

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM
ERICSSON, ERICSSON INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00282-JRG

ORDER


Before the Court is the parties’ Joint Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 235.) In the Motion, the parties indicate that they have settled their respective claims for relief. (*Id.*) Accordingly, the parties request that Plaintiff Koninklijke KPN N.V.’s (“KPN”) claims against Defendants Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson and Ericsson, Inc. (collectively, “Ericsson”) be dismissed **with** prejudice. (*Id.*) Further, the parties move to dismiss Ericsson’s counterclaims and defenses **without** prejudice. (*Id.*)

Having considered the Motion, the Court finds that the same should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that all claims asserted by KPN against Ericsson in the above-captioned case are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Further, it is **ORDERED** that all counterclaims and defenses asserted by Ericsson against KPN are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

It is further **ORDERED** that each party bear its own costs, attorneys’ fees, and expenses. All pending requests for relief not expressly granted herein are **DENIED AS MOOT**. The Clerk is directed to **CLOSE** the above-captioned case.

So Ordered this

Jan 17, 2024



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE