

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

MOBILE DATA TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-00435-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Before the Court is Samsung’s Motion for Relief from the Protective Order. **Dkt. No. 45.** Samsung seeks relief from the protective order “to submit under seal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in currently pending inter partes review proceedings, a highly relevant settlement agreement produced in this action by Plaintiff Mobile Data Technologies LLC.” *Id.* at 1. Samsung argues that it needs this relief based on the PTAB’s new bifurcated briefing process, under which Samsung must soon file its discretionary denial brief. *Id.* Plaintiff MDT opposes this relief. Dkt. No. 50. MDT highlights that the PTAB Director has already rejected Samsung’s position as to the relevance of the settlement agreement to the Director’s discretionary considerations. *Id.* at 1.

The Court finds that Samsung has failed to show sufficient good cause for relief from the Protective Order. Samsung has not sufficiently shown any relevance of the settlement agreement to its discretionary denial brief, nor has Samsung justified why this Court should upset the expectations of the parties as memorialized in their protective order. The Motion is thus **DENIED.**

SIGNED this 7th day of June, 2025.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE