IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RSB SPINE, LLC,

Plaintiff,:

V.: C.A. No. 18-1972-RGA

LIFE SPINE, INC.,:

Defendant.:

RSB SPINE, LLC,:

Plaintiff,:

V.: C.A. No. 18-1973-RGA

MEDACTA USA, INC.,:

Defendant.:

Plaintiff, :

RSB SPINE, LLC,

v. : C.A. No. 18-1974-RGA

PRECISION SPINE, INC., :

Defendant. :

```
RSB SPINE, LLC, :
              Plaintiff, :
3
                     : C.A. No. 18-1976-RGA
   XTANT MEDICAL HOLDINGS, :
    INC.,
          Defendant. :
    _____
    RSB SPINE, LLC, :
             Plaintiff, :
10
                        : C.A. No. 19-1515-RGA
    v.
11
    DEPUY SYNTHES SALES, :
12
   INC., and DEPUY SYNTHES:
    PRODUCTS, INC., :
13
14
               Defendants. :
15
16
              Videotaped Expert Deposition of
17
                      TROY D. DREWRY
18
                     Washington, D.C.
19
                 Thursday, March 5, 2020
20
                        9:06 a.m.
21
    Job No. 48537
22
    Reported by: Donna A. Peterson, Notary Public
```

202-220-4158

```
1
                  The Videotaped Expert Deposition of TROY
     D. DREWRY, taken at the law offices of:
 3
                      COOLEY, LLP
                      14th Floor
                      11951 Freedom Drive
                      Reston, Virginia 20190-5640
10
11
12
13
14
                Pursuant to Notice, before Donna A.
15
     Peterson, Notary Public in and for the District of
     Columbia.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

	4
1 APPEARANCES	
2	
ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF, RSB SPINE, LLC, and THE	
4 WITNESS:	
5 FRANK PIETRANTONIO, ATTORNEY at LAW	
6 DUSTIN KNIGHT, ATTORNEY at LAW	
7 COOLEY, LLP	
8 14th Floor, 11951 Freedom Drive	
9 Reston, Virginia 20190-5640	
10 Telephone: (703) 456-8567	
11 fpietrantonio@cooley.com	
12 dknight@cooley.com	
13	
14 ON BEHALF OF THE DePUY DEFENDANTS:	
15 CALVIN P. GRIFFITH, ATTORNEY at LAW	
16 ROBERT M. BREETZ, ATTORNEY at LAW	
17 JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE	
18 North Point, Lakeside Avenue	
19 Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
20 Telephone: (216) 566-3939	
21 cpgriffith@jonesday.com	
22 rbreetz@jonesday.com	

		5
1 APPE	ARANCES CONTINUED	
2		
3 ON BEHALF	OF DEFENDANT MEDACTA:	
4 SCOT	T D. SHERMAN, ATTORNEY at LAW	
5 JAMI	CS KRITSAS, ATTORNEY at LAW	
6 JASO	ON C. WHITE, ATTORNEY at LAW (Via	
7 Te	elephone)	
8 MORG	SAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP	
9 77 1	Jest Wacker Drive	
10 Chic	cago, Illinois 60601-5094	
11 Tele	ephone: (312) 324-1000	
12 scot	t.sherman@morganlewis.com	
13 jame	es.kritsas@morganlewis.com	
14 jaso	on.white@morganlewis.com	
15		
16 ON BEHALF	OF LIFE SPINE, INC.: (Via telephone)	
17 MATT	THEW W. PETERS, ATTORNEY at LAW	
18 FOLI	Y & LARDNER, LLP	
19 777	East Wisconsin Avenue	
20 Milv	aukee, Wisconsin 53202-5306	
21 Tele	ephone: (414) 271-5306	
22 mpet	ers@foley.com	

```
6
          APPEARANCES CONTINUED
         ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PRECISION SPINE, INC.:
               (Via telephone)
               STEPHANIE BERGER, ATTORNEY at LAW
6
               DEVLIN LAW FIRM
               1526 Gilpin Avenue
               Wilmington, Delaware 19806
               Telephone: (302) 449-9010
10
               sberger@devlinlawfirm.com
11
12
         ALSO PRESENT: Denise DeFranco, Global Head, IP
13
                          Litigation, Johnson & Johnson
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

			,	7
1		CONTENTS		
2	EXAMINATION OF	TROY D. DREWRY	PAGE	
3	By Mr. Griffith		11	
4	By Mr. Sherwin		116	
5	By Mr. Pietrantonio		191	
6	By Mr. Griffith		195	
7				
8		EXHIBITS		
9	(All exhib	oits attached to the transcript.)		
10	DREWRY EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
11	Exhibit 1	Expert Declaration Of Troy D.	13	
12		Drewry On Claim Construction		
13	Exhibit 2	Picture of an Atlantis plate	23	
14	Exhibit 3	U.S. Patent No. 9,713,537	40	
15	Exhibit 4	U.S. Patent No. 6,984,234	61	
16	Exhibit 5	Photograph of a Hartshill	67	
17		Horseshoe		
18	Exhibit 6	Lateral and superior view of	124	
19		vertebrae		
20	Exhibit 7	Dorland's Illustrated Medical	145	
21		Dictionary, 30th Edition,		
22		pages 117, 1054, 1058, 1336		
I				

	3 , 3			
				8
1	ЕХН	IIBITS CONTINUED		
2	DREWRY EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3	Exhibit 8	Lateral and superior view of	163	
4		Vertebrae		
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
il .				

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.
- 3 This is Video Number One in the video-recorded
- 4 deposition of Troy Drewry, taken by Defendants in the
- 5 matter of RSB Spine, LLC, Plaintiffs, verse Life
- 6 Spine, Inc., Defendants, consolidated cases Number
- 7 18-1972 and '73, '74, '76, as well as 19-1515-RGA,
- 8 pending before the United States District Court For
- ⁹ The District of Delaware.
- This deposition is being held at the law
- office of Cooley, located Reston Town Center, 11951
- 12 Freedom Drive, Reston, Virginia, on March 5th, 2020.
- 13 The time is 9:08 a.m.
- 14 My name is Nancy Holmstock, video
- operator, representing the firm of Henderson Legal
- 16 Services. The court reporter today is Donna
- 17 Peterson, in association with Henderson Legal
- 18 Services.
- 19 For the record, will counsel now please
- introduce themselves and whom you represent.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Frank Pietrantonio,
- from Cooley, on behalf of RSB Spine and the witness.

- MR. KNIGHT: Dustin Knight, on behalf of
- 2 RSB Spine and the witness, for Cooley.
- MR. GRIFFITH: Calvin Griffith, with Jones
- Day, on behalf of the DePuy Defendants.
- 5 MR. BREETZ: Robert Breetz, with Jones
- 6 Day, for DePuy.
- 7 MR. SHERWIN: Scott Sherwin, from Morgan
- 8 Lewis, and I represent Medacta.
- 9 MR. KRITSAS: I'm James Kritsas, from
- 10 Morgan Lewis, and I also represent Medacta. And on
- the line, we also have Jason White, from Morgan
- 12 Lewis, on behalf of Medacta.
- MS. DeFRANCO: Denise DeFranco, from
- 14 Johnson & Johnson.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
- please administer the oath.
- 17 Thereupon,
- TROY D. DREWRY,
- was called as a witness by counsel for Defendants
- 20 DePuy Synthes Sales, Inc. and DePuy Synthes Products,
- 21 Inc., and having been duly sworn by the Notary
- 22 Public, was examined and testified as follows:

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- MR. GRIFFITH: Should we have the folks on
- 2 the line introduce themselves?
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, please.
- 4 MS. BERGER: This is Stephanie Berger, at
- 5 Devlin Law Firm, for Defendant Precision Spine.
- 6 MR. PETERS: Matt Peters, of Foley and
- 7 Lardner, for Defendant Life Spine.
- 8 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
- 9 DePUY SYNTHES SALES, INC., and
- 10 DePUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, INC.
- 11 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Good morning.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 Q. Please state your full name, for the
- 15 record.
- 16 A. Troy D. Drewry.
- Q. What is your home address?
- 18 A. 4229 Waymar Drive, Memphis, Tennessee
- ¹⁹ **38117.**
- Q. And what is your work address?
- 21 A. I'm a consultant, I work out of my house.
- 22 And then I'm also a professor at practice at the

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 University of Mississippi in Oxford, Mississippi.
- Q. Have you had your deposition taken in
- 3 other cases previously?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. About how many times?
- 6 A. Seven or eight, I believe.
- 7 Q. And when was the last time you had your
- 8 deposition taken?
- 9 A. Several months ago.
- Q. Okay. Is it "Dr." Drewry --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- "Mr." Drewry?
- A. "Mr." Drewry.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Drewry, if you don't understand
- a question I ask, please let me know, I'll do what I
- 16 can to try to clear it up. Okay?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And if you answer a question, I'm going to
- 19 assume that you understood it. Is that fair?
- 20 A. That's fair.
- 21 Q. And you understand the testimony you're
- 22 providing today is under oath?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any reason that you can't or
- 3 would be unable to give truthful and honest testimony
- 4 today?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 1 was
- 7 marked for identification and attached to the
- 8 transcript.)
- 9 MR. GRIFFITH: I'm going to hand you a
- 10 copy of what's been marked as Drewry Exhibit 1.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. And I will represent to you this is a copy
- of the Expert Declaration Of Troy D. Drewry On Claim
- 15 Construction. Do you recognize that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And can you turn to page 16. Is that your
- 18 signature?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Did you review this declaration to prepare
- 21 for your deposition today?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, I did.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. And do you understand that the short name
- for the two patents in suit of RSB in this case are
- 3 the '234 patent and the '537 patent?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And did you review those two patents to
- 6 prepare for your deposition today?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. What exactly were you asked to do when RSB
- 9 retained you to assist with claim construction?
- 10 A. I will again relying on my declaration for
- 11 consistency. I was retained by them to provide
- 12 opinions related to particular terms in the
- 13 construction of the claims for the '234 and the '537
- 14 patent.
- Q. Did you write your expert declaration
- 16 yourself?
- 17 A. I did not write it completely myself.
- 18 O. Who wrote the first draft?
- 19 A. I believe it was done in conjunction with
- each other. I looked at the -- the terms that they
- 21 asked me to provide an opinion upon and, through an
- interview process back and forth, we provided the

- 1 information that it needed.
- Q. When did you begin the work leading to
- your expert declaration?
- 4 A. I don't recall the date that I was
- 5 retained. So received in March -- I believe it was
- 6 the end of 2019, some time in.
- 7 Q. Approximately how many hours did you spend
- 8 doing the work that culminated in your expert
- 9 declaration?
- 10 A. I don't recall right off. Maybe 30, 40
- 11 hours.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Somewhere around there.
- 14 Q. In paragraph four of your declaration, you
- 15 state that the documents you reviewed and relied on
- in reaching your opinions and conclusions in the
- declaration are cited in the declaration. Do you see
- 18 that?
- 19 A. Can you point me out where you're --
- Q. Paragraph four, under the heading
- 21 Materials Considered.
- 22 A. Oh.

- 1 Q. So it's on page three.
- A. Oh. I went to page four, I'm sorry.
- Yes.
- 4 Q. Is that a true statement?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, did you
- 7 cite in this declaration all of the documents that
- 8 you reviewed and relied on for reaching your
- 9 conclusions on claim construction in this litigation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And in preparing for this deposition, did
- 12 you notice or realize that there's any -- there's
- 13 some documents or any particular document that you
- 14 relied on but neglected to cite in this declaration?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- Q. As far as you know, what you cite in this
- declaration is a complete universe of what you
- 18 considered and relied on to reach your conclusions on
- 19 claim construction in this case, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. In paragraph 12, which is on page five --
- do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. You state that you understand that a
- 3 construction of a claim term that excludes an
- embodiment described in the specification is rarely a
- 5 proper construction unless the embodiment was not
- 6 claimed. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, do any of RSB's
- 9 constructions that you considered exclude embodiments
- disclosed in the specification of the '234 or '537
- 11 patents?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- 13 scope.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I was -- I was asked
- to opine on just a few terms in the claim
- 16 construction and that's what I've provided in my
- ¹⁷ declaration.
- 18 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 19 Q. Do you know whether any of those
- 20 constructions exclude embodiments in -- that are
- 21 disclosed in the specification of either the '234 or
- ²² '537 patents?

- 1 A. I do not.
- Q. What is your understanding as to when it
- 3 is appropriate to exclude embodiments that are
- 4 disclosed in the specification from the scope of the
- 5 claims?
- 6 A. Can you repeat your question, please?
- 7 O. Yeah.
- 8 What is your understanding as to when it
- 9 is appropriate to exclude from the scope of the
- 10 claims embodiments that are disclosed in the
- 11 specification?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: I'm going to object to
- the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I didn't, I didn't
- 15 address the exclusion of embodiments in this
- declaration, it was just the -- my opinions on the
- 17 claim terms that I was asked to opine upon.
- 18 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 19 Q. Did this statement that we're looking at
- in paragraph 12, to your recollection, have any
- 21 relevance to your opinions and, if so, what is it?
- 22 A. I don't believe they beared [sic] any

- influence on my claim construction or my opinions.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph
- 3 19. Do you see that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. This is a paragraph under the heading
- 6 Technology Background, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. And there, you talk about plate systems
- 9 using a unicortical purchase of the bone, do you see
- 10 that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you state that, "This design resulted
- in rigid fixation and stress shielding of the bone
- 14 graft material, which caused numerous patient
- 15 complications, including nonunion of the bony
- 16 fusion."
- Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. What do you mean by "stress shielding"
- 20 there?
- 21 A. Again, I think this was taken from the
- 22 patent as it was outlining shortcomings of current

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- technologies there. And that definition of stress
- shielding was shielding the bone graft material from
- 3 stresses.
- Q. Is it true that in this context of plate
- 5 systems on -- on the spine, stress shielding can
- 6 limit the load transferred to bone graft?
- 7 A. In this particular context, yes.
- 8 Q. And that, that can cause nonunion of the
- 9 bony fusion, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So is union of the bony fusion sometimes
- 12 called arthrodesis?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- Q. Yeah.
- 15 Is union of two vertebrae through bone
- 16 grafts sometimes called arthrodesis?
- 17 A. I believe that's a term that can be used
- 18 for the application.
- 19 Q. And you want, in doing, frequently doing
- spine surgery using plate systems, for example, on
- 21 the anterior cervical spine, you want arthrodesis to
- 22 occur, correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. You want fusion.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. "Fusion" is fine with
- 3 me.
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. And for these plate systems, it can
- 6 sometimes result in nonfusion. The thing limiting
- ⁷ the load transfer is the plate, coupled with the bone
- 8 screws, correct?
- 9 A. Can you repeat that?
- Q. Yeah.
- So stress shielding involves limiting the
- 12 load transferred to the bone graft, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. So the bone graft is placed in-between two
- vertebrae, right?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then -- you're going to have to give
- yes or no answers.
- A. Oh, sorry.
- Q. Oh, that's okay, I do it all the time
- 21 myself. So if I do the same thing, remind me.
- So, again, in a spine surgery, a surgeon

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- will -- you have a degenerated disc, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So the surgeon will clear out the -- the
- bad part of the disc, remove that, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And put in bone graft, right?
- 7 A. Yes, he can.
- 8 Q. So then, for example, they can put a plate
- over it, if it's a single, a single-level surgery,
- over two vertebrae, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And secure the plate to the vertebrae?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And -- and if that's a rigid fixation,
- that plate can limit the transfer of load to the bone
- graft that's been placed between the vertebrae,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. It could.
- 19 Q. And that's called stress shielding?
- 20 A. It -- yes, the plate could shield the
- 21 stresses.
- 22 Q. And in the -- in the, the two patents in

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- suit, the '234 patent and the '537 patent, the
- 2 inventor is trying to avoid having stress shielding
- 3 occur, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That's one of the purposes of the
- 6 invention, correct?
- 7 A. One of them, yes.
- Q. And so, again, going back to these plate
- 9 systems you're talking about in 19, the plate is
- bearing weight and not enough weight is being
- 11 transferred to the bone graft in that situation,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. In this particular concept -- context,
- 14 yes.
- MR. GRIFFITH: All right. And just to
- kind of get a little visual aid here, I'm going to
- mark as Exhibit 2 a picture of an Atlantis plate.
- 18 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 2 was
- 19 marked for identification and attached to the
- transcript.)
- 21 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. And I'm handing you Exhibit 2, which is

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- a picture of an Atlantis plate that I will represent
- 2 to you I downloaded from the internet.
- 3 So the Atlantis plate is a -- in an
- 4 anterior surface plate, isn't it?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. It was something that Medtronic introduced
- 7 and sold while you were at Medtronic, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And was -- is it a plate system that you
- were familiar with?
- 11 A. I'm familiar with the Atlantis plate, yes.
- 12 Q. Were you the designer of the Atlantis
- 13 plate?
- 14 A. I was not.
- Q. Okay. But you understand how it was used
- and how it operates, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is this the type of plate system, this
- 19 Atlantis plate system, that could result in stress
- 20 shielding?
- 21 A. In -- yes.
- Q. Now, the Atlantis plate allowed for rigid

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- fixation, correct?
- A. I believe it provided for multiple types
- of fixation. I think rigid was one, I think they may
- have had some other degrees of fixation, as well.
- 5 Q. And does it -- let me ask you if this
- for rings a bell, that you could, you could use screws
- ⁷ that were permitted to toggle some, and so that that
- 8 would be semi-constrained or a not-so-rigid fixation,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. I'm not sure of all the configurations but
- 11 I knew there were that.
- 12 Q. Okay. There was a rigid fixation,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And so screws would rigidly lock to the
- 16 plate in that circumstance, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And when the -- in that circumstance when
- 19 the Atlantis plate was implanted on vertebrae, it was
- 20 load-bearing, right?
- 21 A. Can you repeat that? I'm sorry.
- Q. In that circumstance where you rigidly fix

- 1 it, affix it, the Atlantis plate, to the vertebrae,
- 2 it's load-bearing?
- A. It beared [sic] load, yes.
- Q. It bore weight, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And so in a bearing weight, it would bear
- ⁷ the weight of the vertebrae superior to the vertebrae
- 8 inferior, inferior to that one, correct?
- 9 A. Just the weight of the vertebrae?
- 10 Q. Yeah, or at least some of the weight.
- 11 A. It -- it beared [sic] weight, so --
- Q. Okay. And when the Atlantis plate was
- implanted on vertebrae, it held the vertebrae in
- 14 place, right?
- 15 A. I'm sorry, repeat that.
- Q. When the Atlantis plate was implanted on
- vertebrae, it held, it held the vertebrae in place?
- 18 A. That was its intention.
- 19 Q. That was the purpose, right?
- 20 A. The purpose, yes.
- Q. And this type of plate, the Atlantis
- 22 plate, is sometimes used with cages, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And in those circumstances, does the plate
- 3 still bear some weight?
- 4 A. It could.
- Q. And then the cage would also bear weight,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. It could.
- Q. And when the Atlantis plate is used, was
- 9 used with a cage, can you describe how that procedure
- worked? How would the cage be used and what's a
- 11 sequence of steps? I'm not asking for, you know,
- 12 starting with Step A in the operating room, but just
- 13 at a general level, how would it be used by a surgeon
- with a cage?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- 16 scope.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Again, I guess in -- in that
- 18 procedure, the surgeon would identify the diseased
- disc, he would remove the material, as you discussed.
- He would put bone graft, a spacer, a cage or some
- 21 device that he was choosing to use to promote fusion
- in the disc space. And in the case of an Atlantis

- 1 plate, he placed a plate on the spine.
- 2 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 3 Q. So he would put bone graft inside the
- 4 cage, correct?
- 5 A. That -- that's one op -- he could do that.
- 6 He could just put a bone graft spacer. So he could
- y use a cage, he could not use a cage, he could use
- bone graft, he could not use bone graft. I mean,
- 9 there were multiple iterations.
- Q. Understood.
- 11 So when -- when using a cage, he would put
- bone graft into the cage, correct? That would be
- outside the spine that he would do that?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- scope.
- THE WITNESS: I mean, depending on the --
- depending on the cage, he could load the graft
- 18 material prior to insertion of the cage and put it in
- 19 together or, if the cage provided it, he could inject
- 20 bone graft material or some other synthetic substance
- into the cage after it was in place, as well.
- 22 BY MR. GRIFFITH:

- Q. Okay. And then the plate would go on
- ² after that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. All right.
- 5 All right. Let me direct your attention
- 6 to page seven, eight, nine and ten of your expert
- 7 declaration, that's Drewry Exhibit 1.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. And in this section, you discuss the term
- "base plate," correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And in paragraph 30, you conclude that a
- 13 spacer is distinct from a base plate as claimed in
- the '234 and '537 patents, correct?
- 15 A. I'm -- I'm sorry, what paragraph?
- Q. Paragraph 30.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. In paragraph 30, you conclude that a
- 19 spacer is distinct from a base plate, as claimed in
- the '234 and '537 patents, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, in paragraph 26, you define the term

- 1 "spacer," right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you say "a 'spacer' refers to an
- interbody device that forms a chamber, where the
- 5 chamber is packed with bone graft material prior to
- 6 insertion at the bone graft site."
- 7 You see that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Is it true that a characteristic of a
- spacer is that it is packed with bone graft prior to
- insertion of the spacer into the bone graft site?
- 12 A. No. That was -- in reviewing my
- declaration for this, in preparation for this, I
- 14 noticed that as I mentioned in the earlier example
- with the Atlantis plate that while typically cages
- 16 are preloaded before insertion, there are
- opportunities where cages could be inserted and then
- 18 post-packed with bone graft.
- 19 Q. So your definition of a spacer here is
- mistaken, is that your testimony?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Mischaracterizes his
- testimony.

- THE WITNESS: I would, I would just like
- 2 to add the -- the modifier that it's "typically." I
- mean, I thought about it some more with spacers and
- some others that are available and that there is the
- 5 opportunity for these spacers to be loaded after
- 6 they're in place.
- 7 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Is it fair to say that the -- your
- 9 definition there is an overstatement?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Again, mischaracterizes
- 11 his testimony.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I don't believe it's an
- overstatement, no.
- 14 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 15 Q. Now, you mentioned in one of your answers,
- 16 I had asked about spacer and you answered using the
- word "cage."
- 18 For our purposes in this case, are those
- 19 two words synonymous?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. What's -- what's the difference between
- the definition of a spacer and the definition of a

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 cage?
- A. Again, I think for the definition of the
- 3 spacer that I gave, it forms a chamber where the
- 4 chamber is packed. Again, typically it could be done
- before or after insertion into the bone graft site.
- 6 But, again, a spacer just -- doesn't necessarily need
- 7 to be a cage. I mean, there's examples where
- 8 allograft tissue can perform the function of a
- g spacer, but that would not be what I would typically
- 10 define as a cage.
- 11 Q. Besides allograft spacers, are there other
- examples of things that are spacers but, in your
- opinion, not cages?
- 14 A. Yes. We -- we made some synthetic bone
- 15 material spacers, so there's additional materials out
- there that I would consider a spacer and not a cage.
- Q. Okay. So it sounds to me like you
- 18 consider the term "spacer" to extend to allograft --
- 19 spacers made of allograft or synthetic materials that
- 20 are bone derived --
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection.
- MR. GRIFFITH: -- but not so with cages.

```
Is that -- is that correct? And if you need me to
    rephrase the question there --
3
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, repeat that.
    BY MR. GRIFFITH:
5
         Ο.
                So it sounds to me like you regard a cage
6
    as something not made from allograft or bone
7
    material, but, rather, from metal or plastic and
    materials of that ilk. Is that correct?
               MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection,
10
    mischaracterizes his testimony.
11
                THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure that I
12
    understand. Ask it one more time, I'm sorry.
13
                MR. GRIFFITH: Can you read back?
14
                (Thereupon, the Reporter read as follows:
15
                    Question: "So it sounds to me like
16
                you regard a cage as something not made
17
                from allograft or bone material, but,
18
                rather, from metal or plastic and
19
                materials of that ilk. Is that correct?")
20
               MR. PIETRANTONIO: Same objection.
21
                THE WITNESS: No, I don't, I don't think
22
    it's material-based.
```

- 1 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. What's the definition of a cage in the
- 3 context of spinal implants?
- A. I -- I really didn't look at a definition
- for a cage, I was focused on "spacer."
- 6 Q. So you don't know right now?
- 7 A. Not in the context that you're asking,
- 8 what definition I would give. I just really haven't
- 9 thought about it.
- 10 Q. Let me direct your attention to the page
- 11 seven of your declaration.
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- Q. And do you see that in the Plaintiff's
- definition, the word "spacer" is used?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in the Defendant's position, the word
- "cage" is used?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And then in paragraph 25, you say, you
- 20 identify three key respects in which the Plaintiff's
- 21 position differs from the Defendants' position. Do
- you see that?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You did not identify the difference
- between "cage" and "spacer" as a -- as an issue, is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. Again, I was -- that was my understanding
- of where the differences were, but I was just asked
- 7 to opine on whether the base plate was distinct from
- 8 the spacer. So those are the two -- the single area
- 9 that I focused my attention.
- 10 Q. And you have not opined on anything about
- 11 a cage, is that right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. I just looked at the definition of
- 15 "spacer."
- Q. And then in preparing for your deposition,
- you noticed that in your definition of spacer, you
- should have used the word "typically," do I have that
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When did you notice that?
- 22 A. I think it was yesterday, when I was

- 1 reading through it again, just in thinking in
- generally about the process.
- Q. Okay. Can a spacer be comprised of
- 4 multiple pieces?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So you can have, for example, a two-piece
- 5 spacer, is that right?
- 8 A. Yes, you could.
- 9 Q. It doesn't -- a spacer does not have to be
- 10 a single unitary piece, is that fair?
- 11 A. That's fair, yes.
- 12 Q. To be a spacer, to constitute a spacer in
- 13 your definition, does the chamber have to be
- 14 completely surrounded?
- A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Does a spacer have to be closed on the
- 17 posterior side?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And I -- I'm going to retroactively try to
- 20 bring some clarity to that question. I used the word
- 21 "posterior." So by that, I meant "posterior" in the
- 22 anatomical sense. Is that how you understood it?

- 1 A. That's one way can you understand it.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. I think it depends on the reference and
- 4 how you define posterior.
- 5 Q. Yeah, okay. So let me try to, as I said,
- 6 burrow in there and make sure that it's clear.
- 7 So the front part of a person's body is
- 8 anatomically referred to as the anterior side, right?
- A. In -- in that context, yes.
- Q. And so that's -- I'm referring to it in
- 11 that way, okay?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And then the back is the posterior side,
- in the way I'm referring to it.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And so when a spacer is used in an
- anterior procedure, the surface that is facing
- 18 outward and that would be visible after the spacer is
- 19 put in I'm going to call the -- the anterior surface,
- 20 all right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And the one that would be furthest

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- posterior -- I'm going to call it the posterior
- ² surface.
- \mathbf{A} . Yes.
- Q. All right. So in -- in that context with
- 5 those definitions, is it true that a spacer can be
- 6 opened or -- on the posterior side?
- 7 A. Yes, there are spacers that are open
- 8 posterior.
- 9 Q. Do spacers restrict shifting of the bone
- 10 graft?
- 11 A. They can.
- 12 Q. Is that one of their purposes?
- 13 A. Can you repeat it? Is that --
- 14 Q. Is -- sure.
- 15 Is one of the purposes of a spacer to
- 16 restrict shifting of the bone graft?
- A. Not always.
- Q. Okay. But sometimes it is, right?
- 19 A. I mean, there -- there -- sometimes there,
- there could be.
- Q. Is it unusual for the function of a spacer
- to be restriction of the shifting of the bone graft?

- 1 A. I mean, sometimes the spacer is the bone
- 2 graft.
- Q. I gotcha. All right.
- 4 So when the spacer isn't the bone graft --
- 5 so let me ask this in the context of a spacer made
- out of PEEK, P-E-E-K, all caps.
- 7 You're familiar with those types of
- 8 spacers, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is the function of a PEEK spacer
- 11 frequently to restrict shifting of the bone graft?
- 12 A. Again, in -- in some applications, yes.
- 13 Q. Is the function of a PEEK spacer to retain
- 14 bone graft?
- A. Again, in some context, yes.
- 16 Q. Is the function of a spacer to bear
- weight?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Are there any other functions for PEEK
- spacers that you're aware of?
- 21 A. I'm trying to think of what we have.
- I think maybe also to maintain disc

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- height, to provide space. It's a spacer.
- MR. GRIFFITH: Okay. All right. Let me
- 3 go to the '527 [sic] patent. I apologize, '537.
- 4 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 3 was
- 5 marked for identification and attached to the
- 6 transcript.)
- 7 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Mr. Drewry, I'm handing you a copy of
- 9 what's been marked as Drewry Exhibit 3.
- 10 A. Thank you.
- 11 Q. And that's a copy of U.S. Patent Number
- 9,713,537, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you recognize that as the '537
- patent that we've been referring to today?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You've read this, right?
- 18 A. Yes. Again, focusing on the -- on the
- 19 terms that I was asked to opine upon.
- 20 Q. You believe you understand this patent, is
- 21 that right?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Let's go to Figure 32.
- Do you have that?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does Figure 30 -- 32 have -- let me
- 5 rephrase that.
- Does the design shown in Figure 32 have a
- 7 base plate?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Is it a base plate?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Why not?
- 12 A. Because it's not distinct from the spacer.
- Q. So for claims in the '234 and '537 patents
- that require a base plate, you would say that the
- design shown in Figure 32 is not covered by those
- 16 claims, correct?
- 17 A. No, I didn't say they weren't covered, I
- 18 just said it -- it's not distinct from a spacer, so
- 19 it's not a base plate, by my definition.
- Q. If someone, to your understanding, used
- 21 this design, didn't use anything else with it, the
- design shown in Figure 32, for the claims requiring a

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Petitioners 1022-41

- base plate, you would say that design is not within
- the scope of the claims because it's not a base
- 3 plate, is that right?
- 4 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, calls for a
- 5 legal conclusion.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Again, based on my
- definition, in my opinion, this is a spacer because
- 8 it doesn't have a distinct base plate.
- 9 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 10 Q. Does RSB's definition of base plate
- exclude this embodiment from the term "base plate"?
- 12 A. Again, I don't think it's -- it's distinct
- from the spacer, so it doesn't match my definition in
- 14 my disclosure.
- Q. So thank you. My question was a little
- 16 bit different.
- The question is does RSB's construction of
- 18 "base plate," which you agree with, does that
- definition exclude this embodiment?
- A. Again, I didn't, I didn't look at that
- 21 embodiment, I just looked at the terms and opined on
- 22 their definitions.

- 1 Q. And you didn't consider this embodiment in
- ² doing that?
- 3 A. Not in the definition of my terms.
- Q. Did you consider in your definition of the
- 5 terms any of the embodiments shown in the '537
- 6 patent?
- A. As -- again, as the -- as the terms were
- 8 used, that's where I got my definitions for the --
- 9 the claims.
- Q. And you don't --
- 11 A. I mean for the, for the terms.
- 12 Q. You don't cite to any particular
- embodiments in the section on base plate, do you,
- 14 correct? Paragraphs 22 to 30.
- 15 A. Not specifically, no. Just in the general
- context of the '234 and the '537 patents.
- Q. And there were no particular embodiments
- that were important to your construction of "base
- 19 plate," is that correct?
- A. Again, I just, I focused on the terms
- 21 "bone plate" and used the context within the, within
- the patents for the definition.

- 1 Q. So there were no particular embodiments
- that were important to your construction of "base
- 3 plate," is that correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection,
- 5 mischaracterizes his testimony.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know that I
- 7 focused necessarily on individual embodiments.
- 8 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 9 Q. So is my statement correct that no
- 10 particular embodiments were important to your
- 11 construction of "base plate"?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection,
- mischaracterizes his testimony.
- 14 MR. GRIFFITH: That's coaching. You can
- object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know that
- there was an individual embodiment. I think I looked
- at them, at the patents, as a whole and looked at
- bone plate in the context of the patents and that's
- where I came up with my definitions.
- 21 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Does the -- let's go back to Figure 32.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Does that embodiment have a plate portion?
- A. No. Based -- again, based on my
- definition, I think in order to have a plate, you
- 4 have to be distinct from a spacer, and I don't think
- 5 that image is distinct.
- 6 Q. Let me follow up on this. So let's assume
- 7 that this spacer is used in an -- in an anterior
- 8 procedure. Let me rephrase that.
- Do you understand that this device that's
- shown in Figure 32 is called a base member in the
- 11 patent?
- 12 A. I'm not sure that I knew what that -- that
- 13 particular part was referenced as.
- Q. Okay. Assume that this device that's
- shown in Figure 32 is used in an anterior procedure,
- 16 okay?
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. And so do you see where the number 692 is?
- 19 Not what it's pointing to but where, where it is in
- the drawing?
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- Q. So I'm going to refer to that area as the

- 1 posterior wall. Okay?
- A. It looks like it's pointing into space.
- Q. I real -- I'm not referring to what it's
- 4 pointing to. Let me, let me back up and start over
- 5 again.
- 6 So for this device, do you see there's a
- 7 wall that has holes in it for insertion of bone
- 8 screws?
- 9 A. Again, repeat the question.
- 10 Q. In this device shown in Figure 32, do you
- 11 see that there's a wall that has holes in it for
- insertion of bone screws?
- 13 A. There's a side of the spacer that has
- 14 holds -- has holes in it, yes.
- 0. Okay. So and that, and then there's --
- 16 I'm going to call that the anterior wall, okay?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 0. And then there's two lateral walls that
- 19 extend posteriorly from that, is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then there's a posterior wall that is
- opposite the anterior wall, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, what I'm calling the anterior wall
- 3 has holes for the insertion of bone screws, is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And it has a slot in it, as well. Do you
- 7 see where 680 is pointing?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And -- and this wall would be anterior,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So it is different than the side walls,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And it is different from the posterior
- wall, is that correct?
- 17 A. Different in what way? How --
- 18 Q. Well, for example, it has screw holes that
- 19 go through it, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's also positioned anteriorly,
- rather than posteriorly, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And you, as a person of ordinary skill in
- 3 the art, are able to notice those differences,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So the anterior wall is distinct from the
- 7 posterior wall, correct?
- 8 A. I don't think they're distinct, I think
- 9 they're different.
- 10 Q. What do you think the definition of
- "distinct" is, sir?
- 12 A. I think "distinct" is, again, as I refer
- 13 to as part of my definition for spacer, I think it's
- separate from and distinct, not a part of.
- 15 Q. So your definition of -- which one of
- 16 those is -- is most correct for you for the meaning
- of "distinct"?
- 18 A. I think they're both synonymous.
- Q. Okay. So "distinct" means not part of, is
- 20 that fair?
- Is it -- let me just strike that.
- Is it your testimony that "distinct" means

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 not part of?
- A. Again, I think that's a definition of
- 3 "distinct."
- Q. Is -- is that synonymous to you for
- 5 "distinct" in the definition that you agree with?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And "distinct," to you, you would not
- 8 define as different from, do I have that right?
- 9 A. Sorry, repeat that again.
- Q. Are you telling me that "distinct" does
- 11 not mean different from?
- 12 A. Again, I think it could.
- 13 Q. And you agree that the anterior wall is
- 14 different from the side walls and the posterior wall,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. I think one -- one has screw holes and one
- doesn't.
- 18 O. And so if "distinct" could mean different
- 19 from, then the anterior wall is distinct from the
- 20 side walls and the posterior wall, correct?
- 21 A. No, I -- again, I -- they're still part of
- it, they're not separated, so --

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Petitioners 1022-49

- Q. Are you telling me now that "distinct"
- 2 cannot mean different from?
- 3 A. No. I think they can be different and
- 4 separate.
- 5 Q. So if "distinct" means different from,
- 6 which you said it could mean, then the anterior wall
- 7 could be deemed distinct from the side walls and the
- 8 posterior wall, correct?
- 9 A. No. Again, I think because they're all
- 10 connected in this thing that they're not distinct.
- 11 They're one -- part of one complete body or spacer.
- 12 O. So "distinct" means connected? I'm sorry.
- "Distinct" means not connected, is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. No, I -- again, for my definition of
- 16 "spacer" with relation to a plate is that they're
- different from each other and they're distinct. In
- this scenario, I think it's a single component and
- 19 they're not distinct from each other.
- Q. You've told me that a cage can have
- 21 multiple -- strike that.
- You've told me that a spacer can have

- 1 multiple components, correct? It can be multiple
- ² pieces?
- \mathbf{A} . Yes.
- 4 Q. And it's still a spacer, even though it's
- 5 made of multiple pieces, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you've testified that the
- 8 anterior wall is different from the side walls and
- 9 the posterior wall, correct? And I'm talking about
- 10 Figure 32.
- 11 A. Yeah. As it relates to having screw holes
- and not having screw holes, yes, they're different.
- 13 O. There's differences between the anterior
- 14 wall and the other walls, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. But you're saying because the anterior
- wall is connected to the other walls, it's not
- 18 distinct. Do I have that right?
- 19 A. Again, I think it's a monolithic piece, so
- 20 I think they're -- it's not distinct. There's
- 21 differences, but they're not distinct.
- Q. Okay. Is the wall that is behind me

- distinct from the wall that is behind your counsel,
- 2 in this room?
- 3 A. I think it depends on the context.
- Q. They're connected, right? Ultimately it's
- 5 all connected?
- 6 A. I mean, if it's a room.
- 7 Q. One wall is distinct from another, right?
- 8 A. I think there's differences. I mean,
- one's this wall, one's that wall.
- Q. Let me go -- oh, strike that.
- 11 A couple more things on Figure 32. Do you
- see the number 520?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. And that's pointing to the structure that
- you were saying, I believe, is a spacer, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And let me direct your attention to column
- 18 28 and particularly to line 23. Are you there?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. It says, "As shown in Figure 32, the
- 21 configuration of the implant device 510 includes a
- 22 base member 520 having a plurality of protrusions or

- interface members 530 extending from a surface of the
- base member 520."
- Okay. Do you see that?
- ⁴ A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. So do you understand, then, that the part
- 6 in Figure 32 that you've said is a spacer is called a
- base member in the specification?
- 8 A. Based on the line that you read, yes.
- 9 Q. So do you agree that "base member" and
- "base plate" do not have the same meaning?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, let me direct your attention to
- column 29 and lines 8 to 13. It says, "The base
- member 520 of the implant device 510 includes a
- primary member 600 and a secondary member 610, which
- extends from and is angled relative to the primary
- 17 member 600."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So the secondary member 610 is the thing
- 21 depending downward that has the slot in it, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.

```
54
                And the primary member is the portion of
1
    the base member above that, correct?
3
                I'm sorry, repeat that, that --
          Q.
                The primary member 600 is the portion of
5
    the base member above that secondary member, correct?
                Yes.
                So is primary member 600 a base plate?
          Ο.
                No.
                So "primary member" and "base plate" do
10
    not have the same meaning, correct?
11
          A.
                No.
12
                MR. GRIFFITH: Frank, we've been going for
13
    an hour, do you want to take a break?
14
                MR. PIETRANTONIO:
                                    Sure.
15
                MR. GRIFFITH: I'm happy to keep going
16
    but --
17
                MR. PIETRANTONIO: That's fine.
18
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 10:06,
19
    going off the record.
20
                (A break was taken.)
21
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
22
    record, the time is 10:19.
```

- 1 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Mr. Drewry, do cages cause stress
- 3 shielding?
- 4 A. They can.
- 5 Q. All right. Let's go to Figure 35 of the
- 6 '537 patent, which is Exhibit 3, Drewry Exhibit 3.
- 7 Do you have that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Is it correct that the chamber member,
- which is numeral 696, chamber member 696 does not, by
- 11 itself, form a peripherally enclosed space?
- 12 A. Let's see. 696 pointing, you said just
- 13 this member?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. And your question?
- Q. So it's the one that on the page is to the
- 17 right and above, okay. I'll represent to you that
- 18 the specification refers to that as chamber member
- 19 696.
- 20 **A.** Okay.
- 21 Q. Do you agree that that is -- does not form
- 22 a -- by itself, a peripherally enclosed space?

56 1 Not by itself, no. Α. Is chamber member 696 a plate? Q. 3 No --Did you say "no"? Α. I'm just thinking. I think in this figure, no. 7 Does it have a plate structure? Ο. Α. No. Is it a base plate? 10 A. No. 11 All right. Let me direct your attention 0. 12 to column 34. 13 Okay. 14 Well, let's see. Q. 15 All right. In column 34 at line 56, it 16 refers to the first and second legs 620, 630 of the 17 primary member 600 being able to form generally a 18 U-shape. Do you see that? 19 Yes, sir. 20 Do you understand that "primary member 21 600" refers to the part on the left and lower down in 22 this drawing --

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- in Figure 35?
- And you understand that primary member 600
- 4 can be assembled with chamber member 696?
- 5 **A. Yes.**
- Q. And when that happens, primary -- when
- 7 that happens, is it your view that the assembled part
- 8 is a two-piece spacer?
- 9 A. I don't think it's a two-piece spacer, I
- think it's a base plate attached to a spacer.
- 11 Q. That's not the way the specification
- described it, correct?
- A. Well, again, when it's, when it's
- separate, I think it's -- it's distinct, and this
- would be the, again, base plate member and this would
- be a U-shaped -- I forgot, which is a nonperipherally
- surrounded part of a spacer.
- Q. When it's assembled, structurally it's the
- same as the design shown in Figure 32, correct, after
- 20 assembly?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, vague.
- THE WITNESS: I think they're still two

- distinct parts but they're assembled together.
- 2 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. And the assembled thing is a spacer,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I think it has a spacer component. I
- 6 think it has a base plate component.
- Q. If somebody's, after assembly, referred to
- 8 the entire assembled device as a spacer, would you
- 9 say that person is incorrect in usage of the word
- "spacer"?
- 11 A. Again, I think there's a spacer component
- 12 and a base-plate component.
- 13 Q. If somebody assembled this device together
- 14 as it's supposed to be assembled and referred to the
- 15 assembled device as a spacer, would you say that
- person is using incorrect usage of the word "spacer"?
- 17 A. Yes, because I -- it's not distinct from
- 18 the base plate.
- 19 Q. So when assembled, it would be incorrect
- 20 to call that a two-piece spacer, is that your
- 21 testimony?
- 22 A. I don't know that I'd call that a

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- two-piece spacer.
- Q. I understand it's your testimony that you
- 3 wouldn't. I'm asking you whether if somebody else
- 4 did, would that be incorrect usage of the word
- 5 "spacer," to call that a two-piece spacer?
- A. I'd say yes because it's not -- it's not
- 7 distinct from the base plate.
- Q. What's not distinct from the base plate?
- 9 A. The 696 portion.
- Q. When assembled, 696 is not distinct from
- 11 the base plate, correct?
- 12 A. Again, one piece is the spacer component
- and the other piece is the base plate component.
- Q. But that's not the language that's used in
- the specification, that's terminology that you've
- elected to use for purposes of this case, correct?
- 17 A. I mean, that's how I defined it in my
- declaration and that's how I referred to it as.
- 19 Q. You didn't talk about Figure 35 in your
- declaration, did you?
- 21 A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. And in fact, in your declaration, you said

- 1 "a 'spacer' refers to an interbody device that forms
- a chamber, where the chamber is packed with bone
- 3 graft material prior to insertion at the bone graft
- 4 site," right?
- 5 A. Typically.
- 6 Q. "Typically" is what you've added to
- 7 that --
- 8 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 9 Q. -- definition today?
- 10 A. Correct. yes.
- 11 Q. And did you do that because of Figure 35?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Is that because you needed to make your
- testimony consistent with 35, sir?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you understand that the purpose of this
- design is to enable packing of bone graft into the
- intervertebral space before putting the primary
- member on?
- 20 A. Can you repeat that? I'm sorry.
- 21 Q. What do you understand the purpose of this
- two-piece design to be?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. I mean, there could be multiple purposes.
- Q. The specification describes it, though,
- 3 doesn't it?
- 4 A. Again, I -- I was focused -- when I --
- 5 when I prepared my declaration, I was focused on the
- terms that I was asked to opine on. I didn't focus
- 7 in this area.
- 8 Q. The specification describes that the
- 9 surgeon, using this design, can put the chamber
- 10 member in and then put bone graft into the
- intervertebral space and then, in a separate step,
- 12 put the primary member on, correct?
- A. Again, I didn't focus on that, but that
- 14 could be but it's not required.
- Q. So you don't know what the purpose of this
- design is according to the specification?
- 17 A. Again, I didn't focus in that area.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose of this
- design is according to the specification?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 4 was
- 22 marked for identification and attached to the

- 1 transcript.)
- 2 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Mr. Drewry, let me hand you a copy of what
- 4 has been marked as Drewry Exhibit 4. And Exhibit 4
- is a copy of U.S. Patent Number 6,984,234, do you see
- 6 that?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And we've been referring to this as the
- 9 '234 patent today, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You've read this patent?
- 12 A. I have.
- Q. You believe you understand it, right?
- 14 A. To some degree, yes.
- 15 Q. I've been asking you questions about
- Figures 32 and 35 in the '537 patent, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'd asked you to confirm for me that
- 19 Figures 32 through 38 are not disclosed or described
- in the '234 patent.
- 21 A. Again, I'm not sure if they're disclosed
- or not. I -- I was really focused on the claim terms

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- in my review of both of these initial patents.
- Q. The design shown in Figures 32 to 38 of
- 3 the '537 patent are not described in the '234
- 4 specification, to your knowledge, is that correct?
- 5 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 6 Q. In the '234 patent, no spacers are
- 7 described as being part of the invention, correct?
- 8 A. Could you repeat that, please.
- 9 Q. In the '234 patent, spacers are not
- described as being part of the invention, correct?
- 11 A. Again, I don't think "spacer" was worded
- in the '234 patent, but I think through some of the
- 13 figures, one could appreciate that they could be used
- 14 with a spacer.
- Q. But that's not described in the patent,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Again, I think the goal of the bone plate
- stabilization system was to get the spine to fuse,
- 19 and I think what was used with the bone plate system
- customarily by surgeons in their procedure for fusion
- 21 could be used.
- 22 Q. I'm asking about the designs of Figures 32

64 Those designs are not described in the '234 patent, correct? 3 Correct. You understand that Figures 32 to 38 in 5 the '537 are a new matter? MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, calls for a 7 legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yes, I didn't -- I didn't 9 consider that in --10 BY MR. GRIFFITH: 11 All right. Let me direct your attention 12 to Figures 1 to 7 --13 A. Okay. 14 -- of the '234 patent that's Exhibit 4. 15 One through -- I'm sorry? 16 Q. Seven. 17 Okay. 18 Ο. And in those figures, there's a -- there's 19 bone graft that is placed between the vertebrae, 20 correct? 21 There could be. 22 And it's shown, for example, in Figure 1, Q.

- 1 right, in dotted line?
- A. It could be bone graft.
- Q. It's called bone graft, right? I can find
- 4 the cite for you, if you want.
- 5 A. I don't think it's limited to bone graft
- 6 but I think --
- 7 Q. I'm just asking about the figure, okay?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So the figure, item 12, I believe, is bone
- 10 graft. Let me -- let me take a moment.
- Okay. So let me direct your attention to
- 12 column four.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Lines 16 through 19. So that's discussing
- 15 Figures 1 and 3, correct?
- 16 A. Okay. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it says, "The base plate 20 has a
- bottom surface that contacts the bone graft 12,"
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. So item 12 in Figure 3 is bone graft. Is
- there any doubt about that to you?

March 5, 2020

66

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. So in these figures, bone graft, in
- 3 the figures, is inserted between the vertebrae,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And no spacer or cage is shielding that
- bone graft from stresses, correct?
- 8 A. Again, could you repeat that, please.
- 9 Q. In those figures, Figures 1 and 3 --
- 10 **A. Uh-huh.**
- 11 Q. -- no spacer or cage is shielding that
- bone graft from stresses, correct?
- 13 A. Again, that bone graft could be a spacer.
- 14 O. No metal or -- it is not described as
- being a spacer, correct, it's called bone graft 12?
- 16 A. Correct. But in my definition of
- "spacer," we show, I showed instances where, like the
- 18 femoral spacer, the cortical ring or allograft
- 19 tissue, where the bone graft material is also a
- 20 spacer.
- 21 Q. No cage is shielding that bone graft from
- stresses in Figures 1 and 3, correct?

- 1 A. Again, I didn't -- I didn't focus on the
- 2 area. I'm not --
- Q. As you look at those figures, you don't
- see a cage shielding the bone graft from stresses, am
- 5 I correct?
- A. I mean, again, it could -- it's a dashed
- 7 line, kind of black boxed. It could be, I'm just --
- Q. It's called bone graft 12, right?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. It's not called a cage, right?
- 11 A. That's what it's called in the
- 12 description.
- Q. And do you have any reason to believe that
- in those figures a cage is shielding that bone graft
- 15 from stresses?
- 16 A. Not based on the figure, no.
- 17 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 5 was
- 18 marked for identification and attached to the
- 19 transcript.)
- 20 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 21 Q. Mr. Drewry, I'm going to hand you a copy
- of what's been marked as Drewry Exhibit 5. And I

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- will represent to you that this is a photograph of
- 2 something that has been called the Hartshill
- 3 horseshoe. Is that a -- is the Hartshill horseshoe a
- 4 device that you're familiar with?
- 5 A. I think I've come across it in the past, a
- 6 long time ago.
- 7 Q. Do you believe this device to be a base
- 8 plate in accordance with the RSB's claim
- 9 construction?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- 11 scope.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- I didn't
- review this, I didn't look at it, I didn't prepare
- for it during my claim construction, so I really
- didn't consider it in light of the base plate
- definition.
- 17 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. What would you need to know to know
- whether that's a base plate?
- 20 A. I -- I don't know. I'd need some time to
- 21 think about it and look at the context and how it was
- 22 used and --

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. Assume it's put in-between two vertebrae
- in the anterior side and the screws are put in
- 3 through the holes that you see there.
- 4 A. Again, I don't -- I don't know that that's
- 5 enough information for me to opine one way or the
- 6 other.
- 7 Q. What additional information would you
- 8 need?
- 9 A. I mean, similar to my review today for
- 10 this claim construction, I'd like to see the patents
- 11 or related information.
- Q. Well, you've read the patents, right?
- 13 A. Not for this.
- Q. But you've read the '234 and '537 patents.
- 15 A. I have.
- Q. And you've construed "base plate," you
- 17 know what that means, right?
- 18 A. In this particular context and for this
- 19 particular patent, yes.
- Q. And looking at this right now, you
- 21 can't -- and assuming that this is used putting it
- 22 anteriorly between two vertebrae, putting bone screws

- into the vertebrae, you can't say one way or the
- other whether it's a base plate under RSB's proposed
- 3 construction right now, is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct. I mean, I don't know ==
- 5 there's just too many unknowns for me to be able to
- 6 make that right now.
- 7 O. The unknowns being what exactly?
- 8 A. I don't know what the surgical technique,
- 9 I don't know where it's placed.
- 10 Q. How does that impact whether it's a base
- plate or not, if it's placed in the anterior part of
- the spine between two vertebrae?
- 13 A. There's just a lot of unknowns on how this
- is used and described that I'm not comfortable making
- that comparison to the definition that I have in my
- 16 declaration.
- 17 Q. Is it a plate structure?
- 18 A. Again, I don't know. I don't have enough
- 19 information to determine.
- Q. Well, just to know whether it's a plate
- 21 structure, all you need to be able to do is look at
- 22 it, right?

- 1 A. No. I mean, I'd like some more specifics
- and specifications and how they describe their device
- 3 before I'd make that determination.
- 4 Q. Let me switch topics a bit and go to --
- 5 direct your attention to paragraph 44 of your
- 6 declaration, which is Exhibit 1. And in that
- 7 paragraph, you talk about the term "bottom surface,"
- 8 which is used in some claims of the '234 and '537
- 9 patents, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And it's your opinion that "bottom
- 12 surface" refers to the geometry of the plate from the
- 13 surgeon's perspective, right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you say that it's opposite the top
- 16 surface, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Is it true that the bottom surface of the
- base plate in the '234 patent can have any design
- that permits it to sit against the bone graft?
- So you're reading paragraph 44?
- 22 A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Do you see that in that paragraph, you
- cite to the '234 patent at column four, lines 16 to
- 3 28?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let's go to column four, line 16 to 28.
- 6 So it's that paragraph that begins "Figs 1 and 3" --
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You see that?
- 9 This is what you cited, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And there, Dr. Bray stated that "the base
- 12 plate 20 has a bottom surface 26 that contacts the
- bone graft, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. He goes on to say that "the -- the bottom
- surface is therefore preferably generally flat, but
- 17 can have any other design that permits it to sit
- against the bone graft is suitable for use in the
- invention, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the truth
- of that statement?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. So the bottom surface of the base plate
- 3 can have any design that permits it to sit against
- the bone graft, correct?
- 5 A. I guess what I'm struggling with, it can
- 6 be used with or without bone graft and it doesn't
- 7 necessarily need to contact the bone graft, so I
- 8 don't know how the design --
- 9 Q. If used with bone graft, it can have any
- 10 design that permits it -- the bottom surface can have
- any design that permits it to sit against the bone
- 12 graft, correct?
- 13 A. Based on that sentence and in that
- 14 context.
- 15 O. And that's --
- 16 A. But I don't know that it's limited to
- 17 that.
- 18 Q. That's the only portion from the '234
- 19 patent that you cited with respect to "bottom
- 20 surface, " right?
- 21 A. Yes, in the '234.
- Q. And you cited that portion for the

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 proposition that a person of skill in the art
- 2 certainly would not have defined "bottom surface" by
- 3 adjacency of bone graft material, right?
- 4 That was the proposition that you cited
- 5 that portion of the spec for. Do I have that right?
- 6 A. I'm sorry, repeat that.
- Q. You cited that portion of the
- 8 specification, where it says that the bottom surface
- 9 can have any design that permits it to sit against
- the bone graft, you cited that portion of the spec
- 11 for the proposition that the person of skill in the
- 12 art certainly would not have defined "bottom surface"
- by "adjacency to bone graft material."
- 14 Is that correct?
- 15 A. I'm still not quite understanding you.
- Q. Does this portion of the spec that you
- 17 cited talk about using the base plate without bone
- 18 graft?
- 19 A. It has "bone graft" in that sentence.
- 20 Q. Does it talk about using the base plate
- 21 without bone graft? Please answer the question.
- 22 A. Not there.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

- Q. And that's the only portion of the spec
- you cited for the proposition in your paragraph 44 of
- your declaration, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let's go to the '537 patent, just to round
- 6 this out, because you cite the '537 patent, as well,
- 7 so that's Exhibit 3.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So let's go to column eight. And you
- 10 cited lines 46 to 58. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's, again, a paragraph that begins
- "Figs. 1 and 3"? Correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. So that's the same text, verbatim, I
- believe, that we were just looking at in the '234
- 17 patent, right?
- 18 A. I don't know if it's verbatim, but --
- 19 Q. Its meaning is exactly the same, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is it true that the bottom surface 26 --
- 22 strike that.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Is it true -- let me, let me back up and
- I'll first start by directing your attention to
- 3 column four, lines 22 to 24.
- 4 A. Of?
- 5 Q. I'm sorry, the '234 patent --
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 O. -- which is Exhibit 4.
- 8 A. I'm sorry, line numbers again?
- 9 Q. 22 to 24.
- 10 **A.** Okay.
- 11 Q. It says there that, "In the depicted
- embodiment, the bottom surface 26 of the base plate
- 13 20 is the bottom surface of the primary member 21."
- 14 Do you see that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 16 O. So the bottom surface is not on the
- secondary member, correct, it's on the primary
- 18 member?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And let's go back to Figure 3. The
- 21 secondary member in Figure 3 is not shown sitting
- against the bone graft, correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. I'm sorry, repeat that.
- Q. The secondary member is not shown sitting
- 3 against the bone graft, correct?
- A. And what is the secondary member?
- 5 Q. Just a second. I was afraid you were
- 6 going to ask me that.
- 7 22. So if you go to Figure 1, do you see
- 8 22?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. So it's that thing with the slot that
- 11 angles away, it angles anteriorly, correct?
- 12 A. Yes. And that -- in your frame of
- 13 reference, yes.
- Q. It's angled relative to the primary
- 15 member, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And specifically it's angled away from it,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yeah -- I mean, it's angled.
- Q. It's angled anteriorly or outwardly,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. It is not -- so the bottom surface of the
- 2 base plate -- I'm sorry.
- 3 The bottom surface 20 of the base plate is
- 4 described as sitting against the bone graft, right?
- 5 A. It could be sitting against the bone
- 6 graft.
- 8 A. I don't think it's required to be sitting
- 9 against the bone graft.
- Q. Well, you're getting into a level of
- 11 complexity that I'm not at right now.
- 12 It's described as sitting against the bone
- 13 graft, correct?
- 14 A. In this sentence, yes.
- 15 Q. Yeah. And that's the sentence that you
- cited for your construction of "bottom surface,"
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And for the -- for the secondary member,
- does the secondary member have a surface that is
- 21 opposite the top surface of the base plate?
- 22 A. Again, I think the secondary member has a

- 1 front surface that is generally continuous with the
- top surface and -- of the primary member and a back
- 3 surface that is generally continuous with the bottom
- 4 surface of the primary member.
- 5 Q. And is that back surface of the secondary
- 6 member opposite the top surface of the base plate?
- 7 A. In that continuation of the top surface,
- it's opposite of the continuation, yes, I think it's
- 9 continuous of the top surface.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let me switch topics. I'm going to
- ask you some questions about "first end" and "second
- end." So that is on page 14 and going on to 15 of
- 13 your declaration.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. You see that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. You state that "first end" has an
- ordinarily understood meaning in the art, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is that meaning?
- 21 A. I think just from -- from geometry,
- it's -- it's one end. In this, in this particular

- 1 application, it's one end of the base plate.
- Q. In the art, does "first end" mean the end
- of the structure nearer the first bone?
- A. I think that's how it was described, yes,
- 5 "first end nearer the first bone and a second end
- 6 nearer the second bone."
- 7 O. And that's consistent with the ordinary
- 8 meaning in the art, correct?
- A. Again, as I -- as I reviewed the patent
- and in light of the -- how it was described in the
- 11 patent.
- 12 Q. The answer is yes?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you understand that a term used in one
- claim in a patent, when it appears in another claim,
- is ordinarily construed consistently to mean the same
- 17 thing?
- 18 A. Again, I think -- I think I was -- I
- 19 reference that as a legal, in my legal section.
- Q. Right, it's called in paragraph 13?
- 21 A. It's normally used consistently throughout
- 22 the patent.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. And is the meaning of "first end" -- so
- "first end" is used in claim one of the '234 patent,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. I'm sorry, which, '234?
- 5 Q. Yeah. So that's Exhibit 5.
- 6 A. Okay. Claim one?
- 7 O. I believe that is in the -- the inserting
- 8 step, do you see that?
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Column ten, lines 40 to 42?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. So then it's also used, "first end"
- is also used in claim 22. So that would be in the
- second and third lines of claim 22. Do you see that?
- 15 A. The second and third? I think it's
- 16 further. The end of the 22, right?
- Q. Well, in claim 22. So at column 10, line
- 18 40.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. I'm sorry, at line 39, "a base plate
- 21 having bottom surface and first and second
- ends"[...].

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So does "first end" in claim 22 have the
- 5 same meaning that it has in claim one?
- 6 A. I think the context of them may be
- 7 different.
- 8 O. Does "first end" in claim 22 have a
- 9 meaning different from "first end" in claim one?
- 10 And, if so, what is the difference in meaning?
- 11 A. Again, I think it could be, the first end
- 12 can be chosen based on which first bone you choose
- 13 and what second end could be chose on what second
- 14 bone you choose. I think based on the screw
- 15 placement and the trajectories and the way it's
- worded in the claims, I think these contexts, there's
- 17 some difference.
- 18 Q. Are you saying there is a difference in
- meaning or that there might be a difference in
- 20 meaning?
- 21 A. Again, I think it -- at the high level
- with when you talk about a first end and a second end

- and a first bone and a second bone, that's clear in
- both the patents. I think the additional context
- 3 that's added in those claims are different.
- Q. I'm not asking about additional
- 5 requirements, I'm asking whether there's any
- 6 difference in meaning between "first end" in claim
- one and the term "first end" in claim 22 and, if
- 8 there is, just tell us what the difference in meaning
- 9 is.
- 10 A. Again, back the my definition in my -- in
- 11 my declaration, I think it's, you know, the first end
- 12 is nearer the first bone and the second end is nearer
- 13 the second bone.
- Q. Okay. In claim one and in claim 22,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Again, only with regard to the first and
- 17 second ends and their relation to the first and
- 18 second bones.
- 19 Q. Your definition of first end in -- that
- you gave us doesn't change depending on whether we're
- using claim one or whether we're using claim 22,
- 22 correct?

- 1 A. Again, as long as the context is that the
- first end is next to the first bone and the second
- 3 end is near the second bone.
- 4 Q. Okay. So as long as that's the case, the
- 5 definition, the meaning of "first end" in claim one
- is the same as the meaning of "first end" in claim
- 7 22, correct?
- 8 A. If the first bones and the second bones do
- 9 the same.
- Q. Okay. And then let's go to claim 39.
- 11 A. Of '234?
- 12 Q. I'm sorry, of the '234 patent.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Is the meaning of "first end" in claim 39
- different from the meaning of "first end" in claims
- 16 one and 22?
- A. Again, this, in 39, it talks about a first
- end portion and a second end portion. So I don't
- 19 think it's the same as first end first bone, second
- 20 end second bone. I think it depends on the portion.
- 21 Q. Does "first end portion" have a different
- meaning from "first end" in claim 22?

- A. Again, I didn't -- I considered the first
- end, I wasn't looking at the -- the portions. I just
- looked at it high level, first end with relation to
- 4 the first bone and the second end with relation to
- 5 the second bone.
- 6 Q. Can you identify today as you sit here any
- 7 difference in meaning between first end portion in
- 8 claim 39 and first end portion in claim 22?
- 9 A. I just know they're different. I don't
- 10 know what the definition of "portion" is or how it's
- used or -- I just didn't consider a portion of it. I
- just looked at it as the end in the bone and that's
- what I used for my definition.
- Q. Let me go to the '537 patent, which is
- 15 Exhibit 3. And in claim one, that refers to first
- end, correct, at lines 45 to 49?
- 17 A. I'm sorry, what?
- 18 Q. I may have gotten the wrong numbers for
- 19 you, I'm sorry.
- Okay. I did, I gave you -- I apologize, I
- gave you the wrong line numbers.
- 22 Column 37 --

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. -- at the bottom, line 66 refers to "a
- 3 base plate having a top surface, first and second
- 4 ends," do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Does "first end" in claim one of the '537
- 7 patent have a different meaning from "first end" in
- 8 claim 22 of the '234 patent?
- 9 A. 22 of the '234?
- 10 Again, in keeping with my -- my definition
- in both of these, it's one -- the first end touching
- 12 the first bone or -- and then the second end in
- 13 contact with the second bone.
- 14 Q. So I think you're saying assume, assuming
- we're talking about the same first and second bones,
- the meaning would be the same in claim one of '537 as
- it would be in claim 22 of '234. Am I correct?
- 18 A. Again, the first end nearer the first
- bone, the second end nearer the second bone.
- 20 Q. And is that a -- when we say "first end,"
- 21 are we talking about a three-dimensional region or
- 22 portion of the plate or are you talking about just a

Petitioners 1022-86

- 1 surface?
- A. Again, I don't -- I didn't -- I didn't dig
- into it that deep. I just thought there was an end
- 4 of a plate and it was nearer the first end of the
- 5 bone and a second end of the plate nearer the second
- 6 end of the bone.
- 7 Q. So you can't say one way or another
- 8 whether it's talking about a region, as opposed to a
- 9 surface?
- 10 A. No. I just looked at it as the end. The
- 11 end nearer the -- first end first bone, second end
- 12 second bone.
- Q. Meaning just a surface?
- 14 A. No, just the end. The end of the plate.
- Q. Could you point to -- let's go to a
- 16 figure.
- In Figure 1 of the '234 patent --
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. -- where do you believe the first end is?
- What all do you believe is the first end?
- 21 A. Depends what you're calling the first
- bone.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 Q. So assume we're calling the first bone the
- one, the bone on the left.
- 3 A. Being number 14?
- Q. Yes, there is a lead line 14 going there.
- 5 A. So if that, 14, is the first bone, I think
- 6 the top end of the base plate that's nearest first
- bone 14 would be the first end.
- Q. And then for the second bone, which,
- 9 assume that that's number 16, the one on the right,
- the bone on the right, what's the second end?
- 11 A. Again, the second, the second end of the
- 12 base plate that's nearer the second bone.
- Q. Well, but let's go back. Let me go back
- 14 to first end.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- Q. You're saying that the first end is the, I
- think you said, did you say at the top end? You said
- 18 the top end.
- 19 Is that, is that you're referring to the
- surface and that is abutting the bone?
- 21 A. I don't know that. I don't know that I
- meant top.

- Q. Yeah, I understand.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. That's why I'm going back to it.
- 4 So is the first end the surface that is
- 5 abutting the bone, bone 14?
- A. Again, I don't know that I considered it a
- 7 surface, I just thought it was the end of the plate.
- Q. Well, that's why I'm asking. What all do
- 9 you include in the "first end"? Where does it, in
- 10 your opinion, begin and where does it end?
- 11 A. I don't know that I considered where it
- 12 started or where it ended.
- Q. Can you say, as you sit here today, where
- 14 it starts and ends?
- 15 A. I don't -- I'd have to look at it further,
- 16 I just hadn't considered that.
- Q. So as you sit here looking at this figure,
- 18 you don't know where the first end starts and where
- 19 it ends, is that correct?
- 20 A. No. I just, I just considered it in
- 21 relationship to the bones, to the first bone and the
- second bone.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. And as you sit here today, can you tell us
- where the second end -- so assuming that bone 16, the
- one on the right, is the second bone, can you tell
- 4 us, as you sit here today, where the second end
- 5 begins and where it ends?
- 6 A. In keeping with my last line, I think that
- ⁷ if we're calling 16 the second bone, I think it's the
- 8 end of the -- the base plate that's nearer the second
- 9 bone.
- 0. Okay. Does it include the primary -- I'm
- 11 sorry. So do you see the screw going into the second
- ¹² bone 16?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. You understand that that is going through
- 15 the secondary member? You remember we talked about
- 16 the secondary member?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So that's -- 22 is the secondary member,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. I believe that's what it was called, yes.
- 21 Q. So is all of the secondary member part of
- the second end?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. I think it could be.
- 0. Is it?
- 3 A. If it's the second end of the plate.
- Q. Is it? Not "could be." I understand that
- 5 theoretically it might be.
- In your opinion, is it part of the second
- 7 end?
- 8 A. I think it's continuous with the base
- 9 plate and it's the second end closest to the second
- 10 bone, so --
- 11 Q. So is the answer to my question "yes"?
- 12 Let me re-ask it.
- 13 Is all of the secondary member 22 part of
- the second end, assuming bone 16 is the second bone?
- 15 A. I'm not sure that I considered how much of
- the secondary member is included in the second end.
- 17 Q. I'm just asking if you can tell us where
- 18 the second end ends.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Asked and answered.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's just,
- 21 it's continuous with the base plate. So I'm not sure
- 22 that I can say.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

92 1 MR. GRIFFITH: Okay. We've been going for another hour. Do you want to take a break? 3 sorry --MR. PIETRANTONIO: Yes, absolutely. 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 11:18, 6 off the record. 7 (A break was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record, the time is 11:29. 10 BY MR. GRIFFITH: 11 Mr. Drewry, in how many cases have you 12 testified as an expert witness? 13 And what's your definition of testifying? 14 Provided declarations in an IPR or given Q. 15 depositions or testified at trial. 16 Α. In --17 So --18 In just patent cases?

- 19 Q. Let's start with patent cases, okay?
- 20 A. Okay. I really don't know right off. I
- 21 didn't provide my log in this.
- Q. I don't think we got it, that's why I'm

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- asking you. I don't think we got a list in the CV.
- A. I'm trying to think of the number right
- off. It's been more than five.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. And then again, some of those had multiple
- 6 IPR's and multiple declarations and multiple reports.
- 7 So I don't know exact numbers right off the top of my
- 8 head.
- 9 Q. Okay. So there's been more than five
- 10 patent cases in which you've testified as an expert,
- either by declaration, deposition or trial testimony?
- 12 A. I believe that's close, correct.
- Q. Okay. And in any of those cases, was
- there actual trial testimony, meaning testimony, live
- 15 testimony at a trial?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. You and I had a case opposite each
- other before, correct?
- 19 A. Well, with the companies. I -- I was --
- luckily I wasn't -- I was telling, I was behind the
- scenes designing implants, so --
- Q. All right. Fair point.

- So you were an expert in a case for an IPR
- for Med-Idea against DePuy, correct?
- 3 A. I was, yes.
- Q. And you were also retained as an expert in
- 5 the district court litigation, correct?
- 6 A. So IPR in the district? Yes.
- 7 O. Right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you were of the view in that case that
- 10 DePuy infringed the MedIdea patent, correct?
- 11 A. I mean, that was the complaint, yes.
- 12 Q. And the court disagreed with your view and
- 13 found as a matter of summary judgment DePuy did not
- 14 infringe, correct?
- 15 A. I don't know that it was based on just my
- view.
- Q. Well, there certainly may have been more
- to it than just your view, right?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. But you thought there was infringement,
- 21 the court found that there was no infringement,
- 22 correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. I believe so.
- Q. Then can you identify for me by case name
- or party name some of these other cases in which
- 4 you've testified as an expert in a patent case?
- 5 A. I wish I had it in front of me, I'm not --
- 6 I'm not good at memory. So that one you listed. I'm
- 7 working on some pedicle screw, a pedicle screw case
- 8 now. I'm working with K2M on some instruments. I'm
- 9 trying to think of what else I have going on on the
- 10 litigation side.
- 11 Q. Is that litigation against the Biedermann
- 12 company?
- 13 A. The first one is Biedermann and the second
- one is K2M and Orthopediatrics.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I'm trying to remember.
- Those are the big ones now. I'm sorry, I
- just don't have the list in front of me, I'm just
- 19 drawing a blank.
- 20 Q. All right. And I think you said you've
- 21 given deposition testimony around seven times?
- A. Yes, sir.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 Q. And how many of those instances were
- expert, your role as an expert, as opposed to a fact
- 3 witness?
- 4 A. Well, I didn't include the fact witness --
- Q. Okay.
- 6 A. -- depositions.
- 7 Q. Okay. So all of the seven were as a -- as
- 8 an expert witness?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And that was either in an IPR or in
- 11 district court litigation, is that right?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were all of those cases patent cases?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- Okay. How many were not patent cases?
- A. Well, I didn't give you -- well, you said
- start with the patent cases, so those are the five I
- 18 gave you --
- 19 Q. That's fine.
- A. -- are patent cases.
- 21 So some of the other cases, you asked how
- many?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 Q. Yeah.
- A. Again, I've probably done over a dozen
- 3 product liability cases.
- Q. Okay.
- 5 A. And one fact witness, Medtronic case.
- Q. Was that a patent case?
- 7 A. It was more of a contractual case. It
- 8 wasn't, it wasn't a patent case.
- 9 Q. And --
- 10 A. And I did testify at trial. That one went
- 11 to trial and I did testify.
- 12 Q. I noticed in your résumé that you were
- involved in development of the multiaxial screw in
- 14 the CD Horizon System --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- is that correct?
- 17 And that a design that was found to
- infringe DePuy patents, correct?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- Q. It was a design that -- strike that.
- 21 Okay.
- You took the position that it didn't

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- infringe, correct?
- A. Again, I was -- that was early in my
- 3 career, I was just, I was an entry-level engineer
- 4 just designing implants.
- 5 Q. But that product was found, your
- 6 understanding is that product was found to infringe?
- 7 A. Again, I don't recall the specifics.
- 8 Q. Okay. Then you were involved in the
- 9 development of a vertex polyaxial pedicle screw --
- 10 I'm sorry, let me back up.
- 11 You were involved in the development of a
- 12 vertex polyaxial pedicle screw, correct?
- 13 A. Vertex Max.
- 14 O. And that was in these -- that was for a
- 15 rod system in the cervical area, right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. And that was a system that was found to
- infringe DePuy patents, correct?
- 19 A. Again, I don't -- I don't recall. I mean,
- that was 20 years ago.
- Q. Have any other of your product designs
- been found to infringe other companies' patents?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. When were you retained in this litigation?
- 3 A. Again, I believe it was in the Fall of
- 4 2019.
- 5 Q. And was claim construction the first task
- 6 that you were involved in?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you working on the IPR matter?
- 9 A. I haven't been asked to do any more than
- what I've provided in this declaration at this time.
- 11 Q. And your hourly rate is \$450, is that
- 12 right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's the rate you've been paid or
- are being paid for your work in this case, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. To prepare for your deposition, did you
- 18 meet with counsel?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. For how long?
- 21 A. A few hours yesterday.
- Q. Did you have telephone discussions before

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 that to prepare for deposition?
- A. Not so much for deposition, just in
- 3 preparation in -- we had discussions previously
- 4 but --
- 5 Q. When you say a few hours, was it half a
- 6 day that you spent with counsel preparing for
- 7 deposition?
- 8 A. Maybe half a day.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you, to prepare for your
- deposition, did you have any discussions with
- individuals who are not lawyers?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. And I believe you previously testified
- that in preparing for your deposition, you reviewed
- your expert declaration in the '234 and '537 patents,
- 16 correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Did you review the prosecution history for
- 19 the patents in suit?
- A. A while back, I did.
- 21 Q. Did you review RSB's claim construction
- 22 brief in this case?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Again, I believe I saw that early, early
- 2 on.
- 3 Q. All right. Have you seen -- have you
- 4 reviewed in connection with your claim construction
- work in this case the Lechman '207 patent?
- 6 A. No, sir, I have not.
- Q. Have you ever heard of that patent?
- \mathbf{A} . No.
- Q. In doing your work in this case or
- 10 preparing for this deposition, did you review any of
- 11 the IPR petitions that have been filed --
- 12 A. No.
- Q. -- with respect to the two patents in
- 14 suit?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. Is there anything else that you reviewed
- in connection with preparing for your deposition?
- 18 A. There was two articles that we included in
- 19 the deposition in another patent. So I think we had
- 20 three additional references that were part of the
- 21 references that I reviewed.
- Q. They were references that were cited in

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 your expert report?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 3 O. So there was an FRA --
- A. Yeah. I think it was a Thalgot reference,
- a Bagga, a patent reference, and then another one
- from -- yeah, one was FRA and then I think Dr.
- 7 Thalgott's was the coral article.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. So --
- 10 Q. Anything else that you reviewed in
- 11 preparing for your deposition --
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 0. -- that comes to mind?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. In doing your analysis on claim
- 16 construction, did RSB lawyers provide you with RSB's
- proposed constructions for those terms?
- 18 A. No. I believe they gave me the words that
- 19 they were looking for and I -- I went and I searched
- in the patents where they came up and that's where I
- 21 started looking.
- Q. So in your declaration --

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Initially. Initially, that's how I
- 2 started.
- Q. Right. At some point, you got RSB's
- 4 proposed constructions, right?
- 5 A. In the -- in the -- what do you call them,
- 6 in the claim charts, I guess or the --
- 7 O. Yeah.
- 8 A. Yeah. I did get those.
- Q. And so you, hence, in your declaration,
- you have charts that set forth the Plaintiff's
- position and Defendants' position, right?
- 12 A. That I was asked to opine, yes.
- 13 Q. Correct. You didn't opine on all terms,
- 14 correct --
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. -- that are at issue.
- Now, but you did not, are you telling me
- that you did not receive Plaintiff's proposed claim
- 19 constructions before doing your initial analysis on
- 20 claim construction?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- Q. And did you form an initial opinion about

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- what the claim terms at issue, the ones that you were
- looking at, meant before receiving RSB's proposed
- 3 constructions?
- 4 A. Can you repeat that again? I'm trying
- 5 **to --**
- 6 Q. Sure.
- 7 A. I'm trying to -- the timing.
- 8 Q. Did you form an initial opinion about what
- 9 the claim terms that you were asked to look at meant
- 10 before receiving RSB's proposed constructions?
- 11 A. You know, I don't recall. I don't know if
- 12 I -- I remember we discussed terms and I just don't
- 13 remember at what point I saw those.
- 14 Q. At some point, for all of the claim terms
- you were asked to look at, you adopted RSB's proposed
- 16 constructions, is that correct?
- 17 A. I'm trying to think if I adopted them. As
- 18 I came in and I reviewed the -- the patents and
- 19 everything, there were some definitions that were
- there in the specification that we chose, I chose
- 21 through my review. So, again, I'm not sure of the
- 22 timing.

- 1 Q. Did you -- do you recall suggesting any
- 2 changes to RSB's proposed constructions?
- 3 A. I'm really not sure.
- Q. Are the claim terms that you were asked to
- 5 consider and opine on, are they only the claim terms
- 6 that are addressed in your declaration?
- 7 Do you understand my question?
- 8 A. Yeah. Yes, I believe -- these are the
- 9 only ones that I looked at and considered.
- 10 Q. So was there a claim term that you opined
- on and they said, Eh, let's not put that in the
- 12 declaration?
- 13 A. I don't believe so.
- 14 Q. Exclusive of your curriculum vitae which
- is attached to your declaration, did the lawyers for
- 16 RSB give you all of the materials that you cited in
- your report?
- 18 A. I think they went out and retrieved the
- documents, but I think when we were talking about
- 20 them and we were looking for exemplars for those, I
- 21 identified some areas where we could look.
- 22 Q. So you may have identified some areas

- where to look and then the lawyers came back with --
- A. Yeah. I mean --
- 3
 O. -- some references?
- 4 A. -- Cooley pdf'd or downloaded the document
- 5 or did whatever.
- 6 Q. Now, we talked about the definition of
- 7 "spacer" in your declaration and how you wanted to
- 8 add the word "typically" to that definition. Do you
- 9 recall that?
- 10 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. Are there any other changes that you felt
- that when you were preparing for this case that you
- 13 needed to make to your declaration?
- 14 A. No. I -- that was just the one that
- jumped out at me yesterday as I was re-reading it.
- 16 So I'm good with that only -- only that correction or
- 17 change, addition.
- Q. Mr. Drewry, in paragraph 14 of your
- declaration -- that's on page five, you mention in
- 20 the last line of that extrinsic evidence, do you see
- 21 that?
- 22 A. Uh-huh.

- Q. What do you understand that to be?
- A. I don't know, I guess evidence that's
- outside of -- outside of the references that I --
- 4 were given, I guess. I don't know. I never really
- 5 considered it.
- 6 Q. Okay. You understand that your testimony
- 7 is extrinsic evidence?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You say that there, right?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Do you understand that extrinsic evidence
- 12 like your testimony cannot be used to contrap -- to
- 13 contradict the specification claims or prosecution
- 14 history of the patents in suit?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection to the extent
- it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not
- 18 really sure.
- MR. GRIFFITH: You don't know?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 21 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Do you understand that if an opinion in

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 your declaration or in your testimony contradicts the
- intrinsic evidence, it is entitled to no weight?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, calls for a
- 4 legal conclusion.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not, I'm not
- 6 sure.
- 7 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Let me ask you a couple questions about
- 9 the level of skill in the art that's on page three,
- 10 going on to page four.
- 11 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does a person of ordinary skill in the art
- with respect to the '234 and '537 patents have to
- 16 have experience with spinal implants?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So in doing your analysis, you believe
- that a person of ordinary skill in the art could have
- 20 no experience with spinal implants. They might have
- it, but they're not required to have it, is that
- 22 fair?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. That's fair.
- Q. Has the invention claimed in the patents
- 3 in suit ever been used other than as a spinal
- 4 implant?
- 5 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- 6 scope.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
- 8 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 9 Q. Has the invention claimed in the patents
- in suit ever been used other than as a spinal
- 11 implant?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Object.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, I have no, I have no
- 14 idea.
- 15 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Do you have any idea whatsoever what an
- 17 engineer for knee replacements, how they would use
- the invention of the '234 and '537 patents?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- scope.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- I haven't
- 22 considered that.

- 1 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- Q. Do you have any idea how an orthopedic
- designer, an implant designer, outside of spinal
- 4 implant area would use the invention of the '234 and
- 5 '537 patents?
- 6 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, outside the
- 7 scope.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Again, I haven't considered
- 9 that.
- 10 BY MR. GRIFFITH:
- 11 Q. You mention that a person of skill could
- 12 have two or more years of experience. Do you see
- 13 that?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Could a person of ordinary skill have five
- 16 years of experience in designing spinal implants?
- 17 A. Yes, they could.
- 18 Q. And -- and that would not be unheard of or
- 19 extraordinary for a person designing spinal implants
- of ordinary skill to have had five years' of
- 21 experience in doing so, is that fair?
- A. Well, again, I state two or more years' of

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 experience, so --
- Q. And consistent with that, the person of
- ordinary skill could have five years' of experience,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. He could.
- Q. So it could be --
- 7 A. She could.
- Q. He or she, thank you.
- 9 So a person of ordinary skill could have a
- 10 bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering or
- biomedical engineering and five years' of experience
- in mechanical engineering, biomedical engineering
- 13 and/or spinal implant devices, is that fair?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know Dr. Bray?
- 16 A. Over the years, yes. I -- I mean with
- 17 Medtronic, I mean a long time ago.
- Q. You've met him?
- A. A long time ago, I have.
- Q. Did he try to license this invention to
- 21 Medtronic?
- 22 A. I don't know.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- Q. Did you discuss this technology with him?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Have you spoken to Dr. Bray about this
- 4 litigation?
- 5 A. I have not.
- Q. Have you spoken to Dr. Bray about the '234
- 7 or '537 patents?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You've seen some patents to -- let me
- 10 rephrase that.
- Have you seen some patents to a
- 12 Dr. Frazier that relate to the prosecution history of
- the patents in suit?
- 14 A. Yes, I think I did in the prosecution
- 15 history.
- Q. Do you know Dr. Fraser?
- A. I've met him, yes.
- Q. Have you discussed this litigation with
- 19 him?
- 20 A. [Shakes head.]
- 21 Q. Have you discussed these patents with him?
- 22 A. No.

- 1 Q. Do you know Dr. Michelson?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Of course. At Medtronic.
- So have -- and he has some prior art in
- 5 this area, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Have you discussed this litigation with
- 8 Dr. Michelson?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Have you discussed the -- any Bray patent
- 11 with Dr. Michelson?
- 12 A. Not -- I may -- I talked to Michelson
- probably 12, 15 years ago, and I don't know if those
- 14 came up at that time. But not in relation to this
- 15 litigation.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection --
- 17 A. No.
- Q. -- of having discussed the --
- 19 A. No, no.
- Q. -- Bray patents with him?
- 21 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Is your CV that's attached to your

- declaration complete?
- A. It is.
- Q. It identifies all of your degrees,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. When did you first hear of the '234
- 7 patent?
- 8 A. Probably -- you know, I was working in
- 9 that space around that time. So just keeping up with
- 10 the industry and keeping up with things, I'm sure I
- 11 may have come across it back then when I was still
- working.
- 13 Q. That's fair. So let me rephrase, phrase
- 14 it.
- You -- are you able to recall specifically
- seeing the '234 patent or the published patent
- application that may correspond to it back when you
- were at Medtronic doing design work in this area?
- 19 A. Again, I don't recall if I did or not.
- 20 Q. But it's entirely possible you did because
- you kept up on things, is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 Q. And have you heard of a device on the
- 2 market -- strike that.
- 3 Have you heard of a device promoted by RSB
- 4 or Dr. Bray called the interplate device?
- 5 A. I think I've heard the name before.
- 6 Q. What do you recall about it?
- 7 A. Not -- I think I just saw it in passing.
- 8 I don't know that I gave it much thought.
- 9 MR. GRIFFITH: Okay. I'm ready to --
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Do you want to go off
- 11 the record for two minutes --
- MR. GRIFFITH: Sure. That's just fine.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: -- to just do the
- 14 transition.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 11:55,
- off the record.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- record, the time is now 11:56.
- MR. SHERWIN: Do you have a question?
- THE WITNESS: I was going to get some
- 22 water.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- MR. SHERWIN: Yeah, we'll get some water,
- 2 that's fine.
- 3 (Mr. Griffith hands the witness a glass of
- 4 water.)
- THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- 6 MR. GRIFFITH: Yeah, sure.
- 7 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT MEDACTA
- 8 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 9 Q. Mr. Drewry, I'd like to start by asking
- 10 you some questions about some anatomy of a vertebrae.
- 11 You agree that the cortical shell is the
- dense, bony outer surface of a vertebrae, correct?
- 13 A. Repeat, repeat it again. I just, I want
- 14 to make sure.
- Q. You agree that the cortical shell is the
- dense, bony outer surface of a vertebrae, correct?
- 17 A. I don't know that it's completely the
- 18 outer surface. I think there's cortical components.
- 19 I don't know that it's necessarily the shell in -- in
- 20 the surface. I don't know, I --
- Q. Are you not sure if the cortical bone
- covers the entire vertebrae, is that the issue?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. So you know that the cortical shell covers
- 3 at least portions of the surface of the vertebrae, is
- 4 that correct?
- A. And by "shell," what do you -- what do you
- 6 mean by "shell"?
- 7 Q. How would you describe the cortical shell
- 8 of a vertebrae?
- A. I mean, I think there's some thickness of
- bone, some of it cortical in different areas that is
- 11 part of the vertebral body.
- 12 Q. And you agree that that cortical bone is
- 13 distinct from the cancellous bone on the interior of
- 14 the vertebrae, right?
- 15 A. Not -- yeah, I don't think it's only
- interior -- I mean, interior to the vertebral body,
- but yes, they're different. Cortical bone and
- 18 cancellous are different.
- 19 Q. And you agree that cortical bone has a
- 20 plain and ordinary meaning in the medical profession,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And would you also agree that cancellous
- bone has a plain and ordinary meaning in the medical
- 3 profession, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Your opinion is that the cortical rim is
- 6 the region of cortical bone that surrounds the end
- 7 plate, as viewed from either the superior or interior
- perspective, correct?
- A. Again, I think there's a -- for lack of a
- 10 better term, I think it's kind of a horizontal
- 11 component and a vertical component of that rim or
- 12 that apophyseal ring. I mean, I think there's a
- thickness component.
- Q. So your opinion is that the cortical rim
- has a region that is both on the end plate but then
- also on the anterior and posterior surfaces of the
- vertebral body, correct?
- A. Again, I think it's a three-dimensional
- 19 surface -- I mean, a three-dimensional entity, so --
- 20 Q. It's your opinion that the cortical rim
- 21 has the same meaning as the outer rim of the cortex,
- 22 is that correct?

- 1 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- Q. You agree that the cortical rim has the
- 3 same meaning as the outer rim of the cortex, correct?
- A. No, I don't think it has the same meaning.
- 5 Q. So you think there's some difference in
- 6 those meanings?
- 7 A. Yeah, I think there can be a cortical rim
- 8 that's not part of that.
- 9 Q. What's the distinction between a cortical
- 10 rim and an outer rim as you have used those terms in
- 11 your declaration?
- 12 A. Where are you referencing, in my
- 13 declaration?
- Q. For example, you can look at paragraph 36.
- 15 **A.** 36 --
- Q. This is Exhibit Number 1.
- Now my question is what are you defining
- as the hard cortical bone that forms an outer rim?
- 19 A. Again, I think it's the cortical bone that
- 20 has a -- again, a -- it's hard to describe it. It
- 21 has a thickness and a depth and it's apparent on this
- surface and the top or anterior surfaces, as well.

- 1 Q. And your opinion is the cortical rim does
- not have that same three-dimensional structure, is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A. No, I think it does.
- 5 Q. So then my question is how is the hard
- 6 cortical bone that forms an outer rim, as you've used
- 7 that term in your definition, different from the
- 8 cortical rim of the bone or is it your opinion those
- 9 are the same meaning?
- 10 A. I don't think they're mutually exclusive,
- 11 I think there's components of each.
- 12 Q. And which term are you saying is broader
- 13 there?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, vague.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm getting -- I'm
- sorry, I'm getting a little confused on the two.
- 17 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 18 Q. So my question is can you identify any
- differences between the term "cortical rim" and "hard
- 20 cortical bone that forms an utter rim"?
- A. Again, the terms "outer," you know, I
- don't know that it necessarily has to be outer. I

- don't know, I really do not understand. I -- as
- they -- I don't know how to differentiate the two.
- Q. So as you sit here, you can't think of any
- differences between those two terms, is that correct?
- 5 A. Well, again, I think they're -- I don't
- 6 think they're mutually exclusive of each other, so I
- 7 don't know that I would -- I would -- I don't know in
- 8 defining them if I would exclude one from the other.
- 9 Q. But as you sit here, you can't tell me
- what any differences are between where the cortical
- 11 rim exists on a vertebrae as compared to where the
- outer rim exists on a vertebrae, is that correct?
- 13 A. Again, I think it varies by, you know, by
- patient, by weight, by -- there's just a lot of
- 15 factors that would affect those, those two
- 16 components.
- 17 Q. And how would any of those variables
- affect which region of the bone is the cortical rim?
- 19 A. Which one of those factors would affect
- 20 it?
- 21 Q. Yes. What effect where that is located on
- 22 a vertebrae?

- 1 A. I think if a patient has osteoporosis, if
- it has, you know, maybe trauma or some other
- 3 condition, it may affect the size, shape, location of
- 4 that bone.
- 5 Q. And would any of those factors affect
- 6 where the outer rim is located on a vertebral body?
- 7 A. It could.
- 8 Q. And what ways could it?
- A. Again, depending on the condition, you
- 10 know, if it was a trauma or if it was some other bone
- disease or something, it could affect, you know,
- where those components are and how I would define
- 13 them.
- 14 Q. In the same paragraph, you also refer to
- the apophysesal ring. Do you see that?
- 16 A. I'm sorry, which paragraph are we on,
- 17 again?
- Q. Still on paragraph 36.
- 19 **A.** 36.
- Q. It's at the very top of page 11.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Does the apophyseal ring have the same

- meaning as the outer rim?
- A. Again, I think there's a vertical
- 3 component, that's what I'm trying to get at.
- 4 There's an apophyseal ring that's a ring in this
- 5 view, but I also think that it has a depth component
- 6 to it and I think that it's -- it's not a
- 7 two-dimensional entity, if you will, it's -- it's a
- 8 three-dimensional, a three-dimensional entity that
- 9 goes around the vertebral bodies.
- Q. And that's also true of the outer rim,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Again, I think the outer rim is part of
- 13 that discussion.
- 14 O. And that's also true of the cortical rim,
- 15 correct, in the sense that it has both a
- three-dimensional aspect where we can see a portion
- of that surface in the view you have in paragraph 36,
- but, also, it has a depth or a thickness, as you
- 19 referred to it, correct?
- 20 A. It could, yes.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: I believe we're on
- 22 Exhibit 6, is that correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 MR. GRIFFITH: Yes.
- 2 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 6 was
- 3 marked for identification and attached to the
- 4 transcript.)
- 5 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 6 Q. You agree that this is an image of a
- 7 lumbar vertebrae, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You said you were having a little bit of
- trouble identifying where some of these terms were,
- so I'd like you to identify where those terms are for
- me. Let's start with the cortical rim.
- Do you have a pen? Here, I'll hand you
- one.
- 15 A. I don't.
- Q. Passing one right now.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. Could you please identify on these two
- 19 views of the vertebral body where the cortical rim
- 20 is. You can feel free to circle it or shade it where
- you think those regions are, please.
- A. Now, again, with my assumptions that I

- told you later [sic] -- you know, the thicknesses of
- this rim, the location, it can be affected by age, it
- could be affected by a lot of different things. So I
- 4 don't -- it's hard for me to tell on a
- 5 two-dimensional image like this where cortical bone
- 6 starts, where cortical bone stops and that sort of
- 7 thing. So I don't know that giving these images
- 8 without -- it'd be better to have an X-ray to where I
- 9 could see the weighting of the particular bone in
- 10 relation to cancellous and cortical bone. So I'm not
- 11 comfortable giving you exact borders of this bone
- 12 based on these images.
- Q. Well, can you give us approximate borders
- 14 by drawing where those are? And then I understand
- your caveat is that you're only trying to encompass
- 16 cortical bone.
- A. Again, you know, just so I'm clear, you
- 18 know, this can be affected by age, weight, bone
- density, sex, race, ethnicity. So that's where all
- 20 the other kind of assumptions that you would have to
- 21 consider when doing this sort of thing.
- Q. Understood.

Petitioners 1022-125

- With those caveats in place, can you
- 2 identify on these two images where the cortical rim
- 3 is?
- A. Again, in a three-dimensional state, I
- 5 don't know where they would start and stop. But I
- 6 would say generally, you know, in -- in these areas.
- And, again, not knowing where they start and stop,
- 8 they're -- they're in some range in those windows.
- 9 Q. Sure.
- On the -- on the bottom figure, which is a
- 11 superior view of a vertebral body, it looks like you
- 12 drew two circles. I just want to make sure I
- understand what that means. You're --
- 14 A. Well, you know, whatever that outside.
- 15 I'm comfortable saying it's got to be within the --
- obviously it can't be in the space, so --
- 17 Q. Yeah, I think that's the point that I want
- 18 to clarify and I think we're on the same page here.
- 19 You're only identifying the cortical rim
- 20 as a particular ring around the exterior of the
- 21 vertebral body and you're excluding some portion of
- the interior of that vertebral body, correct?

Petitioners 1022-126

- A. Again, I don't know where those start and
- stop, so it's hard for me to -- to draw and
- 3 distinguish.
- Q. But you do agree that the cortical rim
- 5 does not cover the entire end plate of this vertebral
- 6 body that we're looking at, correct?
- 7 A. It could.
- 8 Q. So your opinion is the cortical rim could
- 9 cover the entire end plate?
- 10 A. I mean, again, based on -- there may be
- 11 people out there that have osteophytic conditions or
- 12 diseases that cause their end plates to ossify and --
- and that would be cortical bone. I can't sit here
- and say that it is or it isn't.
- Q. Would you agree that typically the
- 16 cortical rim does not include the interior portion of
- that end plate, although it is possible in some
- 18 situations?
- 19 A. I'm sorry, repeat that.
- Q. You would agree that typically the
- 21 cortical rim does not include the interior portions
- of the end plate, as we're looking at it from the

- 1 superior direction, although it could happen in
- 2 certain circumstances, correct?
- A. In some circumstances, yes.
- 4 Q. I think that's because some of the factors
- 5 that you wrote on this particular image right now,
- 6 that those factors could determine how thick or how
- 7 thin that cortical rim is, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And one of the other terms that we talked
- 10 about, then, was the outer rim as you have used that
- term in paragraph 36 of your declaration. You can go
- 12 ahead and open that up.
- 13 A. 36, you said?
- 14 Q. Yes. 36, please.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- Q. It spans pages ten and 11.
- And so the question that I was having --
- asking you before is whether there's any distinction
- in where the cortical rim is located on a vertebral
- 20 body, as compared to where the outer rim is located
- on the vertebral body.
- My question for you is can you identify on

- 1 Exhibit Number 5, using a red -- yeah, thank you.
- 2 [Mr. Griffith hands a red pen to the
- 3 witness.]
- 4 MR. GRIFFITH: This one will be better.
- 5 MR. SHERWIN: It doesn't matter. It is
- 6 just a different color, so we can tell the
- 7 difference.
- 8 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 9 Q. So can you identify how the cortical rim
- 10 is distinct from the outer rim?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: And just to be clear
- for the record, it's Exhibit 6, right, not on Exhibit
- 13 5?
- MR. SHERWIN: I'm sorry, yes, on Exhibit
- 15 6, please.
- 16 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. Or if you think that they're the same
- 18 thing. I just want to understand if there's a
- 19 distinction or if there's not. If there's a
- 20 distinction, I would like to see what that
- 21 distinction is in your opinion as you look at
- Figure -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 6.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Yeah. Again, I -- I'm just struggling
- with where they start and where they stop based on
- 3 the bones, so I'm trying to figure out if
- 4 those are -- could be the same or are the same.
- 5 And, again, not knowing the thick -- you
- 6 know, with all the caveats that I've put down on
- here, I don't know, I think, you know, I think
- 8 there's a -- again, not knowing where this stops and
- g starts on these things, I think there's a component
- of that there. You know, I don't know where this
- 11 start or stops.
- 12 Q. And so what are you depicting in the red
- ink, which is the outer rim? What are you trying to
- 14 depict there to show that it's different than what
- 15 the cortical rim is?
- A. Again, I don't know that they're
- different. I think there's -- you know, if you take
- 18 the cortical rim, there's a depth to it. And I don't
- 19 know, I just think there's a three-dimensional
- 20 component to that that -- that I'm trying to describe
- 21 it. So I -- it's a ring with a depth, I guess is
- what I'm trying to -- and it's three-dimensional and

- it, you know, it covers 360 degrees of the vertebral
- 2 body.
- Q. And that's true for both the cortical rim
- 4 and the outer rim, right?
- 5 A. It could.
- 6 Q. And your opinion in Exhibit 6, it does,
- 7 correct?
- A. Again, I don't know because I don't
- 9 know -- again, not having any information on the
- 10 cancellous bone, I'm -- I'm reluctant to say that
- it's -- where it starts and where it stops.
- 12 O. It seems to me that you've identified the
- 13 same regions of the bone for both the cortical rim
- 14 and the outer rim. So in this particular depiction
- in Exhibit 6, you would agree they're the same,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. No, I'm not saying they're the same. I
- think one's a -- there's a -- again, there's a
- 19 vertical component of this bone based on some
- 20 thickness of cortical bone that determines where that
- is, and there's also a horizontal component, again,
- 22 based on the factors that I addressed earlier.

- Q. So when you're referring to the horizontal
- and vertical components, you're referring to the top
- 3 image in Exhibit 6, the profile view of the vertebral
- 4 body, correct?
- 5 A. Well, I think that's -- yeah, that's where
- 6 I was drawing that.
- 7 Q. Right. And when you were drawing those
- 8 lines in red, you're referring to the outer rim
- 9 having that vertical and horizontal component,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Not necessarily the outer rim. I think
- that's part of the cortical rim, as well.
- Q. So those lines depict both the outer rim
- 14 and the cortical rim?
- 15 A. Again, I think there's a combination of
- the two and it's three-dimensional. I think there's
- 17 a depth and -- I don't know. I don't know that I
- have anything else to add.
- 19 Q. You also mention in your declaration the
- 20 apophyseal ring. Is the apophyseal ring the same as
- 21 the cortical rim or the outer rim as you've depicted
- in Exhibit 6, or is there some difference in the way

- it's depicted in this vertebral body?
- A. Again, I think the apophyseal ring is
- 3 similar to the cortical rim on those surfaces, but,
- 4 again, not having the ability to differentiate where
- 5 they start and stop.
- 6 Q. Is there anything that you can depict on
- 7 Exhibit 6 in blue for the apophyseal ring that's
- 8 different than what you've depicted for either the
- 9 cortical rim or the outer rim?
- 10 A. Not really.
- 11 Q. You said the apophyseal ring, that is
- 12 co-extensive with the cortical rim --
- A. Again, could --
- 14 O. -- in Exhibit 6?
- 15 A. It could be. Just depending on the
- patient, depending on the morphology of the end
- 17 plates, of the bone quality. Again, there's some
- instance where there's no ring, there's no rim.
- 19 There's -- I mean, there's cortical bone the whole
- surface. So, again, I just don't know with the
- information you've given me where that starts or
- where it stops.

Petitioners 1022-133

- 1 O. So in order to make a determination about
- where the cortical rim, the outer rim and the
- 3 apophyseal ring are, you said you would need to look
- 4 at a CT, is that correct?
- 5 A. Well, there's multiple imaging techniques
- 6 you could use: You could use CT, you could use
- 7 X-ray. There's other imaging modalities that could
- 8 be used to identify that.
- 9 Q. But until you look at one of those imaging
- modalities that you've listed, you wouldn't be able
- 11 to make a determination about where these are,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I wouldn't be comfortable in this
- 14 particular image identifying what you're asking for,
- 15 no.
- Q. You agree that this is -- or I can
- 17 represent to you that what this is is an image of a
- 18 lumbar portion of the vertebrae. You would agree
- that another one of the differences in determining
- where the cortical rim, the outer rim and the
- 21 apophyseal ring are would be different if you were in
- 22 a different part of the spine, correct?

Petitioners 1022-134

- 1 A. It could be.
- Q. So, for example, if you're looking at, you
- 3 know, a cervical bone, defining where those regions
- of the vertebrae are would be different than if you
- 5 were looking at one of the lumbar bones of the same
- 6 person, correct?
- 7 A. I mean, there could be differences based
- 8 on the anatomic regions.
- 9 Q. Again, that's something you would have to
- 10 look at one of those imaging modalities to make a
- determination about where these various regions of
- the bone occur, correct?
- 13 A. I would be more comfortable with that
- 14 information to make that.
- Q. Are you saying that someone else would be
- able to just look at an image of a bone and make that
- determination, just that person isn't you?
- 18 A. No. I'm just saying for me to answer the
- 19 question that you're asking, I'd like more
- 20 information.
- 21 Q. You agree that a vertebral body also has
- 22 an end plate, correct?

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And those end plates typically have a
- 3 layer of cartilage and a layer of bony tissue,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. They can.
- 6 Q. Typically, though, cartilage layer abuts
- 7 against the disc and the cartilage layer connects --
- 8 I'm sorry, and the bony layer connects to the
- g cartilage layer and the rest of the vertebral body,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Again, they can.
- 12 Q. And you agree that's typical, though,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. I -- I'd say typical.
- 15 Q. Is it your opinion that the end plate is
- the entire surface of the vertebral body as shown in
- the superior image in Exhibit 6?
- 18 A. So, again -- I'm sorry, explain that
- 19 again? Or in the lower image --
- Q. Yeah.
- 21 A. -- Figure 6?
- Q. I'll just say it again to make sure we're

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- on the same page.
- So on Exhibit 6, the image on the
- 3 bottom --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you agree that the end plate is the
- 6 entire surface of the vertebral body, as depicted on
- 7 here?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. So you would agree, then, that the end
- 10 plate includes the cortical rim, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. And it also includes the outer rim,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Again, with the -- with the caveats that I
- gave earlier in the -- in the, you know, depending on
- where that is and the thickness and that sort of
- thing. But just looking at it from this view, I
- 18 think it includes that surface.
- 19 Q. And you also agree, then, that it includes
- the apophyseal ring, as well, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. I'll say that again just because I think I

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 misstated.
- You also agree that the end plate includes
- 3 the apophyseal ring, correct?
- 4 A. That surface includes the apophyseal ring,
- 5 yes.
- Q. When you say "that surface," you're
- 7 referring to the end plate, right?
- 8 A. Everything in Figure C that I circled on
- 9 that surface.
- 10 Q. You understand that the '234 and '537
- 11 patents use side surface of the bone, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Is it your opinion that the end plate is
- 14 the side surface of the bone as that term is used in
- 15 the '234 and '537 patents?
- 16 A. I'm sorry, repeat the question.
- 17 Q. You agree that the end plate of a
- 18 vertebral body is the side surface of the bone, as
- that term is used in the '234 and '537 patents,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I just want to be clear on my -- my
- definition so that I use the right words.

- 1 Q. Sure.
- A. So, again, I think it's, you know, we
- designed it as the surface generally facing each
- other as -- from the perspective of the surgeon
- 5 looking down onto the spine, doing an anterior
- 6 procedure. So that surface, you said the end plate,
- 7 I think it -- it's -- it's the whole surface that
- 8 faces the adjacent bone, the other side surface. So
- 9 it can include the cortical rim, the apophyseal rim
- 10 [sic], the end plate, all of those. I don't think
- 11 they're mutually exclusive of each other.
- 12 Q. So you would say all of those, the
- 13 cortical rim, the outer rim, the apophyseal ring and
- 14 end plate, those are all encompassed in your
- understanding of the scope of the meaning of the term
- "side surface," correct?
- 17 A. Again, they could be. If they're there
- and, you know, based on the -- what I said below,
- 19 I -- I look at it as that surface in Figure C.
- Q. When you say "that surface," that's the
- 21 surface you've used --
- 22 A. Circled.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Petitioners 1022-139

- Q. -- with black ink to --
- A. Well, no. Black and red.
- 3 O. The black and red, correct?
- 4 A. [No response.]
- 5 Q. Is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you agree that a vertebral body can
- 8 have a periosteum layer, correct?
- 9 A. I'm sorry? Can you repeat?
- 10 Q. Do you agree that a vertebral body can
- 11 have a periosteum layer?
- 12 A. You know, I'm not sure that I ever thought
- of it or -- or considered that. So I'm not sure.
- Q. Do you know what a periosteum layer is?
- 15 A. Not as it relates to the spine. Again,
- 16 I'm not sure that I've ever used that term.
- Q. So you're not familiar with that term as
- 18 you sit here today, correct?
- 19 A. Again --
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Mischaracterizes his
- 21 testimony.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I've just never used

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- that term in relation to the spine. I -- I've just
- 2 never had cause to, I guess.
- 3 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. You're not a medical doctor or a surgeon,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. So as you sit here today, you wouldn't be
- 8 able to identify where the periosteum layer is on a
- 9 vertebrae, is that correct, then?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Let me say the question again, make sure
- we're on the same page.
- 13 As you sit here today, you're not able to
- 14 identify where the periosteum layer is on a
- vertebrae, correct?
- 16 A. Again, I'm not sure what periosteum on a
- vertebral body is.
- 18 Q. So that's a yes, you can't identify it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. You understand that Defendants and RSB
- 21 have a dispute about the meaning of the term "lip
- osteophyte," correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you considered Defendants'
- 3 construction?
- A. Have I considered it? I've read it.
- 5 Q. Do you understand it?
- A. As it -- as it states in my report, I
- 7 understand it.
- Q. And do you agree with that meaning?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 Q. And have you considered RSB's proposed
- construction for the term "lip osteophyte"?
- 12 A. Again, when I set out and was asked to
- opine on this term, I looked at "lip osteophyte," I
- 14 looked at it as it was referenced in the IP or in the
- patents themselves and, you know, as Dr. Bray cited
- on his clinical experience and he documented in the
- patent that the lip osteophyte is the strongest part
- of the bone or the structurally the strongest -- the
- 19 strongest portion of the bone. And I was in
- agreement with that.
- 21 Q. So you agree with RSB's proposed
- 22 construction, is that correct?

- 1 A. Well, again, I think I agreed with
- 2 Dr. Bray and the patent first, and then that was
- 3 the -- again, as we looked at the definition and what
- 4 I was asked to -- to opine on, lip osteophyte, they
- 5 were, they were consistent.
- 6 Q. And it's your opinion that the term "lip
- osteophyte," as those are -- as those words are used
- 8 in the '234 and '537 patent claims, does not have a
- 9 plain and ordinary meaning, correct?
- 10 A. Again, the definition I have used for "lip
- osteophyte" was the definition that was given in the
- patent.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you go to paragraph 34,
- 14 please, of your declaration, Exhibit 1.
- 15 A. I'm here. Okay.
- 16 Q. In there, you state that, "A person of
- ordinary skill would not have understood the term
- 18 'lip osteophyte' to have any ordinary and customary
- meaning in the field at the time of the invention."
- 20 That was your declaration statement, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. So you agree that the term "lip

- osteophyte" as that term is used in the claims, does
- not have a plain and ordinary meaning, correct?
- A. As it states here, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Before we talk about the term "lip
- osteophyte," I'd like to talk about those two terms
- on their own as they're used in the medical
- 7 profession.
- 8 You agree that the term "osteophyte" is a
- 9 term that's used in the medical profession, correct?
- 10 A. Again, I was asked to opine on the
- 11 combination "lip osteophyte," so I didn't consider
- 12 the two independently.
- Q. Well, you agree that the term "osteophyte"
- means a bony excrescence or an osseous outgrowth,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Yeah, it could. Again, I didn't consider
- 17 that.
- 18 Q. So you're saying you've never heard of the
- 19 term "osteophyte" as it's used in the medical
- 20 profession?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Mischaracterizes his
- testimony.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- THE WITNESS: Again, I've heard it, but I
- 2 didn't consider it when I combined these. I looked
- 3 at the term as the combination of the words. I
- 4 didn't look at them independently.
- 5 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. And as you sit here today, you would agree
- 7 that the term "osteophyte" as it's used on its own
- 8 means a bony excrescence or an osseous outgrowth,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. I guess it could. I -- you know, I don't
- 11 have a definition in front of me.
- 12 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that
- 13 definition?
- 14 A. I think it depends on the context, the
- 15 location. Again, I didn't look at the word
- independently on its own.
- 17 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 7 was
- 18 marked for identification and attached to the
- 19 transcript.)
- 20 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 21 Q. I'm handing you what's now been marked as
- Drewry Exhibit 7. This is excerpts from Dorland's

- 1 Illustrated Medical Dictionary. Will you turn to, I
- believe, the last page.
- 3 A. Okay. What year? What year is this?
- Q. Sure. We can take a look at that. Why
- 5 don't you take a look at the second page of this
- 6 document.
- 7 A. Okay.
- Q. About three-quarters of the way down,
- 9 there's a 2003 copyright.
- 10 **A.** Okay.
- 11 Q. Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if you could take a look at the term
- in there "osteophyte." In Dorland's, that's on page
- ¹⁵ 1336.
- 16 **A.** Okay.
- Q. And you agree that Dorland's defines an
- osteophyte as a bony excrescence or osseous
- 19 outgrowth, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
- 22 Dorland's is an unreliable source --

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. I've never heard of --
- Q. -- for medical terms?
- 3 A. I've never heard of it, but it's a
- 4 definition.
- 5 Q. And you agree with that definition?
- A. Again, it could be. An osseous -- it
- 7 could be.
- Q. And you can't point to anything specific
- 9 about this definition that you disagree with, is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- Q. Can you point to anything in this
- definition in Dorland's of "osteophyte" that you
- 14 disagree with?
- 15 A. I mean, it's a definition of an
- osteophyte. I -- I don't have anything.
- Q. Okay. You agree that osteophytes are
- 18 pathologic features of a bone, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You agree that they can form due to stress
- on the bone or as a result of degenerative
- 22 conditions, like osteoarthritis, correct?

- A. Again, I really, I didn't, I didn't study
- this or look at this or prepare it, so I'm not sure.
- 3 Q. So you agree that they're pathologic, but
- 4 you're not sure what conditions would lead to the
- 5 growth of an osteophyte, is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you agree that an osteophyte can form
- on the corner of a vertebrae, which is the junction
- 9 of the side and top surfaces of the vertebrae,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Again, based on that definition, I -- I
- 12 quess there could.
- Q. So yes, you agree that that's where they
- 14 can develop?
- 15 A. I don't think it's limited to there. But
- yes, I think there could have osteophytes there.
- 17 Q. If you could turn back to Exhibit 6,
- 18 please.
- 19 A. Is that the --
- 20 O. That's the --
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- Q. -- pictures.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. Is it your opinion that any osteophytes
- 3 have formed on the vertebral bodies depicted in
- 4 Exhibit 6?
- 5 A. Again, I don't, I don't have enough
- 6 information to determine that.
- 7 Q. What information do you need?
- 8 A. Again, I would rely on -- I'd rely on
- 9 imaging studies, other bone data that would be
- 10 accomplished by that.
- 11 Q. So, for example, you need to take a look
- 12 at a CT scan to determine whether an osteophyte has
- 13 formed?
- 14 A. No. I think you can do with it X-ray. I
- think you can do it with multiple imaging
- 16 technologies.
- Q. What would distinguish the osteophyte from
- other aspects of the vertebral body?
- 19 A. Another thing that it may be time. I
- mean, it may be bone that's always been there. They
- 21 talk about an outgrowth or something like that. So
- you may want to have a historical imaging study that

- shows that this bone has grown or if it was already
- there. It may just be a bony anomaly. It may not be
- 3 an outgrowth.
- Q. You -- sorry.
- 5 (Mr. Sherwin confers with Mr. Griffith.)
- 6 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 7 Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit 1.
- 8 A. Which is --
- 9 Q. Sorry, that's your declaration --
- 10 **A.** Okay.
- 11 O. -- Exhibit 1.
- 12 A. All right.
- Q. Paragraph 36.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You have a certainly different depiction
- of a vertebral body, do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Does this depict any osteophytes?
- 19 A. Again, I don't know.
- Q. The same issue, you would need other
- 21 imaging techniques --
- 22 A. I would need more information. Again,

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- we're -- as I was asked to look at, we looked at "lip
- osteophyte" as defined as the strongest part of the
- bone. I can't determine the strongest part of a bone
- 4 from an image on paper.
- 5 Q. So I will make a distinction between the
- 6 claim term "lip osteophyte" and the word "osteophyte"
- 7 as it's being used on its own.
- 8 Are you saying that the word osteophyte as
- 9 used on its own also means the strongest part of the
- 10 bone?
- 11 A. No. I didn't say that.
- 12 Q. So you agree that the word "osteophyte" as
- used on its own has a different meaning than "lip
- 14 osteophyte" as it's used in the claims of the '234
- and '537 patents, correct?
- 16 A. Repeat that, please.
- 17 Q. You agree that the meaning of the term
- 18 "osteophyte" is different than the meaning of the
- 19 term "lip osteophyte" as it's used in the claims of
- the '234 and '537 patents, correct?
- 21 A. Again, it could be.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: I know you're in the

- 1 middle of a claim term, but we've been going for
- about an hour and ten minutes or so. Is there a
- 3 point that it makes sense to have a break for lunch?
- MR. SHERWIN: Yeah, we can stop any time.
- 5 So if you want to do it now, that's fine. If you
- 6 want to go longer, it's fine. It's indifferent to
- 7 me.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Can we get a break?
- 9 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Why don't we take a
- 10 break, then.
- 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 12:43,
- 12 going off the record.
- 13 (A break was taken.)
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- record, the time is 1:28.
- 16 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. Okay. Mr. Drewry, before break, we were
- talking about osteophytes, do you remember that?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you agreed that the '234 and '537
- 21 patents do not use the word "osteophyte" as a
- stand-alone word, correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you agree that the patents do not use
- 3 the term "osteophyte" as it's typically used in the
- 4 medical profession, like in Dorland's Dictionary,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Well, again, the term that we're talking
- 7 about in '234 and the other patent is "lip
- 8 osteophyte."
- 9 Q. So you would agree with me that when the
- 10 '234 and '537 patents use the word "osteophyte," that
- it would be incorrect to determine that the meaning
- of that word is as it is in Dorland's Medical
- 13 Dictionary, correct?
- 14 A. Again, back to my earlier discussion, I
- think it depends. Depends on the anatomy, depends on
- the weight, the bone, the density, the lip
- osteophyte. I mean, I think --
- 18 Q. So you agree, then, that when the '234 and
- 19 '537 patents use the term "osteophyte," at times, it
- 20 can be consistent with the meaning as described in
- 21 Dorland's Medical Dictionary, correct?
- A. No, I didn't say that. I'm just saying

- that the lip osteophyte could be an outgrowth of bone
- or, again, just the -- the geometrical features of it
- 3 could be similar to an osteophyte, but it may not be
- 4 produced the same way morphologically.
- 5 Q. You agree that the '234 and '537 patents
- 6 do not include any definition for the term
- 7 "osteophyte" on its own, correct?
- 8 A. Well, again, as I studied the patent and I
- 9 went back and looked at it in particular the way that
- 10 Dr. Bray described it, you know, he consistently
- 11 referred to it as the strongest part of the bone and
- 12 structurally the strongest portion of the bone, and I
- 13 relied on the patent and his discussion of the
- 14 matter.
- Q. So I'd like to distinguish for a second
- the term "lip osteophyte" as it's used in the patents
- 17 from just the word "osteophyte" as a stand-alone
- 18 word. And so my question is just in reference to the
- word "osteophyte" as a stand-alone word.
- 20 And so you agree that the '234 and '537
- 21 patents did not define the word "osteophyte" as a
- stand-alone word, correct?

- A. I don't recall if it defined it or not. I
- just looked -- I was looking at "lip osteophyte."
- 3 Q. You're not aware of any portion of the
- 4 '234 and '537 patents that define the word
- 5 "osteophyte" as a stand-alone word, right?
- 6 A. I am not, no.
- 7 Q. You agree that the "lip above vertebrae"
- 8 describes a location on the vertebral body, correct?
- A. Again, not a -- not particular location
- but a kind of a more of a region. I mean, I don't
- 11 think it's a particular point.
- 12 O. It's more of a surface, is that the
- distinction you're drawing?
- A. Or a 3-D, a three-dimensional -- I don't
- know what the word -- a three-dimensional structure,
- 16 I will. Anatomic feature.
- 17 Q. Is it your opinion that the '234 and '537
- patents use the word "lip" as it's typically
- understood in the medical profession?
- A. Again, I didn't consider the word "lip" on
- 21 its own. I considered it as the combination of "lip
- osteophyte," so --

- Q. So it's your opinion that the patents do
- not use the word vertebral lip as a stand-alone word,
- 3 is that correct?
- 4 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 5 foundation.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I just don't know. I --
- 7 again, I think as I considered my definition --
- 8 again, as it relates to the patent, I don't know that
- 9 it was, the word was used there. Or, again, I didn't
- 10 con -- I didn't consider it independent when I looked
- 11 at it as in the patent.
- 12 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. You agree that lipping, as it refers to a
- vertebral body, is the development of a bony
- overgrowth, correct?
- 16 A. I have heard that term.
- Q. And you agree that that's an accurate
- 18 definition of it?
- 19 A. I don't know that it's a definition, but I
- 20 have heard that term in reference to that.
- 21 Q. And you agree that the term "lipping" is
- the development of a bony overgrowth, correct?

- 1 A. Again, I don't -- I don't know the
- magnitude or -- or how much of the -- I don't know
- 3 how you'd quantify that, but I have heard that term.
- Q. And so the definition I provided of the
- 5 development of a bony overgrowth is consistent with
- 6 the way you've heard that term being used in the
- 7 medical profession, correct?
- 8 A. In some instances, yes.
- 9 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 7, please.
- 10 That's Dorland's.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. And the Dorland's page number 1058.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. The top right, you'll see a description, a
- depiction of lipping.
- And you agree this is consistent with the
- concept of lipping as being a development of a bony
- overgrowth that you've heard in the medical
- 19 profession, correct?
- 20 A. Yeah, I think that would be an example.
- Q. With respect to the claim term "lip
- osteophyte" as those terms are sued together, the

- 1 term we're disputing today --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You agree that a person of ordinary skill
- 4 in the art would not be able to look at the claims
- 5 alone to determine the scope and meaning of that
- 6 term, correct?
- 7 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 8 foundation.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know that I'd
- 10 rely on the claim language on its own. And when I
- 11 did my own definition, I went back to the
- 12 specification and, more importantly, the discussion
- 13 from Dr. Bray on his clinical experience and used
- 14 that to -- to form my definition of lip osteophyte.
- 15 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. And so you agree that a person of ordinary
- skill in the art can only interpret the term "lip
- 18 osteophyte" by reviewing the specification, correct?
- 19 A. I don't know that that -- I don't know
- that that's true. I just, I know what I did.
- 21 Q. Well, you agree the term "lip osteophyte"
- has no plain and ordinary meaning, correct?

- A. Again, I don't -- that's fair, yes. It
- doesn't.
- 3 Q. So a person having ordinary skill in the
- 4 art would review those claims and see the word "lip
- osteophyte," because it has no plain and ordinary
- 6 meaning, they would not be able to look at just the
- 7 claims to determine the scope of that term, correct?
- 8 A. I don't know. Again, I'm not sure. I
- 9 didn't -- I didn't look at just the claims when I
- 10 formed my opinion or my definition, so I --
- 11 Q. Well, you'd agree that if they wouldn't
- 12 have any understanding of what the term means, they
- wouldn't be able to look at the claim to determine
- 14 the scope of it, correct?
- 15 A. Again, I don't know. I didn't look at the
- claims by themselves with the term "lip osteophyte."
- Q. It's your opinion it will be improper to
- 18 take the plain and ordinary meaning of the term "lip"
- 19 and combine with it the plain and ordinary meaning of
- the word "osteophyte" in order to properly understand
- 21 the meaning of the term "lip osteophyte" as it's used
- in the patents, correct?

- 1 A. I don't know, I didn't do that. I looked
- at it as "lip osteophyte," I looked where it was used
- in the patent and I formed my definition on the way
- 4 that they described it in the -- in the patent.
- 5 Q. Is it your opinion that it will be proper
- 6 to use the plain and ordinary meaning of the words
- 7 "lip" and "osteophyte" to understand the meaning of
- 8 the claim term "lip osteophyte"?
- 9 A. I don't think that those -- I think no.
- 10 Q. You agree that it's improper to import a
- limitation from the specification into the claims,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I -- again, I'm not an attorney. I'll
- 14 have to rely on my -- my legal. So can you point to
- 15 that?
- Q. Yeah, I'll point it up right now. If you
- go to paragraph 12 that's Exhibit 1.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Take a look at that there. In your
- declaration, you state it is improper to import
- 21 limitations into the claims from the embodiment
- described in the specification that are not required

- 1 by the claims, do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So you agree that it's improper to import
- 4 limitations into the claims, correct?
- 5 A. From the embodiments which are described
- 6 in the specification that are not required by the
- 7 claims.
- Q. It's your opinion that the term "lip
- 9 osteophyte" has to prove the limitation structurally
- 10 the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- 11 A. Again, I'm not sure that that's a
- 12 limitation. I think that's the way it was described
- and defined in the patent.
- Q. And you agree that the words "structurally
- 15 strongest part of the bone" are not used in the claim
- terms in reference to "lip osteophyte," correct?
- 17 A. I don't recall if it was or not.
- 18 Q. As you sit here today, you're not able to
- 19 identify any claim in the '234 or '537 patents that
- 20 use the language "structurally strongest part of the
- bone in reference to "lip osteophyte," correct?
- A. Again, I don't -- I don't recall. I'd be

- 1 happy to look.
- Q. You can take a look. I can represent to
- you those words don't appear, but if you'd like to
- 4 look and verify, you can.
- 5 A. Okay. And your question? Can you please
- 6 repeat your question?
- 7 Q. You agree that the language structurally
- 8 strongest part of the bone is not used in any of the
- 9 claims of the '234 and '537 patents as it relates to
- 10 the term "lip osteophyte," correct?
- 11 A. Again, I -- I -- I did not review all the
- 12 claims to look for that. I got my definition and the
- meaning for the terminology from the specification
- 14 earlier on in the patent.
- Q. So you agree that the language
- 16 "structurally strongest part of the bone" only
- 17 appears in the specification, not the claims,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Again, I'm not sure. I didn't review them
- all in light of that.
- 21 Q. And you can't identify any claims that use
- that language right now, correct?

- 1 A. I hadn't looked through all of them.
- Q. And the ones you looked through right now
- 3 didn't include that language, correct?
- A. I didn't look and I didn't identify any.
- Q. It's your opinion that the "lip," as that
- 6 term is used in the phrase "lip osteophyte," is the
- ⁷ anterior portion of the outer cortical rim, correct?
- 8 A. It can be, yes.
- 9 Q. Are you saying it's other locations, as
- 10 well?
- 11 A. I mean, getting back to my
- 12 three-dimensional description, I think it's not a
- point, it's a -- it has other dimensions.
- 14 (Drewry deposition Exhibit Number 8 was
- 15 marked for identification and attached to the
- 16 transcript.)
- 17 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 18 Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as
- 19 Exhibit 8. And if you need to write something on the
- 20 exhibit -- I know you did it on the prior Exhibit --
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- that has some pictures. Feel free to

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 use the black pen, if that's easier than the marker I
- ² handed you.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. But I thought it would be useful to use a
- 5 different color --
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 O. -- so we can distinguish the different
- 8 colors.
- 9 So on Exhibit 8, which I've just handed
- you, could you please mark where the lip osteophyte
- occurs on these two images of a vertebral body. Or
- if there are no lip osteophytes, let me know that.
- 13 A. Again, just for clarity, I'm going to
- 14 re-write my same caveats because --
- 15 Q. If you want to just write "same caveats,"
- that'll be sufficient on the record. If you feel you
- want to write all the words, you can. Just trying to
- 18 save you some time.
- A. And that's Exhibit 6?
- Q. The prior picture was Exhibit 6, correct.
- 21 A. And, again, as we talk about strongest
- bone and that sort of thing, it's -- it's based on

- data that I don't necessarily have. So it kind of
- falls into the same discussion that we had
- 3 previously.
- Q. So did you depict anywhere on those images
- 5 where the lip osteophyte appears? It looks like no.
- 6 A. Well, again, I think it's gonna be similar
- 7 to -- to what we've shown before. You know, again, I
- 8 don't know where it stops or where it starts. You
- 9 know, it can be in these regions [indicates on
- Exhibit 8]. And again, 300 -- you know, there's a
- 11 three-dimensional component to that.
- 12 O. Could you also depict for me, please,
- where the lip osteophyte occurs on the lower image
- that's labeled C in Exhibit 8, please.
- 15 A. Again, it can start here and I think
- there's a three-dimensional component, as well,
- again, based on a lot of factors that we mentioned
- earlier on, the strength of the bone.
- 19 Q. So those same factors that you keep
- 20 mentioning, you're saying without knowing that
- 21 information, you can't determine where the lip
- osteophyte is, correct?

