sperm, immunocontraception.

Introduction

In Australia the European red fox *Vulpes vulpes* has a significant impact on native wildlife and agriculture. The development of an immunocontraceptive vaccine has been identified as a suitable tool that can be integrated into the long-term management of fox populations (for review see Bradley *et al.* 1997). In this regard several fox sperm proteins have been evaluated as candidate vaccine antigens (Beaton *et al.* 1994; Beaton *et al.* 1995; Bradley *et al.* 1996). Primakoff *et al.* (1988, 1997) found that when guinea-pigs were immunized with PH20 purified from guinea-pig sperm, 100% effective and reversible contraception was obtained in both sexes. The sera of these females also blocked sperm–egg binding *in vitro*. PH20 has been shown to have hyaluronidase activity in a number of species including human, guinea-pig and monkey (Gmachl *et al.* 1993; Lin *et al.* 1994; Cherr *et al.* 1996). A soluble hyaluronidase is known to be released upon the acrosome reaction, digesting the hyaluronic acid present between the cumulus cells and enabling the sperm to migrate through the cumulus layer of the egg (Lin *et al.* 1994). More recently Li *et al.* (1997) showed that some PH20 is also released during sperm capacitation in the monkey. While blocking of PH20 hyaluronidase activity prohibits the sperm from entering the cumulus cell layer, it does not prevent the sperm from binding the zona (Lin *et al.* 1994). Recent studies by Hunnicut *et al.* (1996a) have indicated the possibility of two separate functions

for PH20: a hyaluronidase activity and a non-enzymatic function involved in secondary sperm–zona binding.

These observations led us to assess the fox PH20 homologue for use as an immunocontraceptive vaccine for the control of fox fertility. As a first step towards this evaluation we report the cloning of fox *PH20* and its expression and localization during spermatogenesis.

Materials and methods

Construction and screening of fox testis cDNA library

The testis cDNA library was constructed with the lambda Zap kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA), and used polyA⁺ RNA from a fox testis exhibiting full spermatogenesis (July). Approximately 5 × 10⁵ recombinant plaques were screened with a rabbit *PH20* cDNA fragment under the following conditions: 6 × SSPE (Maniatis *et al.* 1989), 0.1% SDS, 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, salmon sperm DNA, 1 × Denhardt's solution. A number of hybridizing clones were obtained from which a full-length clone of 1.9 kb was used for further characterization.

DNA sequencing

Single-stranded DNA was prepared from exonuclease III nested deleted clones and sequenced with the Pharmacia AutoreadTM sequencing kit by the di-deoxy chain termination method. The reactions were separated on a 6% acrylamide gel and analysed with the Pharmacia LKB automated laser fluorescent (ALF) DNA sequencer.

Northern analysis

All procedures for the isolation and electrophoresis of fox-testis RNA are as previously described (Cohen *et al.* 1993). For Northern

analysis the gels were transferred to Hybond N⁺ (Amersham) in 50 mM sodium hydroxide. Prehybridization was performed in 3×PE buffer (Maniatis *et al.* 1989), 4% SDS, 1% BSA, 10% dextran sulfate, for 2–3 hours at 68°C. Random-primed probes were generated with the Amersham Megaprime™ kit, added to the hybridization solution and hybridized at 68°C for approximately 18 h.

The hybridized filter was washed at room temperature in 2×SSC, 0.1% SDS for 20 min followed by a 20 min wash at 68°C.

Sperm isolation

Caudal fox sperm were isolated from the epididymis by finely chopping the cauda in sperm buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 103 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 mM EDTA). The sperm were collected and washed by centrifugation at 200g for 5 min. Finally the sperm were resuspended in sperm buffer and used for the experiments described below.

Immunohistochemistry

Testis. Testis samples were fixed in Bouin's fixative for 18–24 h followed by dehydration in 70% ethanol before being embedded in paraffin blocks. Tissue sections were cut at 4-μm thickness, placed on microscope slides and air dried. The sections were dewaxed in xylene, then washed several times with water and finally with PBS buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4). All subsequent procedures are as described by Cohen *et al.* (1993). Pre-immune serum was used as a negative control.

