

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Richard Cochrane](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [Hamad Hamad](#); midwest@caldwellcc.com; [Tobin, David](#); [Oaks, Brian](#); [PacifiCorp-PTAB-ME2C](#); [rsjohnson](#); [Patton, Thomas](#); [Donels, Cara](#); [_MidAmerican](#)
Subject: RE: PR2025-00422, -423, -424, -425 // Request for Additional Pages in PORs
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 12:12:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

Patent Owner's unopposed request for authorization for Patent Owner to include 5,000 additional words (for a total of up to 19,000 words) in its Patent Owner Responses in IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00424 is granted. The additional 5,000 words requested by Patent Owner shall be limited to addressing priority date issues, meaning that the remainder of the Patent Owner's response must be 14,000 words or less.

Petitioner is authorized to include an additional 5,000 words in its Reply to the Patent Owner Responses in IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00424. The additional 5,000 words for Petitioner's Reply shall be limited to responding to priority date issues, meaning the remainder of Petitioner's Reply must be 5,600 words or less.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366



From: Richard Cochrane <rcochrane@caldwellcc.com>
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2026 3:59 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Hamad Hamad <hhamad@caldwellcc.com>; midwest@caldwellcc.com; Tobin, David <dtobin@mwe.com>; Oaks, Brian <boaks@mwe.com>; PacifiCorp-PTAB-ME2C <pacificorp-ptab-me2c@mwe.com>; rsjohnson@fredlaw.com; Patton, Thomas <tpatton@fredlaw.com>; Donels, Cara <cdonels@fredlaw.com>; _MidAmerican@fredlaw.com
Subject: PR2025-00422, -423, -424, -425 // Request for Additional Pages in PORs

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

Patent Owner respectfully requests the Board's authorization for Patent Owner to include 5,000 additional words (for a total of up to 19,000 words) in its Patent Owner Responses in IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00424. In the institution decision, the Board stated:

"In IPR2025-00425, Petitioner provides extensive arguments that the challenged claims are not entitled to priority because the continuation applications do not support the challenged claims. In our Institution Decision there, we analyze the priority date issue in depth based on the parties' arguments and evidence presented in that proceeding. We are aware of the potential problems that could arise if we were to ignore or fail to address a priority date issue in this case. Accordingly, the parties are encouraged to try to maintain consistent records as between cases, at least with respect to critical evidence, to help us to avoid the potential for inconsistent results."

See IPR2025-00424, Paper 28 at 40.

Given the above, Patent Owner intends to include the priority date arguments and evidence from IPR2025-00423 and IPR2025-00425 in its Patent Owner Responses. Accordingly, to fully develop the record on these issues and to "maintain consistent records between cases," Patent Owner respectfully requests an additional 5,000 words to incorporate that argument and evidence into its Patent Owner Responses in IPR2025-00422 and IPR2025-00424.

Patent Owner also notes that the Board granted this relief via email in IPR2025-00274 and IPR2025-00280 for substantially the same reasons.

Given Patent Owner's upcoming deadline to file its Patent Owner responses on Tuesday, January 20, in the interest of time, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board rule on this issue via email. If the Board is not inclined to rule without a motion on this issue, please advise whether Patent Owner has authorization to file a motion for the relief requested above. See 37 CFR § 42.24.

Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioners, who have provided the following position: Petitioners do not oppose, provided that: (1) the 5,000 words requested by Patent Owner are used only for the priority date issues, meaning that the remainder of the patent owner response must fit within the 14,000 words; and (2) Petitioners are afforded an extra 5,000 words in their Replies to the Patent Owner Response.

Sincerely,
Richard A. Cochrane
Counsel for Patent Owner

Richard Cochrane | Caldwell Cassidy Curry PC
2121 N Pearl St., Suite 1200, Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: 214.888.4854
rcochrane@caldwellcc.com
www.caldwellcc.com