- 1 A. Or the extent of it, yes.
- Q. Like you said, you can't tell where it
- 3 starts and where it stops, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So in paragraph 37 of your declaration --
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. -- you refer to the lip in reference to
- 8 the term "lip osteophyte" as the anterior portion of
- 9 the outer cortical ring. Is that consistent with
- what you've just depicted in Exhibit 8 in orange
- 11 marker?
- 12 A. Again, I think there's a range of
- "anterior" and I think, you know, I worded it that
- 14 way for this particular application because that's
- where the base plate stabilization systems are placed
- on the spine, so that's what I referred to there.
- But, again, the length, the depth, all those, all
- 18 those quantifiable features of it vary based on
- 19 the -- the caveats given.
- Q. So just to make sure I understand your
- 21 testimony, yes, you agree that the depiction of a lip
- osteophyte which you've drawn on Exhibit 8 is

- 1 consistent with your description of the lip as being
- the anterior portion of the outer cortical ring which
- is in paragraph 37 of your declaration, correct?
- A. Again, that anterior portion is part of
- 5 the lip, yes.
- 6 Q. And you also agree that the lip osteophyte
- as that term is used in the '234 and '537 patents, is
- 8 the same as the corner of the bone as that term is
- 9 used in the '234 and '537 patents, correct?
- 10 A. I wouldn't necessarily say the corner. I
- 11 think it's -- again, it's a range. It depends on how
- 12 thick the cortical bone is, the anatomy. All the --
- 13 the same things before determines its location, its
- 14 thickness.
- 0. Is that all?
- 16 A. Yeah, that's all I have.
- Q. So if you could turn to the '234 patent
- 18 that's Exhibit 4. If you could look at column six,
- 19 50 to 52, please.
- 20 A. 50? Okay.
- Q. It states, "The secondary member 22
- engages a corner or the lip osteophyte 74 of a second

- vertebral body 16."
- Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So that is consistent with your
- 5 understanding that the corner of the bone as that
- 6 term is used in the '234 and '537 is consistent with
- 7 the meaning of the term "lip osteophyte" as it's also
- 8 used in these patents, correct?
- 9 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 10 foundation.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Can you please repeat
- 12 that question?
- 13 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. You agree that the "corner of the bone" as
- that term is used in the patents is consistent with
- the meaning of the term "lip osteophyte" as that term
- is also used in the patents, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 19 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, as stated in the
- 21 patent, you know, it engages a corner or the lip
- osteophyte of the second vertebral body.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. So yes, you agree they have the same
- 3 meaning?
- 4 A. I think that's the general area where the
- 5 strongest bone is.
- 6 Q. So is that a "yes" or "no"?
- 7 A. I don't know if they have the same
- 8 meaning, but they could have, they could be both the
- 9 strong -- area strongest bone, depending on the
- 10 patient, and depending on everything else I said
- 11 before.
- 12 Q. So you're saying in certain circumstances,
- those two terms have the same meaning, correct?
- 14 A. No, I wouldn't say they have the same
- meaning, but I think they're both the area of the
- strongest bone. And, again, that area is based on
- the thickness, the depth, you know, all the other
- 18 caveats that I had before.
- 19 Q. Let me -- let me ask the question
- 20 differently because I -- well, perhaps we do, perhaps
- we don't, but let me try this a different way.
- You agree that the lip osteophyte as that

- term is used in the patents refers to the strongest
- 2 part of the bone, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And you agree that the corner of the bone
- 5 is the junction between the top surface and side
- 6 surface of the bones as that term is used in the
- 7 patents, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you agree that a lip osteophyte, when
- 10 it's the strongest portion of the bone, is also
- located at the junction between the top and side
- 12 surfaces, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 14 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know. That
- may -- it may change and it may vary based on the
- thickness of the cortical bone. So it's not at a
- 18 point in space, it's based on the thickness on the
- 19 cortical bone and, again, based on all those factors
- that I've given before.
- 21 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. You agree that the cortical bone is the

- 1 strongest part of the bone, correct?
- A. Compared to cancellous bone?
- Q. Compared to any other part of the bone.
- 4 A. I mean, cortical bone is the strongest
- 5 bone, yes.
- 6 Q. And you agree that some vertebral
- osteophytes can be formed of cortical bone, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Previously we were talking about the area
- of the bone that's the corner of the bone as that
- term is used in the '234 and '537 patents, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And you said that that corner had a range
- in terms of where it started and where it stopped,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. If you could go back to Exhibit 8, please.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. That's where you depicted the region of
- the lip osteophyte.
- 21 A. I'm sorry, where are you?
- Q. So I'm looking at Exhibit 8, please.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

- 1 A. 8?
- Q. That's the pictures. So I think it is
- 3 underneath --
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. -- the one you're looking at now.
- 6 A. Oh, I thought you said one.
- 7 Q. Yeah. No, sorry about that.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So let's focus on Exhibit 8.
- 10 A. All right.
- 11 Q. Exhibit 8, as you recall, that's where you
- depicted regions where lip osteophyte may occur,
- 13 according to your understanding of that term,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Is that the same region where the corner
- of the bone is as that term is used in the '234 and
- 18 '537 patents?
- 19 A. Again, it could be. I mean, it just
- depends on the -- the thickness of the bone and where
- it's located. It could move around.
- Q. Does have it the same caveats that you

- 1 gave for the osteophyte, that it varies based upon
- the bone and that you can't determine where it stops
- 3 and starts?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And you also agree it comes with the same
- 6 caveats that you listed on there about you would need
- 7 more information about imaging and patient-specific
- 8 factors in order to determine the corner of the bone,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. I would personally need it to feel
- 11 comfortable doing that today, yes.
- 12 Q. Is it possible for the corner of the bone
- as that term is used in the '234 and '537 patents to
- include a region that does not include the junction
- of the top and side surfaces of the bone?
- 16 A. I'm sorry, repeat that again. I'm trying
- to think it through.
- 18 Q. You agree that the corner of the bone
- 19 always includes the junction between the top and side
- 20 surfaces, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 22 foundation.

- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't say that would
- 2 always include the junction. No.
- 3 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. So there are instances where the corner of
- 5 the bone could only include the side surface and not
- 6 including the top surface, correct?
- 7 A. No. I just said it's not at the junction.
- Q. Do you agree that the corner of the bone
- 9 could include a region that is only on the top
- 10 surface and not the side surface of the bone?
- 11 A. No. I didn't say that, as well.
- 12 Q. Are you saying that's not possible?
- 13 A. Again, I think in order to -- based on the
- strongest part of the bone and the way that lies, it
- 15 could be in that region somewhere, not necessarily at
- the junction, not only a horizontal component, not a
- vertical component, somewhere in that area. And,
- again, to determine that I would need additional
- 19 information.
- 20 Q. And one of the pieces of information you
- 21 need is where the strongest part of the bone is,
- 22 correct?

- A. Again, that's -- that's how I defined it
- and that's -- that's what I'd be looking for to do
- 3 that.
- Q. And you agree the reason you don't know
- 5 what the strongest part of the bone is in Figure 6
- 6 and 8 is because it's going to vary from patient to
- 7 patient based upon the factors you described earlier,
- 8 correct?
- A. Yeah. I mean, those are the components
- 10 that I gave as caveats to where the strongest bone's
- 11 located, and that's what I would require and need to
- be able to provide you the location that you're
- 13 asking for.
- Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 4, please.
- 15 That's the '234 patent. And then if you could turn
- to Figure 3, please. And if you orient the page in
- 17 landscape, such that Figure 3 is at the bottom of the
- 18 page --
- 19 A. You mean this?
- Q. Yeah, like that.
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- Q. I think that will help us stay on line.

- On the right-hand side of this image, do
- you see screw 25?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You agree that that screw goes through the
- 5 corner of the bone 16, correct?
- A. I mean, it's showing a cross-sectional
- view, so -- I mean, it could go through the corner,
- 8 if, again, that's the location of the strongest bone
- 9 as depicted by that -- that schematic.
- 10 Q. So it's your opinion that that screw would
- 11 not go through the corner of the bone lip osteophyte
- if that region is not the strongest part of the bone,
- 13 correct?
- A. No, I'm not saying that it wouldn't. I'm
- saying that's where they're trying to place these
- screws, is in the strongest bone.
- Q. Right. So my question is does screw 25 go
- through the corner of bone 16, yes or no?
- 19 A. I mean, it's -- it's shown overlaid on
- that geometrical corner, again, of the schematic in
- the drawing. But I don't, I don't know what the
- quality or -- of the bone is or that sort of thing,

- so -- I mean, from the schematic, it's at the corner
- of that square.
- Q. So is that a yes, it goes through the
- 4 corner of the bone?
- 5 A. Yes, it goes through that corner of that
- 6 square.
- 7 Q. And that's because it goes through both
- 8 the top surface and side surface of bone 16, correct?
- A. Again, I don't -- you know, it's a
- 10 two-dimensional drawing of a three-dimensional --
- 11 because of the -- it looks like it goes through those
- 12 two components.
- 13 Q. So it's your opinion that if the corner of
- 14 the bone that screw 25 penetrates through is not the
- strongest part of the bone, then that screw is not
- going through the corner of the bone with the lip
- osteophyte, correct?
- 18 A. No, I didn't say that. Again, it's not a
- 19 point in space. It's a three-dimensional image, it
- has a depth, a thickness and a width, and I think
- 21 it's going in the general area. But, again, I --
- 22 based on this schematic, I can't tell you if that

- point is the strongest structural bone.
- Q. And because you don't know what the
- 3 strongest part of the bone is, you can't tell if the
- 4 screw is going through the corner of the bone lip
- 5 osteophyte, correct?
- 6 A. Again, if I had the information and the
- ⁷ data to determine where that was, I could tell you if
- 8 that screw was going through it.
- 9 Q. Also on Figure 3 on the left, do you see
- bone 14 and screw 24?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you agree that screw 24 does not go
- through the corner of bone 14, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 15 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, from the -- the box
- schematic on there, it doesn't go through that point,
- 18 but it could be that the -- the area of strongest
- bone and based on the -- the strength of the bone and
- the other parameters that I discussed, it could shift
- in a different orientation.
- 22 BY MR. SHERWIN:

- 1 Q. You agree that screw 24 does not go
- through the top surface of the bone, correct?
- A. Again, in this two-dimensional view, it
- 4 appears that it's going on the side.
- 5 Q. So you agree it does not go through the
- 6 top surface of the bone, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. And you would agree that screw 24 is not
- 9 going through the lip osteophyte of bone 14, correct?
- 10 A. Again --
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 12 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know because
- 14 I don't know the quantity -- I mean the
- 15 characteristics or the -- the strength of that bone
- in that area. That could be the strongest bone at
- 17 that location.
- 18 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 19 Q. SO as you're using the term "corner,"
- you're not using it in its plain and ordinary
- 21 meaning, correct?
- 22 A. No. I think that I am.

- 1 Q. You agree that the corner of the bone has
- to be the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- A. Again, I -- I think "corner," it's not a
- point, it's a range, and, again, that's driven by
- 5 where the bone is the strongest. It can vary in, you
- 6 know, thickness, depth, width, location against one
- ⁷ side or the other. It's where the strongest bone is.
- Q. So your opinion is that the corner of the
- 9 bone, as it's used in these patents, is the region of
- 10 bone at the junction between the top and side surface
- that is also the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 13 foundation and vague.
- 14 THE WITNESS: So can you clarify
- "junction" between the two surfaces?
- MR. SHERWIN: The intersection, the point
- where those two surfaces meet.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Again, I think the area of
- 19 strongest bone falls into a range that I don't have
- the information to determine where it is.
- 21 MR. SHERWIN: So my question was a little
- different, so let me try it again.

- 1 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- Q. You agree that the corner of the bone as
- 3 it's used in the patents has to include the junction
- 4 with the top surface and side surface and it has to
- 5 be the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- 6 MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 7 foundation.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know that it
- 9 has to include the junction.
- 10 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 11 O. Does the word "corner" as it's used in the
- 12 '234 and '537 patents have a plain and ordinary
- meaning?
- 14 A. I didn't look at that definition
- 15 specifically.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you know if the
- word "corner" has a plain and ordinary meaning as
- it's used in these patents?
- 19 A. I didn't really look at it. I was focused
- on lip osteophyte.
- Q. So you don't know, correct?
- A. I didn't consider it, no.

- Q. It's your opinion that bony outgrowths may
- not be the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you also agree that bony outgrowths
- 5 sometimes are the strongest part of the bone?
- A. I guess there could be instances where
- 7 they are.
- Q. And this goes back to your discussion
- 9 before about how there's a lot of factors about what
- goes into the strongest part of the bone, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. An so there could be instances where a
- bony outgrowth is the strongest part of the bone,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. I mean, there could be.
- Q. You agree that when the patents refer to
- the strongest part of the bone, they're not referring
- 18 to bone that's stronger than cortical bone, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, lacks
- 20 foundation.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I hadn't really considered
- in that term, it was just the strongest bone that was

IPR2020-00264

- 1 available.
- 2 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 3 Q. You're not aware of any other bone being
- 4 described in the '234 and '537 patents as being
- 5 stronger than cortical bone, right?
- A. Again, I don't know. They were just, you
- 7 know, looking for the strongest structural bone in
- 8 the vertebral body.
- 9 Q. Paragraph 30 of your declaration that's
- 10 Exhibit 1, do you want to take a look at it. You
- 11 state that it's possible for ossification to extend
- 12 into the bone.
- 13 A. I'm sorry, I -- where --
- Q. Sure. Go to paragraph 38.
- 15 A. Oh, 38.
- Q. Yeah.
- I think I have the wrong cite.
- 18 So I'm still looking at paragraph 38 that
- extends from page 11 to page 12, and it's on page 12
- where it talks about "ossification of the bone can
- 21 extend"[...]
- 22 A. Okay.

IPR2020-00264

- Q. You agree that the '234 and '537 patent
- claims do not refer to ossification that extends into
- the bone as it relates to a lip osteophyte, correct?
- A. I'm sorry, extends into the bone?
- 5 Q. Correct.
- 6 A. Again, I'm struggling to describe it.
- 7 It's located where the strongest bone is.
- Q. Mr. Drewry, you also gave a description in
- 9 your declaration about the meaning of the side
- 10 surface, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 O. And you agree that the word "side" as it's
- used in that term is a modifier -- modifier that
- 14 describes its location on a bone, correct?
- 15 A. I don't know that I'd call it a modifier.
- 16 I think it's the surgeons looking down into the
- 17 patient as his frame of reference and perspective as
- he was doing this procedure, he would have a side
- 19 surface of the vertebral body that faces the adjacent
- side surface of the, again, adjacent vertebral body.
- Q. So is it your testimony that the word
- "side" does not modify the word "surface" as it's

- 1 used in this term?
- A. I'm not sure I understand what you mean by
- 3 "modify."
- 4 Q. Do you agree that the word "side" defines
- 5 which surface is being referred to in this term?
- 6 A. Again, in a way that that surface is
- 7 defined as generally facing the adjacent vertebral
- 8 body's side surface, as well.
- 9 Q. You agree that there's multiple surfaces
- on the vertebral body, correct?
- 11 A. There are, yes.
- 12 Q. And you agree that it will be proper to
- 13 consider the plain and ordinary meaning of the word
- 14 "side" in order to determine which surface is the
- side surface of the bone, correct?
- 16 A. No. I would rely on the perspective and
- 17 frame of reference given in the patent to determine
- what was meant by that term.
- 19 Q. Is it your opinion that it would be
- 20 improper to look at a dictionary definition of the
- 21 word "side" in order to determine what the word "side
- 22 surface" means in these patents?

IPR2020-00264

March 5, 2020

- 1 A. I'm sorry, repeat the question.
- Q. Is it your opinion that it would be
- 3 improper to look at a dictionary definition of the
- word "side" in order to determine what the word "side"
- 5 surface" means?
- A. No. I mean, I think going in the patent
- 7 and looking at the description and definition of the
- 8 "side surface" would provide them with that
- 9 information.
- 10 Q. So it's your opinion that it would be
- improper to look at a dictionary definition, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Mischaracterize --
- objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't think it would be
- improper. I just think you need the proper context,
- 16 perspective in reference to understand what side
- 17 surface and orientation they're discussing.
- 18 BY MR. SHERWIN:
- 19 Q. You've not offered any definition for the
- 20 term "bone graft material," correct?
- 21 A. I -- again, I don't believe I was asked to
- opine on a definition.

- Q. So if you could take a look at Exhibit 1,
- that's your declaration, page 15, you have a section
- on bone graft material, do you see that?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. You're not offering any definition for
- 6 this term in your declaration, correct?
- 7 A. I didn't. Again, I was asked to provide
- 8 an opinion on the language involving the term "bone
- 9 graft material" but not a definition.
- 10 Q. So you're saying that you don't have any
- opinion about what that term should be defined as,
- 12 your only opinion is about whether Defendants'
- construction is correct or incorrect?
- 14 A. Again, I didn't think that it needed a
- definition. I wasn't asked to provide a definition,
- 16 I was just asked to opine on the position that the
- 17 Defendants had taken with regard to bone graft
- 18 material.
- 19 Q. You agree that at a minimum, bone graft
- 20 material can include particulates, powders or paste,
- 21 correct?
- A. And, again, I think this, as I looked at

- it and thought about it as bone graft material as --
- I look at particulate, powder and paste as more of
- not necessarily materials per se as -- I don't know,
- 4 I'm drawing a blank on the word, more types or
- 5 formulations or forms of bone graft material as
- opposed to the bone graft -- as opposed to bone graft
- 7 materials.
- 8 Q. So you agree that bone graft material can
- 9 include particulates, powder and paste, but your
- opinion is that it could also include other things,
- is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct. And, again, I think these
- 13 are forms.
- 14 Q. And you agree that bone graft material is
- 15 added during surgery, correct?
- 16 A. It could be added, yes.
- Q. And you agree it's not added before the
- 18 surgeon receives the device or after the surgery is
- 19 complete, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you agree that bone graft material
- doesn't include, for example, like the metal base

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

IPR2020-00264

- 1 plate, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you agree it doesn't include things
- 4 like PEEK and tie PEEK spacers, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. So your opinion of the proper construction
- ⁷ for this term is that it includes what Defendants
- 8 have listed, which is particulate, powder or paste
- 9 added during surgery to fill the gaps between the two
- 10 bones but it should also include other things,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Again, I think what's stated there is
- particulate, powder and paste, you know, are forms of
- bone graft material and it goes beyond that. I mean,
- 15 I think I listed a few as bone, you know, we use
- 16 BMPs, bone morphogenetic protein, other synthetic
- 17 graft extenders. One of the articles we produced was
- on the sea coral and other demineralized bone matrix.
- 19 So I think that's the -- those are the materials.
- 20 And all of those materials -- well, not
- 21 all of them. But, I mean, some of those can be
- 22 provided in the form of a particulate or a powder or

Petitioners 1022-189

- a paste. But could be also in a lot of other forms,
- 2 as well.
- Q. So the answer to the question is yes, it
- 4 includes what's listed in Defendants' position but
- 5 also includes other things, correct?
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: Objection, asked and
- ⁷ answered.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Again, I think bone graft
- 9 materials are materials like we listed, and
- 10 particulate, powder and paste are forms of the
- 11 materials.
- MR. SHERWIN: Why don't we go off the
- 13 record now and let's sync up on the Defendants' side
- 14 and see if we have anything else.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 2:26,
- going off the record.
- 17 (A break was taken.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- 19 record, the time is 2:32.
- MR. GRIFFITH: So that's all that we have.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: I have a couple of
- questions for Mr. Drewry.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

IPR2020-00264

- 1 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
- 2 BY MR. PIETRANTONIO:
- Q. Mr. Drewry, do you remember earlier today
- 4 Mr. Griffith was asking you some questions about
- 5 Exhibit 3, the '537 patent? And in particular, he
- 6 was asking about some of the drawing figures in the
- 7 '537 patent. Do you remember that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Could you turn to Figure 32 for a second
- in the '537 patent.
- Now, Mr. Griffith asked you a couple of
- 12 questions about Figure 32, did he not?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And he pointed you to a section of the
- specification that described that figure, didn't he?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Let me turn your attention to column 29,
- and in particular lines 8 through 12 or 13.
- Do you see those lines?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Then there's reference to a primary member
- 22 600, right, in Figure 32?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

IPR2020-00264

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And Mr. Griffith asked you a few questions
- 3 about that, didn't he?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. All right. So now I'd like you to turn to
- 6 Figure 35 for a second, please.
- Now, Mr. Griffith asked you a few
- 9 questions about Figure 35, too, didn't he, today?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Right. If you could look at column 34 of
- 11 the patent, please.
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- 13 Q. And if you go down to line 50, you see it
- 14 says, "Figure 35 illustrates that the primary member
- 15 600 can include a detachable chamber member 696 that
- encloses the open area or a peripherally surrounded
- chamber 692 that is configured to receive fusion
- 18 material." Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, that terminology, "primary member
- 21 600" was used in connection with Figure 32 in that
- 22 passage that Mr. Griffith asked you about earlier

- today, isn't that correct?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- O. And in connection with Figure 35, is
- 4 primary member 600 a base plate in Figure 35?
- MR. GRIFFITH: Objection, leading.
- 6 THE WITNESS: And I think they're both
- 7 primary members 600. And I think that once they look
- 8 at after they're assembled and they were treated as
- 9 the same component after assembly and the fact that
- they're the same number, I guess they'd be considered
- 11 the same in 32 and 35.
- 12 BY MR. PIETRANTONIA:
- Q. And Figure 35 is, in the specification, it
- 14 refers to primary member having a detachable chamber,
- do you see that? In column 34, lines 50 and
- 16 following?
- 17 A. Figure -- can include -- yes.
- 18 Q. So is primary member 600 distinct from
- member 696 in Figure 35?
- MR. GRIFFITH: Objection, leading.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Again, it can include it.
- It can include the detachable member 696.

- 1 BY MR. PIETRANTONIA:
- Q. But in the drawing figure, Figure 35,
- 3 looking at the drawing figure, is 696 distinct from
- 4 element 600 in drawing Figure 35?
- 5 MR. GRIFFITH: Objection, leading.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Again, I think they're two
- 7 different pieces.
- 8 BY MR. PIETRANTONIA:
- 9 Q. And so as two different pieces, is element
- 10 600, the primary member in Figure 35, a base plate
- 11 for Figure 35?
- MR. GRIFFITH: Objection, leading.
- THE WITNESS: Again, in this, as we
- 14 discussed earlier, I think they're distinct from each
- other. In one, we talked about a spacer. This, I
- think it's part of a spacer along with a base plate.
- 17 BY MR. PIETRANTONIO:
- 18 Q. And so what part would be the base plate
- in Figure 35?
- 20 A. I think it'd be part of the primary member
- 21 600.
- MR. PIETRANTONIO: No further questions.

```
195
                FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL
          FOR DEFENDANT DePUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, INC.
3
                 AND DePUY SYNTHES SALES, INC.
    BY MR. GRIFFITH:
5
                Mr. Drewry, did you discuss the substance
          Ο.
6
    of these questions with counsel on any breaks today?
                No, sir, we did not.
                MR. GRIFFITH: I have no further
    questions.
10
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is March 5th, 2020,
11
    the time is now 2:39 p.m., completing today's
12
    deposition. Off the record.
13
                (Discussion off the record.)
14
                THE REPORTER: Read and sign?
15
                MR. PIETRANTONIO: Yes, please.
16
                (Thereupon, the deposition was concluded
17
    at 2:39 p.m., March 5, 2020.)
18
19
20
21
22
```

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Donna A. Peterson, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and therefore reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

District of Columbia

Signed and sworn to and affirmed, by Donna A.

Peterson, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 9th day of March, 2020.

My Commission Expires: January 14, 2025

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

		197
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
2		
3	I,, do hereby	
4	acknowledge that I have read and examined the	
5	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	
6	and complete transcription of the testimony given by	
7	me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata	
8	Sheet signed by me.	
9		
10		
11	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)	
12		
13	NOTARIZATION (If Required)	
14	State of	
15	County of	
16	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on	
17	this, day of, 20, by	
18	, proved to me on the	
19	basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who	
20	appeared before me.	
21	Signature:	
22	(Seal)	