Sperm. Fresh caudal epididymal sperm were air dried onto glass slides and fixed with 80% methanol before rehydration in PBS.

The subsequent manipulations were performed at room temperature in a humidified chamber. The sperm were first blocked in PBS containing 3% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The PH20 antiserum was diluted 1:1000 in 1% BSA in PBS, added to the slides and incubated for 1 h. The slides were washed 3 times in PBS and a 1:60 dilution of the sheep anti-rabbit FITC-conjugated (Silenus) secondary antibody in PBS, 1% BSA added. Slides were again incubated for 1 h before a final wash in PBS. The slides were mounted in 90% glycerol/10% PBS, viewed and photographed under confocal microscopy (MRC-1000; Bio-rad). Negative controls included incubation of sperm samples with pre-immune serum.

Generation of antibodies to a PH20 peptide

Hydrophathy and surface probability plot analyses were used to identify a number of potential antigenic regions within the PH20 protein. One peptide region (encompassing amino acids 147–177) was initially selected for synthesis (Fig. 1). Rabbits were immunized subcutaneously with 100 μg of unconjugated peptide: peptide 1 (WDSWRPNWARNWRPKHIYKEQSIDLAQQQHI) in Freund's complete adjuvant, followed by two subcutaneous boosts of 100 μg each in Freund's incomplete adjuvant. The serum antibody responses were monitored by Western blot analysis against both sperm extracts and the PH20-maltose-binding fusion protein. Sera from these animals were used for the immunological studies at a dilution of 1:1000. All animal manipulations were in accordance with the CSIRO Wildlife and Ecology Animal Experimental Ethics Committee requirements and followed NHMRC guidelines.




Fig. 1. Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity and surface probability analyses of fox PH20. The putative antigenic epitope, peptide 1 is represented by a box. Peptide 1 was used for the production of antisera used in the studies described.

Western analysis

Proteins were extracted from caudal sperm in 10 mM CHAPS ([3-(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propane sulfonate; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in PBS in the presence of protease inhibitors (200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ Aprotinin, 0.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ Leupeptin, 0.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ EDTA). Protein extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (12% acrylamide) under both reducing and non-reducing conditions. The proteins were transferred onto PVDF (polyvinylidene difluoride) membrane (Millipore, USA) by the method of Towbin and Gordon (1984), and non-specific sites on the membrane blocked with 5% skim milk powder in TBS buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4, 50 mM NaCl) for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibody was added in 1% skim milk powder in TBS and the filters incubated at room temperature for 1 h with gentle agitation. Unbound antibodies were removed by three 10-min washes in TBS. The second antibody, a 1:9000 dilution of anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase (Bio-Rad) in TBS containing 1% skim milk powder, was then added and the filter incubated for 1 h at room temperature. This incubation was again followed by three 10-min washes in TBS. Sites of antibody binding were visualized by incubation of the washed membranes in TBS containing 0.05% diaminobenzamide and 0.01% H_2O_2 . The reaction was terminated by washing the membrane in water.

Production of recombinant PH20

A fox PH20 recombinant fusion protein was produced by use of the maltose-binding protein (MBP) expression system (New England Biolabs). All subsequent procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The serum from rabbits immunized against the PH20 peptide was also tested against the fusion protein, thereby confirming the identity of the fusion protein and the specificity of the antibodies.

Results

Characterization of fox PH20

A 1.9-kb PH20 clone was isolated from a fox testis cDNA library. The clone contains an untranslated 5' leader sequence of 239 nucleotides. An open reading frame starts at nucleotide 240 with an ATG initiation codon and continues until the stop codon at nucleotide 1860 (Fig. 2). The open reading frame (1620 bp) encodes a protein of 540 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 62 kDa. Analysis of the 3' untranslated region shows a consensus polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) at position 1860 which coincides with the stop codon.

