

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. AND LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

MAXELL, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00444
Patent 8,736,729 B2

Before TERRENCE W. McMILLIN, KEVIN C. TROCK, and
MONICA S. ULLAGADDI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McMILLIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DISMISSAL
Due to Settlement Prior To Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

I. DISCUSSION

With the Board’s authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner (collectively “the Parties”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding due to settlement. Paper 6 (“Joint Motion”). In support of the Joint Motion, the Parties filed a Confidential Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1013 (“Settlement Agreement”)), as well as a Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information (Paper 7 (“Joint Request”)) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that they have reached an agreement to jointly seek termination¹ of this *inter partes* review proceeding, and that the filed copy of the Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1013) is a true and correct copy. Joint Motion 1. The Parties further represent that they “have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to [US Patent No. 8,736,729]” and that “[n]o other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the Settling Parties.” *Id.* at 2

The Petition (Paper 2) in this proceeding was filed on January 10, 2025. We have not yet decided whether to institute an *inter partes* review based upon the Petition and the Parties have shown adequately that the dismissal of the Petition and the proceeding is appropriate. Under these circumstances, we determine that good cause exists to dismiss the Petition and the proceeding.

¹ Dismissal of the Petition and this proceeding is more appropriate than termination as trial has not been instituted. Dismissal of the Petition means that the Petition will not be considered and no *inter partes* review will be instituted based upon the Petition. Accordingly, dismissal of the Petition and the proceeding provides that, absent further order, all action with regard to the Petition and the proceeding is terminated.

After reviewing the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner, we find that the Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1013) contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. We determine that good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1013) between Petitioner and Patent Owner as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

II. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding (Paper 6) is *granted*, and the Petition and IPR2025-00444 are hereby *dismissed*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request (Paper 7) to Treat the Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1013) as Business Confidential Information is *granted*, and the Settlement Agreement shall be kept separate from the files of US Patent No. 8,736,729 B2, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2025-00444
Patent 8,736,729 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Timothy Riffe
Jennifer Huang
Robert Schwentker
Bryan Cannon
Matthew Chun
Alex Yu
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
riffe@fr.com
jjh@fr.com
schwentker@fr.com
cannon@fr.com
mchun@fr.com
yu@fr.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Robert Pluta
Nicholas Ciulla
MAYER BROWN LLP
rpluta@mayerbrown.com
nciulla@mayerbrown.com