				1
	74040	1 454 5 00	07.0	104004
A	74:3,13	154:5,20	37:8	134:3,21
a.m 2:20 9:13	adjacent 139:8	155:7 156:13	anatomy	137:20 138:3
ability 133:4	184:19,20	156:17,21	116:10	138:4 139:9
able 48:3	185:7	157:16 158:3	153:15	139:13
56:17 70:5	administer	158:16,21	167:12	apophysesal
70:21 114:15	10:16	159:11	and/or 111:13	122:15
134:10	adopted	160:10 161:3	angled 53:16	apparent
135:16 141:8	104:15,17	161:14 162:7	77:14,17,19	119:21
141:13 158:4	affect 121:15	162:15	77:20	appear 162:3
159:6,13	121:18,19	166:21 167:6	angles 77:11	197:7
161:1 ⁸	122:3,5,11	168:14 169:2	77:11	appeared
175:12	affirmed	169:22 170:4	anomaly 150:2	197:20
absolutely	196:14	170:9,22	answer 12:18	appears 80:15
92:4	197:16	171:6 173:5	74:21 80:12	162:17 165:5
abuts 136:6	affix 26:1	173:18 174:8	91:11 135:18	179:4
abutting 88:20	affixed 196:15	175:4 176:4	190:3	application
89:5	afraid 77:5	178:12 179:1	answered	20:18 80:1
accomplished	age 125:2,18	179:5,8	31:16 91:19	114:17
149:10	ago 12:9 68:6	180:1 181:2	190:7	166:14
accurate	98:20 111:17	182:4,16	answers 21:18	applications
156:17	111:19	184:1,12	31:15	39:12
acknowledge	113:13	185:4,9,12	anterior 20:21	appreciate
197:4	agree 42:18	187:19 188:8	24:4 37:8,17	63:13
ACKNOWLE	49:5,13 53:9	188:14,17,21	37:19 45:7	appropriate
197:1	55:21 116:11	189:3	45:15 46:16	18:3,9
actual 93:14	116:15	agreed 143:1	46:22 47:2,9	approximate
add 31:2 106:8	117:12,19	152:20	48:6 49:13	125:13
132:18	118:1 119:2	agreement	49:19 50:6	Approximat
II	124:6 127:4	142:20	51:8,13,16	15:7
added 60:6	127:15,20	ahead 128:12	69:2 70:11	area 35:8
83:3 188:15	131:15	aid 23:16	118:16	45:22 61:7
188:16,17	134:16,18	allograft 32:8	119:22 139:5	61:17 67:2
189:9	135:21	32:11,18,19	163:7 166:8	98:15 110:4
addition 106:17	136:12 137:5	33:6,17	166:13 167:2	113:5 114:18
	137:9,19	66:18	167:4	169:4,9,15
additional	138:2,17	allowed 24:22	anteriorly	169:16 171:9
32:15 69:7	140:7,10	analysis	47:21 69:22	174:17
83:2,4	142:8,21	102:15	77:11,20	177:21
101:20	143:22 144:8	103:19	apologize 40:3	178:18
174:18	144:13 145:6	103:19	85:20	179:16
address 11:17	146:17 147:5	anatomic	apophyseal	180:18
11:20 18:15	147:17,20	135:8 155:16	118:12	192:16
addressed	*	anatomical	122:22 123:4	areas 105:21
105:6 131:22	148:3,7,13	36:22		105:22
adjacency	151:12,17		132:20,20	
	153:2,9,18	anatomically	133:2,7,11	117:10 126:6

art 48:3 74:1	57:20 58:7	aware 39:20	65:17 68:7	10:12
74:12 79:18	193:9	99:1 155:3	68:15,19	believe 12:6
80:2,8 108:9	assist 14:9	183:3	69:16 70:2	14:19 15:5
108:14,19	association		70:10 71:19	18:22 20:17
113:4 158:4	9:17	B	72:11 73:2	25:2 31:12
158:17 159:4	assume 12:19	B 7:8 8:1	74:17,20	40:20 52:15
arthrodesis	45:6,14 69:1	bachelor's	76:12 78:2,3	62:13 65:9
20:12,16,21	86:14 88:1,9	111:10	78:21 79:6	67:13 68:7
article 102:7	assuming	back 14:22	80:1 81:20	75:16 81:7
articles 101:18	69:21 86:14	23:8 33:13	86:3 88:6,12	87:19,20
189:17	90:2 91:14	37:13 44:22	90:8 91:8,21	90:20 93:12
asked 14:8,21	assumptions	46:4 54:21	166:15	95:1 97:19
17:14 18:17	124:22	76:1,20 79:2	188:22 193:4	99:3 100:13
31:16 35:6	125:20	79:5 83:10	194:10,16,18	101:1 102:18
40:19 61:6	Atlantis 7:13	88:13,13	base-plate	105:8,13
62:18 91:19	23:17 24:1,3	89:3 92:8	58:12	103.6,13
96:21 99:9	· ·	98:10 100:20	based 42:6	123:21 146:2
	24:11,12,19	106:1 114:11		
103:12 104:9	24:22 25:19	114:17	45:2,2 53:8	146:21
104:15 105:4	26:1,12,16	115:18	67:16 73:13	186:21
142:12 143:4	26:21 27:8		82:12,14	191:16
144:10 151:1	27:22 30:15	148:17	94:15 125:12	bell 25:6
186:21 187:7	attached 7:9	152:14	127:10 130:2	Berger 6:5
187:15,16	13:7 23:19	153:14 154:9	131:19,22	11:4,4
190:6 191:11	40:5 57:10	158:11	135:7 139:18	best 16:6
192:2,7,22	61:22 67:18	163:11	148:11	better 118:10
asking 27:11	105:15	171:17 182:8	164:22	125:8 129:4
34:7 59:3	113:22 124:3	_ 190:18	165:17	beyond 189:14
62:15 63:22	145:18	Background	166:18	Biedermann
65:7 83:4,5	163:15 197:7	19:6	169:16	95:11,13
89:8 91:17	attention 19:2	bad 22:4	170:16,18,19	big 95:17
93:1 116:9	29:5 34:10	Bagga 102:5	173:1 174:13	biomedical
128:18	35:9 52:17	base 29:10,13	175:7 177:22	111:11,12
134:14	53:12 56:11	29:19 35:7	178:19	bit 42:16 71:4
135:19	64:11 65:11	41:7,9,14,19	basis 197:19	124:9
175:13 191:4	71:5 76:2	42:1,2,8,10	bear 26:6 27:3	black 67:7
191:6	191:17	42:11,18	27:5 39:16	140:1,2,3
aspect 123:16	attorney 4:5,6	43:13,18	beared 18:22	164:1
aspects	4:15,16 5:4,5	44:2,11	26:3,11	blank 95:19
149:18	5:6,17 6:5	45:10 52:22	bearing 23:10	188:4
assembled	160:13	53:2,7,9,10	26:6	blue 133:7
57:4,7,18	available 31:4	53:13 54:2,5	begins 72:6	BMPs 189:16
58:1,3,8,13	183:1	54:7,9 56:9	75:12 90:5	BOCKIUS 5:8
58:14,15,19	Avenue 4:18	57:10,15	behalf 4:3,14	bodies 123:9
59:10 193:8	5:19 6:7	58:6,18 59:7	5:3,16 6:3	149:3
		59:8,11,13	·	
assembly	avoid 23:2	00.0,11,10	9:22 10:1,4	body 37:7

-				3
50:11 117:11	72.4 6 7 0 11	165:10 167:0	20:0.11	
117:16	73:4,6,7,9,11	165:18 167:8 167:12 168:5	20:9,11	<u> </u>
117:16	74:3,10,13 74:17,19,21	168:14 169:5	116:12,16 136:3,8	C 4:1 5:1,1,6
124:19	74.17,19,21 76:22 77:3		144:14 145:8	6:1,1 7:1 8:1
		169:9,16		9:1 138:8
126:11,21,22	78:4,5,9,12	170:2,4,10	146:18 150:2	139:19
127:6 128:20	80:3,5,6	170:17,19,22	156:14,22	165:14
128:21 131:2	82:12,14	171:1,2,3,4,5	157:5,17	C.A 1:5,10,15
132:4 133:1	83:1,1,12,13	171:7,10,10	182:1,4,13	2:3,10
135:21 136:9	84:2,3,19,20	172:17,20	borders	cage 27:5,9,10
136:16 137:6	85:4,5,12	173:2,8,12	125:11,13	27:14,20
138:18 140:7	86:12,13,19	173:15,18	bore 26:4	28:4,7,7,11
140:10	86:19 87:5,6	174:5,8,10	bottom 65:18	28:12,17,18
141:17	87:11,12,22	174:14,21	71:7,11,18	28:19,21
149:18	88:1,2,5,7,8	175:5 176:5	72:12,15	31:17 32:1,7
150:16 155:8	88:10,12,20	176:8,11,12	73:2,10,19	32:10,16
156:14	89:5,5,21,22	176:16,18,22	74:2,8,12	33:5,16 34:2
164:11 168:1	90:2,3,7,9,12	177:4,8,14	75:21 76:12	34:5,17 35:3
168:22 183:8	91:10,14,14	177:15,16	76:13,16	35:11 50:20
184:19,20	116:21	178:1,3,4,10	78:1,3,16	66:6,11,21
185:10	117:10,12,13	178:13,19,19	79:3 81:21	67:4,10,14
body's 185:8	117:17,19	179:2,6,9,15	86:2 126:10	cages 26:22
bone 19:9,13	118:2,6	179:16 180:1	137:3 175:17	30:15,17
20:2,6,15	119:18,19	180:2,5,7,9	box 178:16	32:13,22
21:7,12,14	120:6,8,20	180:10,11,19	boxed 67:7	55:2
22:6,15	121:18 122:4	181:2,5	Bray 72:11	call 37:19 38:1
23:11 27:20	122:10 125:5	182:2,5,10	111:15 112:3	46:16 58:20
28:3,6,8,8,12	125:6,9,10	182:13,17,18	112:6 113:10	58:22 59:5
28:20 30:5,6	125:11,16,18	182:18,22	113:20 115:4	103:5 184:15
30:10,11,18	127:13	183:3,5,7,12	142:15 143:2	called 10:19
32:5,14,20	131:10,13,19	183:20 184:3	154:10	20:12,16
33:6,17 38:9	131:20	184:4,7,14	158:13	22:19 45:10
38:16,22	133:17,19	185:15	break 54:13,20	53:6 65:3
39:1,4,11,14	135:3,12,16	186:20 187:3	92:2,7 152:3	66:15 67:8
43:21 44:19	138:11,14,18	187:8,17,19	152:8,10,13	67:10,11
46:7,12 47:3	139:8 142:18	188:1,5,6,6,8	152:17	68:2 80:20
60:2,3,17	142:19	188:14,21	190:17	90:20 115:4
61:10 63:17	147:18,21	189:14,15,16	breaks 195:6	calling 47:2
63:19 64:19	149:9,20	189:18 190:8	Breetz 4:16	87:21 88:1
65:2,3,5,9,18	150:1 151:3	bone's 175:10	10:5,5	90:7
65:21 66:2,7	151:3,10	bones 83:18	brief 100:22	calls 18:13
66:12,13,15	153:16 154:1	84:8,8 86:15	bring 36:20	42:4 64:6
66:19,21	154:11,12	89:21 130:3	broader	107:16 108:3
67:4,8,14	161:10,15,21	135:5 170:6	120:12	Calvin 4:15
69:22 71:20	162:8,16	189:10	burrow 37:6	10:3
72:13,18	164:22	bony 19:15		
		•	•	•

				4
	4004	70.0.70.40	450 4 7 0 40	450.40
cancellous	196:1	72:9 73:19	159:4,7,9,16	159:19
117:13,18	certify 196:4	73:22 74:4,7	160:11,21	combined
118:1 125:10	cervical 20:21	74:10,17	161:1,4,7	145:2
131:10 171:2	98:15 135:3	75:2,10	162:9,12,17	come 68:5
caps 39:6	chamber 30:4	78:16 101:22	162:21 184:2	114:11
career 98:3	30:5 32:3,4	105:16	clarify 126:18	comes 102:13
cartilage 136:3	36:13 55:9	142:15	180:14	173:5
136:6,7,9	55:10,18	claim 7:12	clarity 36:20	comfortable
case 14:2	56:2 57:4	13:14 14:9	164:13	70:14 125:11
16:19 27:22	60:2,2 61:9	16:9,19 17:3	clear 12:16	126:15
31:18 59:16	192:15,17	17:15 18:17	22:3 37:6	134:13
84:4 93:17	193:14	19:1 62:22	83:1 125:17	135:13
94:1,9 95:2,4	change 83:20	68:8,14	129:11	173:11
95:7 97:5,6,7	106:17	69:10 80:15	138:21	Commission
97:8 99:15	170:16	80:15 81:2,6	Cleveland	196:18
100:22 101:5	changes 105:2	81:13,14,17	4:19	companies
101:9 106:12	106:11	82:4,5,8,9	clinical 142:16	93:19
196:10	characteristic	83:6,7,14,14	158:13	companies'
cases 9:6 12:3	30:9	83:21,21	close 93:12	98:22
92:11,18,19	characteristi	84:5,6,10,14	closed 36:16	company
93:10,13	179:15	84:22 85:8,8	closest 91:9	95:12
95:3 96:13	charts 103:6	85:15 86:6,8	co-extensive	compared
96:13,15,17	103:10	86:16,17	133:12	121:11
96:20,21	Chicago 5:10	99:5 100:21	coaching	128:20 171:2
97:3	choose 82:12	101:4 102:15	44:14	171:3
cause 20:8	82:14	103:6,18,20	color 129:6	comparison
55:2 127:12	choosing	104:1,9,14	164:5	70:15
141:2	27:21	105:4,5,10	colors 164:8	complaint
caused 19:14	chose 82:13	151:6 152:1	Columbia 3:16	94:11
caveat 125:15	104:20,20	157:21	196:13,22	complete
caveats 126:1	chosen 82:12	158:10	column 52:17	16:17 50:11
130:6 137:14	circle 124:20	159:13 160:8	53:13 56:12	114:1 188:19
164:14,15	circled 138:8	161:15,19	56:15 65:12	197:6
166:19	139:22	claimed 17:6	72:2,5 75:9	completely
169:18	circles 126:12	29:13,19	76:3 81:10	14:17 36:14
172:22 173:6	circumstance	109:2,9	81:17 85:22	116:17
172.22 173.6	25:16,18,22	claims 14:13	167:18	
CD 97:14		18:5,10		completing 195:11
	circumstanc	,	191:17	
Center 9:11	27:2 128:2,3	41:13,16,22	192:10	complexity
certain 128:2	169:12	42:2 43:9	193:15	78:11
169:12	cite 16:7,14,16	71:8 82:16	combination	complications
certainly 74:2	43:12 65:4	83:3 84:15	132:15	19:15
74:12 94:17	72:2 75:6	107:13 143:8	144:11 145:3	component
150:15	183:17	144:1 151:14	155:21	50:18 58:5,6
CERTIFICATE	cited 15:17	151:19 158:4	combine	58:11,12
<u> </u>	•	•	•	•

				<u> </u>
59:12,13	120:16	consolidated	79:7,8	28:4,12
118:11,11,13	conjunction	9:6	continuous	29:10,14,20
	14:19			29:21 33:1,8
123:3,5		constitute	79:1,3,9 91:8	,
130:9,20	connected	36:12	91:21	33:19 35:12
131:19,21	50:10,12,13	construction	contractual	40:12 41:16
132:9 165:11	51:17 52:4,5	7:12 13:15	97:7	43:14,19
165:16	connection	14:9,13 16:9	contradict	44:3,9 46:19
174:16,17	101:4,17	16:19 17:3,5	107:13	46:22 47:10
193:9	192:21 193:3	17:16 19:1	contradicts	47:13,16,22
components	connects	42:17 43:18	108:1	48:4,7,16
51:1 116:18	136:7,8	44:2,11 68:9	contrap	49:15,20
120:11	consider	68:14 69:10	107:12	50:8,14 51:1
121:16	32:16,18	70:3 78:16	Cooley 3:4 4:7	51:9,14
122:12 132:2	43:1,4 64:9	99:5 100:21	9:11,22 10:2	53:21,22
175:9 177:12	68:15 85:11	101:4 102:16	106:4	54:2,5,10
comprised	105:5 125:21	103:20 142:3	copy 13:10,13	55:9 57:12
36:3	144:11,16	142:11,22	40:8,11 62:3	57:19 58:4
con 156:10	145:2 155:20	187:13 189:6	62:5 67:21	59:11,16
concept 23:13	156:10	constructions	copyright	60:10 61:12
157:17	181:22	17:9,20	146:9	62:9 63:4,7
conclude	185:13	102:17 103:4	coral 102:7	63:10,16
29:12,18	considered	103:19 104:3	189:18	64:2,3,20
concluded	15:21 16:18	104:10,16	corner 148:8	65:15 66:7
195:16	17:9 85:1	104:10,10	167:8,10,22	66:12,15,16
conclusion	89:6,11,16	construed	168:5,14,21	66:22 67:5
18:13 42:5	89:20 91:15	69:16 80:16	170:4 171:10	
				70:3,4 71:9 72:13 73:4
64:7 107:16	105:9 107:5	consultant	171:13	
108:4	109:22 110:8	11:21	172:16 173:8	73:12 74:14
conclusions	140:13 142:2	contact 73:7	173:12,18	75:3,13,14
15:16 16:9	142:4,10	86:13	174:4,8	75:19 76:17
16:18	155:21 156:7	contacts 65:18	176:5,7,11	76:22 77:3
condition	182:21	72:12	176:18,20	77:11,21
122:3,9	193:10	context 20:4,7	177:1,4,5,13	78:13,17
conditions	consistency	23:13 34:3,7	177:16 178:4	79:18 80:8
127:11	14:11	37:9 38:4	178:13	83:22 84:7
147:22 148:4	consistent	39:5,15	179:19 180:1	85:16 86:17
confers 150:5	60:14 80:7	43:16,21	180:3,8	89:19 93:12
configuration	111:2 143:5	44:19 52:3	181:2,11,17	93:18 94:2,5
52:21	153:20 157:5	68:21 69:18	correct 16:19	94:10,14,22
configuratio	157:16 166:9	73:14 82:6	19:6,7 20:9	97:16,18
25:10	167:1 168:4	83:2 84:1	20:22 21:8	98:1,12,18
configured	168:6,15	145:14	21:12,13	100:16
192:17	consistently	186:15	22:1,4 23:3,6	103:13,14,15
confirm 62:18	80:16,21	contexts 82:16	25:1,13,16	103:21
confused	154:10	continuation	26:4,8,22	104:16 111:4
	l		,-,	
<u> </u>				

defines 146,17	10.12.12	124.44	60:40 63:3 7	105,11.17
defines 146:17 185:4	10:13,13	131:14 150:15	62:19 63:3,7 63:10,15	135:11,17 determine
defining	degenerated 22:1	157:15	64:1 66:14	70:19 128:6
119:17 121:8	degenerative	166:21	70:14 78:4	149:6,12
135:3	147:21	DEPONENT	78:12 80:4	151:3 153:11
definition 20:1		197:1	80:10 153:20	158:5 159:7
30:19 31:9	degree 62:14 111:10	-		
31:22,22	degrees 25:4	deposition 2:16 3:1 9:4	154:10 160:4 160:22 161:5	159:13 165:21 173:2
32:2 34:2,4,8	114:3 131:1	9:10 12:2,8	161:12 175:7	173:8 174:18
34:14 35:14	Delaware 1:2	13:6,21 14:6	183:4 191:15	178:7 180:20
35:17 36:13	6:8 9:9	16:11 23:18	describes 61:2	185:14,17,21
41:19 42:7	demineralized	35:16 40:4	61:8 155:8	186:4
42:10,13,19	189:18	61:21 67:17	184:14	determines
43:3,4,22	Denise 6:12	93:11 95:21	description	131:20
45:3,4,22 45:3 48:10	10:13		7:10 8:2	167:13
48:13,15	dense 116:12	99:17 100:1 100:2,7,10	67:12 157:14	determining
49:2,5 50:15	116:16	100.2,7,10	163:12 167:1	134:19
60:9 66:16		100.14	184:8 186:7	
68:16 70:15	density 125:19 153:16	101:10,17,19	design 19:12	develop 148:14
83:10,19	depending	145:17	41:6,15,21	development
84:5 85:10	28:16,17	163:14	41:22 42:1	97:13 98:9
85:13 86:10	53:21 83:20	195:12,16	57:19 60:17	98:11 156:14
92:13 106:6	122:9 133:15	depositions	60:22 61:9	156:22 157:5
106:8 120:7	133:16	92:15 96:6	61:16,19	157:17
138:22 143:3	137:15 169:9	depth 119:21	63:2 71:19	device 27:21
143:10,11	169:10	123:5,18	72:17 73:3,8	30:4 45:9,14
145:11,13	depends 37:3	130:18,21	73:10,11	46:6,10
147:4,5,9,13	52:3 84:20	132:17	74:9 97:17	52:21 53:14
147:15	87:21 145:14	166:17	97:20 114:18	58:8,13,15
148:11 154:6	153:15,15,15	169:17	designed	60:1 68:4,7
156:7,18,19	167:11	177:20 180:6	139:3	71:2 115:1,3
157:4 158:11	172:20	DePUY 2:11	designer	115:4 188:18
158:14	depict 130:14	2:12 4:14	24:12 110:3	devices
159:10 160:3	132:13 133:6	10:4,6,20,20	110:3	111:13
162:12	150:18 165:4	11:9,10 94:2	designing	Devlin 6:6 11:5
181:14	165:12	94:10,13	93:21 98:4	dictionary
185:20 186:3	depicted 76:11	97:18 98:18	110:16,19	7:21 146:1
186:7,11,19	132:21 133:1	195:2,3	designs 63:22	153:4,13,21
186:22 187:5	133:8 137:6	derived 32:20	64:1 98:21	185:20 186:3
187:9,15,15	149:3 166:10	describe 27:9	detachable	186:11
definitions	171:19	71:2 117:7	192:15	difference
38:5 42:22	172:12 176:9	119:20	193:14,22	31:21 35:2
43:8 44:20	depicting	130:20 184:6	determination	82:10,17,18
104:19	130:12	described	71:3 134:1	82:19 83:6,8
DeFranco 6:12	depiction	17:4 57:12	134:11	85:7 119:5 [°]
	ļ ⁻	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

[8
400.7 400.00	4 4 7 . 4 4	45.5 40.0 0	144.40	10.40.40.44
129:7 132:22	147:14	45:5 48:6,8	114:18	12:12,13,14
differences	disagreed	48:11,12,14	125:21 139:5	13:6,10,14
35:6 48:3	94:12	48:17,19,22	173:11	23:18 29:7
51:13,21	disc 22:1,4	49:3,5,7,10	184:18	40:4,8,9 55:2
52:8 120:19	27:19,22	49:18,19	Donna 2:22	55:6 61:21
121:4,10	39:22 136:7	50:1,5,7,10	3:14 9:16	62:3,4 67:17
134:19 135:7	disclosed	50:12,13,17	196:2,14	67:21,22
different 42:16	17:10,21	50:19 51:18	Dorland's 7:20	92:11 106:18
47:12,15,17	18:4,10	51:20,21	145:22	116:9 124:2
48:9 49:8,11	62:19,21	52:1,7 57:14	146:14,17,22	145:17,22
49:14,18	disclosure	58:1,17 59:7	147:13 153:4	152:17
50:2,3,5,17	42:14	59:8,10	153:12,21	163:14 184:8
51:8,12 82:7	discuss 29:9	117:13	157:10,12	190:22 191:3
82:9 83:3	112:1 195:5	129:10	dotted 65:1	195:5
84:15,21	discussed	193:18 194:3	doubt 65:22	Drive 3:6 4:8
85:9 86:7	27:19 104:12	194:14	72:21	5:9 9:12
117:10,17,18	112:18,21	distinction	downloaded	11:18
120:7 125:3	113:7,10,18	119:9 128:18	24:2 106:4	driven 180:4
129:6 130:14	178:20	129:19,20,21	downward	due 147:20
130:17 133:8	194:14	151:5 155:13	53:21	duly 10:21
134:21,22	discussing	distinguish	dozen 97:2	Dustin 4:6
135:4 150:15	65:14 186:17	127:3 149:17	Dr 12:10 72:11	10:1
151:13,18	discussion	154:15 164:7	102:6 111:15	10.1
164:5,7	123:13	district 1:1,2	112:3,6,12	E
169:21	153:14	3:15 9:8,9	112:16 113:1	E 4:1,1 5:1,1,1
178:21	153.14			6:1,1,1 7:1,8
		94:5,6 96:11	113:8,11	8:1,1 9:1,1
180:22 194:7	158:12 165:2	196:13,22	115:4 142:15	earlier 30:14
194:9	182:8 195:13	dknight@co	143:2 154:10	131:22
differentiate	discussions	4:12	158:13	_
121:2 133:4	99:22 100:3	doctor 141:4	draft 14:18	137:15 153:14
differently	100:10	document	draw 127:2	
169:20	disease	16:13 106:4	drawing 45:20	162:14
differs 34:21	.122:11	146:6	56:22 95:19	165:18 175:7
dig 87:2	diseased	documented	125:14 132:6	191:3 192:22
dimensions	27:18	142:16	132:7 155:13	194:14
163:13	diseases	documents	176:21	early 98:2
direct 19:2	127:12	15:15 16:7	177:10 188:4	101:1,1
29:5 34:10	dispute 141:21	16:13 105:19	191:6 194:2	easier 164:1
52:17 53:12	145:12	doing 15:8	194:3,4	East 5:19
56:11 64:11	disputing	20:19,19	drawn 166:22	Edition 7:21
65:11 71:5	158:1	43:2 101:9	drew 126:12	effect 121:21
directing 76:2	distinct 29:13	102:15	Drewry 2:17	Eh 105:11
direction	29:19 35:7	103:19	3:2 7:2,10,12	eight 12:6 29:6
128:1	41:12,18	108:18	8:2 9:4 10:18	75:9
disagree 147:9	42:8,12 45:4	110:21	11:16 12:10	either 17:21
	-, =	1		1

<u> </u>				9
00.44 00.40		100.40.404.0		404.40.400.5
93:11 96:10	entirely 114:20	120:10 121:6	experience	121:19 122:5
118:7 133:8 elected 59:16	entitled 108:2 entity 118:19	139:11	108:16,20	128:4,6 131:22
	_	excrescence 144:14 145:8	110:12,16,21	165:17,19
element 194:4 194:9	123:7,8	146:18	111:1,3,11 142:16	170:19 173:8
embodiment	entry-level 98:3		158:13	175:7 182:9
17:4,5 42:11	Errata 197:7	exemplars 105:20	expert 2:16 3:1	fair 12:19,20
42:19,21	ethnicity	exhibit 7:10,11	7:11 13:14	31:8 36:10
43:1 44:17	125:19	7:13,14,15	14:15 15:3,8	36:11 48:20
45:1 76:12	evidence	7:16,18,20	29:6 92:12	93:22 108:22
160:21	106:20 107:2	8:2,3 13:6,10	93:10 94:1,4	109:1 110:21
embodiments	100:20 107:2	23:17,18,22	95:4 96:2,2,8	111:13
17:9,20 18:3	108:2 197:19	29:7 40:4,9	100:15 102:1	114:13 159:1
18:10,15	exact 93:7	55:6,6 61:21	Expires	Fall 99:3
43:5,13,17	125:11	62:4,4 64:14	196:18	falls 165:2
43.5, 13, 17 44:1,7,10	exactly 14:8	67:17,22	explain 136:18	180:19
161:5	70:7 75:19	71:6 75:7	extend 32:18	familiar 24:10
employed	EXAMINATI	76:7 81:5	46:19 183:11	24:11 39:7
196:9	7:2 11:8	85:15 119:16	183:21	68:4 140:17
enable 60:17	116:7 191:1	123:22 124:2	extenders	far 16:16
enclosed	195:1	129:1,12,12	189:17	feature 155:16
55:11,22	examined	129:14,22	extending	features
encloses	10:22 197:4	131:6,15	53:1	147:18 154:2
192:16	example 20:20	132:3,22	extends 53:16	166:18
encompass	22:8 30:14	133:7,14	183:19 184:2	feel 124:20
125:15	36:6 47:18	136:17 137:2	184:4	163:22
encompassed	64:22 119:14	143:14	extent 18:13	164:16
139:14	135:2 149:11	145:17,22	107:15 166:1	173:10
ended 89:12	157:20	148:17 149:4	exterior	felt 106:11
ends 81:22	188:22	150:7,11	126:20	femoral 66:18
83:17 86:4	examples 32:7	157:9 160:17	extraordinary	field 143:19
89:14,19	32:12	163:14,19,20	110:19	Figs 72:6
90:5 91:18	excerpts	163:20 164:9	extrinsic	75:13
engages	145:22	164:19,20	106:20 107:7	figure 41:1,4,6
167:22	exclude 17:9	165:10,14	107:11	41:15,22
168:21	17:20 18:3,9	166:10,22		44:22 45:10
engineer 98:3	42:11,19	167:18	F	45:15 46:10
109:17	121:8	171:17,22	faces 139:8	51:10 52:11
engineering	excludes 17:3	172:9,11	184:19	52:20 53:6
111:10,11,12	excluding	175:14	facing 37:17	55:5 56:6
111:12	126:21	183:10 187:1	139:3 185:7	57:2,19
entire 58:8	exclusion	191:5	fact 59:22 96:2	59:19 60:11
116:22 127:5	18:15	exhibits 7:9	96:4 97:5	64:22 65:7,9
127:9 136:16	exclusive	exists 121:11	193:9	65:21 67:16
137:6	105:14	121:12	factors 121:15	76:20,21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	,— -