Alignment of the deduced amino-acid sequence of fox PH20 to the proteins from six other species found in the Genbank database (Fig. 3), shows a high level of conservation between these species. Fox PH20 amino-acid sequence is 59% identical to human, 59% to monkey and 55% to rabbit, 51% to both guinea-pig and rat and 49% identical to mouse sequences.

Analysis of the amino-acid sequence of the fox PH20 protein reveals a hydrophobic N-terminal region, and there appears to be a signal sequence with a possible cleavage site between amino acids 34 and 35: CLT-QE (Nielsen *et al.* 1997).

The fox PH20 shows six potential Asn-glycosylation sites at amino acid residues 46, 103, 180, 226, 344 and

369, in common with the other PH20 proteins analysed (Fig. 2). Some putative sites for O-linked glycosylation can also be found at amino acid residues 56 and 273 (Gooley *et al.* 1991).

Recombinant PH20 from other species has been shown to possess hyaluronidase activity (Gmachl *et al.* 1993; Hou *et al.* 1996). In these species a number of potential hyaluronan binding sites have been identified in the N-terminal half of the protein. In the fox several putative hyaluronan binding domains are present at amino acids 121–128, 189–197, 197–205 and 280–288, although it is not known whether the expressed fox PH20 protein has hyaluronidase activity.

Expression of PH20 during spermatogenesis

The seasonal expression of PH20 in the fox was studied by Northern blot analysis of testes taken at periods of both active and inactive spermatogenesis. The blot was probed with a 1.0-kb *EcoRI* fragment of fox PH20 spanning the N-terminal region of the gene. A mRNA transcript of approximately 2.0 kb was detected in testis RNA samples taken from the months of May, June, July and August. No transcript was detected in RNA samples from testes sampled in April and September (Fig. 4).

Immunofluorescent-labelling studies were used to determine the localization of PH20 on fox sperm. These were performed on both air-dried and methanol-fixed caudal fox sperm with antiserum produced against the PH20 peptide. Antibody binding was restricted to the anterior head region, with intense fluorescence present within the acrosomal membrane compartment (Fig. 5). By contrast, no labelling was observed with air-dried sperm. In addition, no immunolabelling could be detected on testis sections from those months when spermatogenesis is most active (data not shown).

Immunological analyses by Western blot

A Western blot analysis of CHAPS-detergent extracts of whole-sperm proteins was conducted with serum raised against the fox PH20 peptide. Under reducing conditions a protein of approximately 60 kDa was identified on these blots (Fig. 6). Under non-reducing conditions a faster migrating band at 50 kDa was observed, suggesting probable disulfide-bond formation in the PH20 protein.

Discussion

In this study we report the cloning and partial characterization of the fox homologue to PH20. The clone was obtained initially through heterologous screening of a fox testis cDNA library, using a cDNA probe from rabbit PH20. A comparison of the sequence of fox PH20 with six PH20 proteins from other species shows a high level of sequence conservation. Fox PH20 shows the highest level of identity to human PH20, monkey




Fig. 3. Alignment of protein sequences from fox, human, monkey, rabbit, guinea pig, rat and mouse. Regions of amino-acid identity are boxed.




Fig. 4. Northern blot analysis illustrating the seasonal expression of fox *PH20* in fox-testis total RNA (10 μ g) from the months April, May, June, July, August and September (Lanes 1–6 respectively). Hybridizations were performed in 3 \times PE, 4% SDS, 1% BSA, 10% dextran sulfate at 68°C, followed by stringent washes in 2 \times SSC, 0.1% SDS at 68°C.

and rabbit, with lower homology to guinea-pig, rat and mouse forms. The homology also extends to the spatial conservation of most of the cysteine residues found in all the species studied. Fox *PH20* has 15 cysteine residues, of which 12 are conserved between the species studied in the analysis (Fig. 2). These residues are thought to be important in the formation of disulphide bonds necessary for the linking of the two functional domains

proposed for the *PH20* protein (Lathrop *et al.* 1990). The presence of disulfide-bond formation in fox *PH20* is also indicated since this protein migrates faster under non-reducing than under reducing conditions.

The sequences appear to be most divergent at the C-terminus. This region is thought to be required for attaching the protein to the sperm membrane. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-(GPI-) linked proteins often have a short hydrophobic C-terminal sequence, which serves as a signal for the GPI anchor (Ferguson 1988). Short hydrophobic sequences have been found at the C-terminus of the human, guinea-pig and rabbit *PH20* proteins. In human, monkey and guinea-pig, anchoring of the *PH20* protein to the membrane has been shown to occur through a GPI linkage (Hunnicut *et al.* 1996b). Studies on the rat *PH20* homologue 2B1, suggest that the *PH20* protein is not membrane associated through an enzyme-cleavable GPI-linkage, but through a transmembrane domain (Hou *et al.* 1996). Fox *PH20* contains neither a short hydrophobic C-terminal sequence indicating a possible GPI linkage (Fig. 1), nor a transmembrane domain at the far C-terminal region of the protein.

The glycosylated form of *PH20* has been isolated from caudal guinea-pig sperm. Lathrop *et al.* (1990) showed that on digestion with an N-glycanase, guinea-pig *PH20* migrated at a lower molecular weight as determined by SDS-PAGE, indicating that the protein is glycosylated. Fox *PH20* protein also has a number of potential N-linked glycosylation sites where glycosylation may occur.

Studies on recombinant human, mouse, rat and monkey *PH20* have shown that these proteins possess hyaluronidase activity (Gmachl *et al.* 1993; Lin *et al.* 1994; Hou




Fig. 5. Immunofluorescent localization of *PH20* on methanol-fixed caudal fox sperm by the sera raised against the fox *PH20* peptide (diluted 1:1000). Labelling on the sperm is localized to the inner acrosomal membrane. Bar, 20 μ m.




Fig. 6. Western blot analysis of fox PH20 on CHAPS extract of sperm under reducing (Lane 1) and non-reducing (Lane 2) conditions. The membrane was probed with anti-peptide serum raised in rabbits.

et al. 1996). All of these proteins contain a number of potential hyaluronan binding sites. Similarly, fox PH20 protein has a number of putative hyaluronan binding sites. One of these motifs (RPNWARNWR) is present between amino acids 151–159, and conforms to the binding motif BX₇B (where B is any basic amino acid and X any amino acid but not acidic and at least one basic) as originally proposed by Yang *et al.* (1994). This site is highly conserved between human, fox and monkey PH20 protein sequences but the rat and rabbit PH20 proteins show an amino acid change in the required basic residues. Alternative sites which fit the criteria of this motif are also present in several of PH20 sequences examined and in the fox can be found at amino acids 121–128, 189–197, 197–205 and 280–288 (Fig. 2). The last three were identified in the rat by Hou *et al.* (1996).

However, several acidic residues can be found within these sites and these are thought to reduce the hyaluronan binding efficiency (Yang *et al.* 1994).

The guinea-pig PH20 protein has been analysed in more detail by Hunnicut *et al.* (1996a). They found that the protein is cleaved between the arginine (311) and serine (312) residues of the mature protein, giving rise to possibly two distinct functional domains, linked by a disulfide bridge. While the arginine and serine residues appear to be highly conserved in their relative positions in the six species examined (serine = alanine in mouse), the fox PH20 protein does not contain arginine and serine residues in these positions and therefore may not be cleaved at this position.

Recent studies have shown that the PH20 protein is located in different compartments in the sperm of different species (Myles and Primakoff 1997). For example, in mouse, human and monkey sperm it is located on the anterior or whole head, whereas in guinea-pig the protein appears to be localized on the posterior head of the sperm (Myles *et al.* 1984; Overstreet *et al.* 1995; Lin *et al.* 1994; cited in Myles and Primakoff 1997). More recently Li *et al.* (1997) have shown that PH20 in the monkey can also be found on the inner acrosomal membrane. By contrast, the rat PH20 homologue, 2B1, is located on the tail and migrates to the head on capacitation (Jones *et al.* 1990).

Immunohistochemical localization of the fox PH20 protein by the PH20 peptide antiserum showed immunoreactivity on methanol-fixed sperm but not on air-dried sperm. Since methanol fixing permeabilizes the sperm, this observation indicates that the fox antigen is contained primarily within the intracellular acrosomal compartment of fox sperm.

Spermatogenesis in the European red fox is a seasonal event that in the southern hemisphere commences in mid-March (McIntosh 1963; Ryan 1976). Around this time there is a pronounced growth in size of the seminiferous tubule within the testis. Histological examination has shown that this size increase occurs simultaneously with cell differentiation in the testis and with the increase in number of spermatocytes within the tubules, with round and elongating spermatids evident by late April and sperm present within the tubule lumen by May (Cohen *et al.* 1993, Vandermark *et al.* 1993).

Northern analysis of *PH20* mRNA expression in fox testis sampled during the months of April to September identified a 2-kb transcript, consistent with expected size of the fox gene product. The *PH20* mRNA was first detected in May, albeit at a very low level. The *PH20* mRNA was then detected at higher levels in the months of July and August, as spermatogenesis reached a peak. No mRNA was detected in samples from the month of September, a finding consistent with histological

studies which had shown that the tubules had regressed and spermatogenesis had undergone seasonal termination. Thus the temporal expression of PH20 reflects the seasonal nature of spermatogenesis in the fox.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Australian Nature Conservation Agency (ANCA), CSIRO and the CRC for the Biological Control of Vertebrate Pest Populations. We thank Michael Holland for supplying the rabbit PH20 clone, and Dr Peter Bird for his critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- Beaton, S., Cleary, A., ten Have, J., and Bradley, M. (1994). Cloning and characterization of a fox sperm protein FSA-1. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* **6**, 761–70.
- Beaton, S., ten Have, J., Cleary, A., and Bradley, M. (1995). Cloning and partial characterization of the cDNA encoding the fox sperm antigen FSA-Acr.1 with similarities to the SP-10 antigen. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **40**, 242–52.
- Bradley, M. P., Geelan, A., Leitch, V., and Goldberg, E. (1996). Cloning, sequencing and characterisation of LDH-C4 from a fox testis cDNA library. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **44**, 452–9.
- Bradley, M. P., Hinds, L. A., and Bird, P. H. (1997). A bait delivered immunocontraceptive vaccine for the European red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) by the year 2002? *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* **9**, 111–16.
- Cherr, G. N., Meyers, S. A., Yudin, A. I., Vandevoort, C. A., Myles, D. G., Primakoff, P., and Overstreet, J. W. (1996). The PH20 protein in *Cynomolgus macaque* spermatozoa: identification of two different forms exhibiting hyaluronidase activity. *Dev. Biol.* **175**, 142–53.
- Cohen, D. R., Vandermark, S. E., McGovern, J. D., and Bradley, M. (1993). Transcriptional regulation in the testis: a role for transcription factor AP-1 complexes at various stages of spermatogenesis. *Oncogene* **8**, 443–55.
- Ferguson, M. A. J. (1988). Cell-surface anchoring of proteins via glycosylphosphatidylinositol structures. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **57**, 285–320.
- Gmachl, M., Sagan, S., Ketter, S., and Kreil, G. (1993). The human sperm protein PH-20 has hyaluronidase activity. *FEBS Lett.* **336**, 545–8.
- Gooley, A. A., Classon, B. J., Marschalek, R., and Williams, K. L. (1991). Glycosylation sites identified by detection of glycosylated amino acids released from Edman degradation: the identification of Xaa-Pro-Xaa-Xaa as a motif for Thr-O-glycosylation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **178**, 1194–201.
- Hou, S., Ma, A., Jones, R., and Hall, L. (1996). Molecular cloning and characterization of rat sperm surface antigen 2B1, a glycoprotein implicated in sperm-zona binding. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **45**, 193–203.
- Hunnicut, G. R., Primakoff, P., and Myles, D. S. (1996a). Sperm surface protein PH-20 is bifunctional: one activity is a hyaluronidase and a second, distinct activity is required in secondary sperm-zona binding. *Biol. Reprod.* **55**, 80–6.
- Hunnicut, G. R., Mahan, K., Lathrop, W. F., Ramarao, C. D., Myles, D. G., and Primakoff, P. (1996b). Structural relationship of sperm soluble hyaluronidase to the sperm membrane protein PH-20. *Biol. Reprod.* **54**, 1343–9.
- Jones, R., Shalgi, R., Hoyland, J., and Phillips, D. M. (1990). Topographical rearrangement of a plasma membrane antigen during capacitation of rat spermatozoa *in vitro*. *Dev. Biol.* **139**, 349–62.
- Lathrop, W. F., Carmichael, E. P., Myles, D. G., and Primakoff, P. (1990). cDNA cloning reveals the molecular structure of a sperm surface protein, PH-20, involved in sperm-egg adhesion and the wide distribution of its gene among mammals. *J. Cell Biol.* **111**, 2939–49.
- Li, M., Cherr, G. N., Yudin, A. I., and Overstreet, J. W. (1997). Biochemical characterization of the PH-20 protein on the plasma membrane and inner acrosomal membrane of *Cynomolgus macaque* spermatozoa. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **48**, 356–66.
- Lin, Y., Kimmel, L. H., Myles, D. G., and Primakoff, P. (1994). Molecular cloning of the human and monkey sperm surface protein PH-20. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci., USA* **90**, 10071–5.
- Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F., and Sambrook, J. (1989). 'Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual.' (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York.)
- McIntosh, D. L. (1963). Reproduction and growth of the fox in the Canberra district. *CSIRO Wildl. Res.* **8**, 132–41.
- Myles, D. G., and Primakoff, P. (1997). Why did the sperm cross the cumulus? To get to the oocyte. Functions of the sperm surface proteins PH-20 and fertilin in arriving at, and fusing with, the egg. *Biol. Reprod.* **56**, 320–7.
- Myles, D. G., Primakoff, P., and Koppel, D. (1984). A localized surface protein of guinea pig sperm exhibits free diffusion in its domain. *J. Cell Biol.* **98**, 1905–9.
- Nielsen, H., Engelbrecht, J., Brunak, S., and von Heijne, G. (1997). Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. *Protein Eng.* **10**, 1–6.
- Overstreet, J. W., Lin, Y., Yudin, A. I., Meyers, S. A., Primakoff, P., Myles, D. M., Katz, D. F., and Vandevoort, C. A. (1995). Location of the PH20 protein on acrosome intact and acrosome reacted spermatozoa of *Cynomolgus macaque*. *Biol. Reprod.* **52**, 105–14.
- Primakoff, P., Lathrop, W., Woolman, L., Cowan, A., and Myles, D. (1988). Fully effective contraception in male and female guinea pigs immunized with the sperm protein PH20. *Nature* **335**, 543–6.
- Primakoff, P., Woolman-Gamer, L., Tung, K. S. K., and Myles, D. G. (1997). Reversible contraceptive effect of PH-20 immunization in male guinea pigs. *Biol. Reprod.* **56**, 1142–6.
- Ryan, G. E. (1976). Observation on reproduction and age structure of the fox, *Vulpes vulpes* L., in New South Wales. *Aust. Wildl. Res.* **3**, 11–20.
- Towbin, H., and Gordon, J. (1984). Immunoblotting and dot immunoblotting—current status and outlook. *J. Immunol. Methods* **72**, 313–40.
- Vandermark, S., Cohen, D., Janssens, P., Yun-Fai, C., and Bradley, M. (1993). Germ cell-specific gene expression in a seasonal breeder, the European red fox. In 'Sex Chromosomes and Sex Determining Genes'. (Eds K. C. Reed and J. A. Marshall Graves.) pp. 69–85. (Harwood Academic Press: Chur, Switzerland.)
- Yang, B., Yang, B. L., Savani, R. S., and Turley, E. A. (1994). Identification of a common hyaluronan binding motif in the hyaluronan binding proteins RHAMM, CD44 and link protein. *EMBO* **13**, 286–96.

Manuscript received 9 December 1997; accepted 2 July 1998