Ref. Ref.	4:8 05:16 14 07:4 197:6 :5:7 3:3 12 0:3 :22 2:10 :4 :5,9 7:7 5:14 8:13 15:10 152:6 176:7 17
11:5 40:18 182:20 177:21 190:12 rst 14:18 Foley 5:18 16:2 65:12 56:17 72:16 192:13 79:11,17 folks 11:1 72:2,5 76:3 79:1,3 126:6 139:3 185:7 123:9 1 80:2,3,5,5 follow 45:6 108:10 193:3 185:7 177:3,5 123:9 1 81:1,2,12,21 following 193:16 4:11 154:2 176:20 182:8,10 82:12,22 follows 10:22 FRA 102:3,6 geometry 71:12 79:21 189:14 83:12,16,17 foregoing 184:17 getting 78:10 13:9 18 83:19 84:2,2 196:3,4 185:17 120:15,16 21:17 25	15 76:4 ,7,11 0 :18 :12
81:1,2,12,21	geometrical 177:3,5 154:2 176:20 182:8,1 2:3,6 geometry 7:12 71:12 79:21 getting 78:10 13:9 18

				11
07.40.00.4	70.4.0.0.40	400.40	05.0	444.0
37:19 38:1	78:4,6,9,13	193:10	85:3	114:3
45:22 46:16	186:20 187:3	Н —	historical	identify 27:18
54:12,15,19	187:9,17,19		149:22	34:20 35:2
67:21 77:6	188:1,5,6,6,8	H 7:8 8:1	history 100:18	85:6 95:2
79:10,12	188:14,21	half 100:5,8	107:14	120:18
88:4 89:3	189:14,17	hand 13:9 62:3	112:12,15	124:11,18
90:11,14	190:8	67:21 124:13	HOLDINGS	126:2 128:22
92:1 95:9	grafts 20:16	196:15	2:4	129:9 134:8
108:10	Griffith 4:15	handed 164:2	holds 46:14	141:8,14,18
115:21 152:1	7:3,6 10:3,3	164:9	holes 46:7,11	161:19
152:12	11:1,11 13:9	handing 23:22	46:14 47:3	162:21 163:4
164:13 175:6	13:12 17:18	40:8 145:21	47:18 49:16	identifying
177:16,21	18:18 23:15	163:18	51:11,12	124:10
178:4,8	23:21 28:2	hands 116:3	69:3	126:19
179:4,9	28:22 31:7	129:2	Holmstock	134:14
186:6 190:16	31:14 32:22	happen 128:1	9:14	ilk 33:8,19
gonna 165:6	33:4,13 34:1	happens 57:6	home 11:17	Illinois 5:10
good 11:12,13	40:2,7 42:9	57:7	honest 13:3	Illustrated
95:6 106:16	44:8,14,21	happy 54:15	Horizon 97:14	7:20 146:1
gotcha 39:3	54:12,15	162:1	horizontal	illustrates
gotten 85:18	55:1 58:2	hard 119:18,20	118:10	192:14
graft 19:14	62:2 64:10	120:5,19	131:21 132:1	image 45:5
20:2,6 21:12	67:20 68:17	125:4 127:2	132:9 174:16	124:6 125:5
21:14 22:6	92:1,10	Hartshill 7:16	horseshoe	128:5 132:3
22:16 23:11	107:19,21	68:2,3	7:17 68:3,3	134:14,17
27:20 28:3,6	108:7 109:8	head 6:12 93:8	hour 54:13	135:16
28:8,8,12,17	109:15 110:1	112:20	92:2 152:2	136:17,19
28:20 30:5,6	110:10 115:9	heading 15:20	hourly 99:11	137:2 151:4
30:10,11,18	115:12 116:3	19:5	hours 15:7,11	165:13 176:1
32:5 38:10	116:6 124:1	hear 114:6	99:21 100:5	177:19
38:16,22	129:2,4	heard 101:7	house 11:21	images 125:7
39:2,4,11,14	150:5 190:20	115:1,3,5	110036 1.21	125:12 126:2
60:3,3,17	191:4,11	144:18 145:1	I	164:11 165:4
61:10 64:19	192:2,7,22	147:1,3	idea 109:14,16	imaging 134:5
65:2,3,5,10	193:5,20	156:16,20	110:2	134:7,9
65:18,21	193.5,20	157:3,6,18	identification	135:10 149:9
1	194.5,12	height 40:1	13:7 23:19	149:15,22
66:2,7,12,13	•	held 9:10	40:5 61:22	·
66:15,19,21	grown 150:1	26:13,17,17	67:18 124:3	150:21 173:7
67:4,8,14	growth 148:5	help 175:22	145:18	impact 70:10
71:20 72:13	guess 27:17	Henderson	163:15	implant 52:21
72:18 73:4,6	73:5 103:6	9:15,17	identified	53:14 109:4
73:7,9,12	107:2,4	·	105:21,22	109:11 110:3
74:3,10,13	130:21 141:2	hereunto 196:15	131:12	110:4 111:13
74:18,19,21	145:10			implanted
76:22 77:3	148:12 182:6	high 82:21	identifies	25:19 26:13
	-	1	1	1

[12
00.40	407.40	00.0	70.40.400.0	470 5 44
26:16	187:13	66:3	72:19 109:2	170:5,11
implants 34:3	independent	inserting 81:7	109:9,18	173:14,19
93:21 98:4	156:10	insertion	110:4 111:20	174:2,7,16
108:16,20	independently	28:18 30:6	143:19	180:10,15
110:16,19	144:12 145:4	30:11,16	inventor 23:2	181:3,9
import 160:10	145:16	32:5 46:7,12	involved 97:13	K
160:20 161:3	indicates	47:3 60:3	98:8,11 99:6	K2M 95:8,14
important	165:9	inside 28:3	involves 21:11	keep 54:15
43:18 44:2	indifferent	instance	involving	165:19
44:10	152:6	133:18	187:8	
importantly	individual 44:7	instances	IP 6:12 142:14	keeping 86:10 90:6 114:9
. 158:12	44:17	66:17 96:1	IPR 92:14 94:1	
improper	individuals	157:8 174:4	94:6 96:10	114:10
159:17	100:11	182:6,12	99:8 101:11	kept 114:21
160:10,20	industry	instruments	IPR's 93:6	key 34:20
161:3 185:20	114:10	95:8	issue 35:3	kind 23:16
186:3,11,15	inferior 26:8,8	intention	103:16 104:1	67:7 118:10
in-between	influence 19:1	26:18	116:22	125:20
21:14 69:1	information	interbody 30:4	150:20	155:10 165:1
include 89:9	15:1 69:5,7	60:1	it'd 125:8	knee 109:17
90:10 96:4	69:11 70:19	interest	194:20	knew 25:11
127:16,21	131:9 133:21	196:10	item 65:9,21	45:12
139:9 154:6	135:14,20	interface 53:1	iterations 28:9	Knight 4:6
163:3 173:14	149:6,7	interior 117:13		10:1,1
173:14 174:2	150:22	117:16,16	J	know 12:15
174:5,9	165:21 173:7	118:7 126:22	James 5:5	16:16 17:19
181:3,9	174:19,20	127:16,21	10:9	27:11 34:6
187:20 188:9	178:6 180:20	internet 24:2	james.kritsa	44:6,16
188:10,22	186:9	interplate	5:13	58:22 61:15
189:3,10	infringe 94:14	115:4	January	61:18 68:18
192:15	97:18 98:1,6	interpret	196:18	68:18,20
193:17,21,22	98:18,22	158:17	Jason 5:6	69:4,17 70:4
included 91:16	infringed	intersection	10:11	70:8,9,18,20
101:18	94:10	180:16	jason.white	73:8,16
includes 52:21	infringement	intervertebral	5:14	75:18 83:11
53:14 137:10	94:20,21	60:18 61:11	Job 2:21	85:9,10
137:12,18,19	initial 63:1	interview	Johnson 6:13	88:21,21
138:2,4	103:19,22	14:22	6:13 10:14	89:6,11,18
173:19 189:7	104:8	intrinsic 108:2	10:14	92:20 93:7
190:4,5	Initially 103:1	introduce 9:20	Jones 4:17	94:15 104:11
including	103:1	11:2	10:3,5	104:11 107:2
19:15 174:6	inject 28:19	introduced	judgment	107:4,19
incorrect 58:9	ink 130:13	24:6	94:13	111:15,22
58:16,19	140:1	invention 23:6	jumped 106:15	112:16 113:1
59:4 153:11	inserted 30:17	63:7,10	junction 148:8	113:13 114:8
	l	l	l	

				13
	l	l	l	
115:8 116:17	130:5,8	148:4	73:16 148:15	169:22 170:9
116:19,20	165:20	leading 15:2	limiting 21:6	171:20
117:2 120:21	knowledge	193:5,20	21:11	172:12
120:22 121:1	16:6 17:8	194:5,12	line 10:11 11:2	176:11
121:2,7,7,13	63:4,5	Lechman	52:18 53:8	177:16 178:4
122:2,10,11	Kritsas 5:5	101:5	56:15 65:1	179:9 181:20
125:1,7,17	10:9,9	left 56:21 88:2	67:7 72:5	184:3
125:18 126:5		178:9	76:8 81:17	lipping 156:13
126:6,14	<u> </u>	legal 9:15,17	81:20 85:21	156:21
127:1 130:6	labeled 165:14	18:13 42:5	86:2 88:4	157:15,17
130:7,7,10	lack 118:9	64:7 80:19	90:6 106:20	list 93:1 95:18
130:10,16,17	lacks 156:4	80:19 107:16	175:22	listed 95:6
130:19 131:1	158:7 168:9	108:4 160:14	192:13	134:10 173:6
131:8,9	168:18	legs 56:16	lines 53:13	189:8,15
132:17,17	170:13	length 166:17	65:14 72:2	190:4,9
133:20 135:3	173:21	let's 41:1	75:10 76:3	litigation 6:13
137:15 139:2	178:14	44:22 45:6	81:10,14	16:9 94:5
139:18	179:11	55:5,12	85:16 132:8	95:10,11
140:12,14	180:12 181:6	56:14 72:5	132:13	96:11 99:2
142:15	182:19	75:5,9 76:20	191:18,19	112:4,18
145:10	Lakeside 4:18	84:10 87:15	193:15	113:7,15
150:19	landscape	88:13 92:19	lip 141:21	little 23:16
151:22	175:17	105:11	142:11,13,17	42:15 120:16
154:10	language	124:12 172:9	143:4,6,10	124:9 180:21
155:15 156:6	59:14 158:10	190:13	143:18,22	live 93:14
156:8,19	161:20 162:7	level 27:13	144:4,11	LLC 1:3,8,13
157:1,2	162:15,22	78:10 82:21	151:1,6,13	2:1,8 4:3 9:5
158:9,19,19	163:3 187:8	85:3 108:9	151:19 153:7	LLP 3:4 4:7 5:8
158:20 159:8	Lardner 5:18	Lewis 5:8 10:8	153:16 154:1	5:18
159:15 160:1	11:7	10:10,12	154:16 155:2	load 20:6 21:7
163:20	lateral 7:18 8:3	liability 97:3	155:7,18,20	21:12 22:15
164:12 165:7	46:18	license 111:20	155:21 156:2	26:3 28:17
165:8,9,10	law 3:2 4:5,6	lies 174:14	157:21	load-bearing
166:13	4:15,16 5:4,5	Life 1:6 5:16	158:14,17,21	25:20 26:2
168:21 169:7	5:6,17 6:5,6	9:5 11:7	159:4,16,18	loaded 31:5
169:17	9:10 11:5	light 68:15	159:21 160:2	located 9:11
170:15 175:4	lawyers	80:10 162:20	160:7,8	121:21 122:6
176:21 177:9	100:11	limit 20:6	,	128:19,20
178:2 179:13	102:16	22:15	161:8,16,21 162:10 163:5	170:11
	105:15 106:1	limitation	163:6 164:10	
179:14 180:6	layer 136:3,3,6	160:11 161:9		172:21
181:8,16,21	136:7,8,9		164:12 165:5	175:11 184:7
183:6,7	140:8,11,14	161:12	165:13,21	location 122:3
184:15 188:3	141:8,14	limitations	166:7,8,21	125:2 145:15
189:13,15	lead 88:4	160:21 161:4	167:1,5,6,22	155:8,9
knowing 126:7	1 64U 00.4	limited 65:5	168:7,16,21	167:13
		•	•	

				14
175:12 176:8	155:2 156:10	13:10 23:19	49:11,18	159:6,18,19
179:17 180:6	160:1,2	40:5,9 61:22	50:2,6 52:6,8	159:21 160:6
184:14	163:1,2	62:4 67:18	59:17 61:1	160:7 162:13
locations	187:22	67:22 124:3	67:6 69:9	168:7,16
163:9	looking 18:19	145:18,21	70:4 71:1	169:3,8,13
lock 25:15	69:20 75:16	163:15,18	77:19 80:2	169:15
log 92:21	85:2 89:17	marker 164:1	80:16 94:11	179:21
long 68:6 84:1	102:19,21	166:11	98:19 106:2	181:13,17
84:4 99:20	104:2 105:20	market 115:2	111:16,17	184:9 185:13
111:17,19	127:6,22	match 42:13	117:6,9,16	meanings
longer 152:6	135:2,5	material 19:14	118:12,19	119:6
look 34:4	137:17 139:5	20:2 27:19	127:10	means 48:19
42:20 67:3	155:2 171:22	28:18,20	133:19 135:7	48:22 50:5
68:13,21	172:5 175:2	30:5 32:15	147:15	50:12,13
70:21 89:15	183:7,18	33:7,17 60:3	149:20	69:17 126:13
104:9,15	184:16 186:7	66:19 74:3	153:17	144:14 145:8
105:21 106:1	194:3	74:13 186:20	155:10	151:9 159:12
119:14	looks 46:2	187:3,9,18	163:11 171:4	185:22 186:5
129:21 134:3	126:11 165:5	187:20 188:1	172:19 175:9	meant 36:21
134:9 135:10	177:11	188:5,8,14	175:19 176:6	88:22 104:2
135:16	lot 70:13	188:21	176:7,19	104:9 185:18
139:19 145:4	121:14 125:3	189:14	177:1 179:14	mechanical
145:15 146:4	165:17 182:9	192:18	182:15 185:2	111:10,12
146:5,13	190:1	material-bas	186:6 189:14	Med-Idea 94:2
148:2 149:11	lower 56:21	33:22	189:21	Medacta 1:11
151:1 158:4	136:19	materials	meaning 48:16	5:3 10:8,10
159:6,9,13	165:13	15:21 32:15	53:10 54:10	10:12 116:7
159:15	luckily 93:20	32:19 33:8	75:19 79:18	medical 2:4
160:19 162:1	lumbar 124:7	33:19 105:16	79:20 80:8	7:20 117:20
162:2,4,12	134:18 135:5	188:3,7	81:1 82:5,9	118:2 141:4
163:4 167:18	lunch 152:3	189:19,20	82:10,19,20	144:6,9,19
181:14,19		190:9,9,11	83:6,8 84:5,6	146:1 147:2
183:10	M	matrix 189:18	84:14,15,22	153:4,12,21
185:20 186:3	M 4:16	Matt 11:6	85:7 86:7,16	155:19 157:7
186:11 187:1	magnitude	matter 9:5	87:13 93:14	157:18
188:2 192:10	157:2	64:5 94:13	117:20 118:2	Medidea 94:10
193:7	maintain 39:22	99:8 129:5	118:21 119:3	Medtronic
looked 14:20	making 70:14	154:14	119:4 120:9	24:6,7 97:5
35:14 42:21	March 2:19	MATTHEW	123:1 139:15	111:17,21
44:17,18	9:12 15:5	5:17	141:21 142:8	113:3 114:18
85:3,12	195:10,17	Max 98:13	143:9,19	meet 99:18
87:10 105:9	196:16	mean 19:19	144:2 151:13	180:17
142:13,14	mark 23:17	28:8,16 31:3	151:17,18	member 45:10
143:3 145:2	164:10	32:7 [°] 38:19	153:11,20	52:22 53:2,7
151:1 154:9	marked 13:7	39:1 43:11	158:5,22	53:9,14,15 [°]
	l		·	

				13
50 45 47 00		00000	00.40.400.40	100.40
53:15,17,20	minimum	28:9 36:4	60:13 106:13	193:10
54:1,2,4,5,5	187:19	50:21 51:1,1	187:14	numbers 76:8
54:7,9 55:9	minutes	51:5 61:1	neglected	85:18,21
55:10,13,18	115:11 152:2	93:5,6,6	16:14	93:7
56:2,17,20	Mischaracte	134:5 149:15	neither 196:8	numeral 55:10
57:3,4,15	186:12	185:9	never 107:4	numerous
60:19 61:10	mischaracte	mutually	140:22 141:2	19:14
61:12 76:13	30:21 31:10	120:10 121:6	144:18 147:1	
76:17,18,21	33:10 44:5	139:11	147:3	0
77:2,4,15	44:13 140:20		new 64:5	O 5:1 6:1 7:1
78:19,20,22	144:21	N	nine 29:6	8:1 9:1
79:2,4,6	186:13	N 4:1 5:1,1,1	nonfusion	oath 10:16
90:15,16,18	Mississippi	6:1,1,1 7:1,1	21:6	12:22
90:21 91:13	12:1,1	8:1,1 9:1	nonperipher	object 18:12
91:16 167:21	misstated	name 9:14	57:16	44:15 109:12
191:21	138:1	11:14 14:1	nonunion	objection
192:14,15,20	mistaken	95:2,3 115:5	19:15 20:8	17:12 27:15
193:4,14,18	30:20	Nancy 9:14	normally	28:14 32:21
193:19,22	modalities	near 84:3	80:21	33:9,20 42:4
194:10,20	134:7,10	nearer 80:3,5	North 4:18	44:4,12
members 53:1	135:10	80:6 83:12	not-so-rigid	57:21 64:6
193:7	modifier 31:2	83:12 86:18	25:8	68:10 107:15
memory 95:6	184:13,13,15	86:19 87:4,5	notarial	108:3 109:5
Memphis	modify 184:22	87:11 88:12	196:16	109:19 110:6
11:18	185:3	90:8	NOTARIZAT	120:14 156:4
mention	moment 65:10	nearest 88:6	197:13	158:7 168:9
106:19	monolithic	necessarily	Notary 2:22	168:18
110:11	51:19	32:6 44:7	3:15 10:21	170:13
132:19	months 12:9	73:7 116:19	196:1,21	173:21
mentioned	Morgan 5:8	120:22	notice 3:14	178:14
30:14 31:15	10:7,10,11	132:11 165:1	16:12 35:21	179:11
165:17	morning 11:12	167:10	48:3	180:12 181:6
mentioning	11:13	174:15 188:3	noticed 30:14	182:19
165:20	morphogen	need 32:6 33:1	35:17 97:12	186:13 190:6
met 111:18	189:16	68:18,20	number 9:3,6	193:5,20
112:17	morphologi	69:8 70:21	13:6 23:18	194:5,12
metal 33:7,18	154:4	73:7 134:3	40:4,11	obviously
66:14 188:22	morphology	149:7,11	45:18 52:12	126:16
Michelson	133:16	150:20,22	61:21 62:5	occur 20:22
113:1,8,11	move 172:21	163:19 173:6	67:17 88:3,9	23:3 135:12
113:12	mpeters@fo	173:10	93:2 119:16	172:12
middle 152:1	5:22	174:18,21	124:2 129:1	occurs 164:11
Milwaukee	multiaxial	175:11	145:17	165:13
5:20	97:13	186:15	157:12	offered 186:19
mind 102:13	multiple 25:2	needed 15:1	163:14	offering 187:5
			<u> </u>	ı

Tr.				
office 0:11	1/2:10 15	01:6 102:22	142:0 17 10	157:22
office 9:11	143:13,15 144:4 146:3	91:6 103:22 104:8 107:22	143:9,17,18 144:2 158:3	
officer 196:2 offices 3:2	146:7,10,16	118:5,14,20	158:16,22	158:14,18,21 159:5,16,20
oh 15:22 16:2	147:17	120:1,8	159:3,5,18	159:5, 16,20
21:19,20	148:21 149:1	120.1,6	159:3,5,16	160:7,8
52:10 109:13		131:6 136:15	179:20	,
172:6 183:15	150:10 152:17	138:13 143:6		161:9,16,21 162:10 163:6
Ohio 4:19	157:11,13	149:2 155:17	181:12,17 185:13	164:10 165:5
okay 12:10,14	160:18 162:5	156:1 159:10	orient 175:16	165:13,22
12:16 15:12	164:3,6	159:17 160:5	orientation	166:8,22
21:2,20	166:6 167:20	161:8 163:5	178:21	167:6,22
21.2,20 24:15 25:12	171:18 172:4	176:10	186:17	168:7,16,22
26:12 29:1,8	171.16 172.4	177:13 180:8	Orthopediat	169:22 170:9
29:17 32:17	183:22	182:1 185:19	95:14	171:20
34:12 35:13	192:12	186:2,10	orthopedic	171.20
36:3 37:2,5	once 193:7	187:8,11,12	110:2	176:11
37:11 38:18	one's 52:9,9	188:10 189:6	osseous	177:17 178:5
40:2 45:14	131:18	opinions	144:14 145:8	179:9 181:20
45:16,17,21	ones 95:17	14:12 15:16	146:18 147:6	184:3
46:1,15,16	104:1 105:9	18:16,21	ossification	osteophyte'
48:19 51:7	163:2	19:1	183:11,20	143:18
51:22 53:3	op 28:5	opportunities	184:2	osteophytes
55:17,20	open 38:7	30:17	ossify 127:12	147:17
56:13 64:13	128:12	opportunity	osteoarthritis	148:16 149:2
64:17 65:7,8	192:16	31:5	147:22	150:18
65:11,13,16	opened 38:6	opposed 87:8	osteophyte	152:18
66:2 75:8	operates	96:2 188:6,6	141:22	164:12 171:7
76:6,10	24:16	opposite	142:11,13,17	osteophytic
79:10,14	operating	46:22 71:15	143:4,7,11	127:11
81:6,12,19	27:12	78:21 79:6,8	144:1,5,8,11	osteoporosis
83:14 84:4	operator 9:15	93:17	144:13,19	122:1
84:10,13	opine 17:15	orange 166:10	145:7 146:14	outcome
85:20 86:1	18:17 35:7	order 45:3	146:18	196:11
87:18 88:15	40:19 61:6	134:1 159:20	147:13,16	outer 116:12
90:10 92:1	69:5 103:12	173:8 174:13	148:5,7	116:16,18
92:19,20	103:13 105:5	185:14,21	149:12,17	118:21 119:3
93:4,9,13,17	142:13 143:4	186:4	151:2,6,6,8	119:10,18
95:15 96:5,7	144:10	ordinarily	151:12,14,18	120:6,21,22
96:15 97:4	186:22	79:18 80:16	151:19	121:12 122:6
97:21 98:8	187:16	ordinary 48:2	152:21 153:3	123:1,10,12
100:9 102:8	opined 35:10	80:7 108:14	153:8,10,17	128:10,20
107:6 108:11	42:21 105:10	108:19	153:19 154:1	129:10
113:16 115:9	opinion 14:21	110:15,20	154:3,7,16	130:13 131:4
124:17	32:13 42:7	111:3,9	154:17,19,21	131:14 132:8
128:15	71:11 89:10	117:20 118:2	155:2,5,22	132:11,13,21
		l	l	

				1 /
100-0 101 0	20.0.24.42	E7.47.00.7		105:47.400.0
133:9 134:2	29:6 34:10	57:17 63:7	parts 58:1	185:17 186:6
134:20	55:16 79:12	63:10 70:11	party 95:3	191:5,7,10
137:12	106:19 108:9	90:21 91:6	passage	192:11
139:13 163:7	108:10	91:13 101:20	192:22	patents 14:2,5
166:9 167:2	122:20	117:11 119:8	passing 115:7	17:11,22
outgrowth	126:18 137:1	123:12	124:16	22:22 29:14
144:14 145:8	141:12 146:2	132:12	paste 187:20	29:20 41:13
146:19	146:5,14	134:22	188:2,9	43:16,22
149:21 150:3	157:12	142:17 151:2	189:8,13	44:18,19
154:1 182:13	175:16,18	151:3,9	190:1,10	63:1 69:10
outgrowths	183:19,19,19	154:11	patent 7:14,15	69:12,14
182:1,4	187:2	161:10,15,20	14:3,3,14	71:9 83:2
outlining	pages 7:22	162:8,16	19:22 23:1,1	97:18 98:18
19:22	128:16	167:4 170:2	40:3,11,15	98:22 100:15
outside 17:12	paid 99:14,15	171:1,3	40:20 43:6	100:19
27:15 28:13	paper 151:4	174:14,21	45:11 55:6	101:13
28:14 68:10	paragraph	175:5 176:12	62:5,9,11,16	102:20
107:3,3	15:14,20	177:15 178:3	62:20 63:3,6	104:18
109:5,19	16:21 18:20	180:2,11	63:9,12,15	107:14
110:3,6	19:2,5 29:12	181:5 182:2	64:2,14	108:15 109:2
126:14	29:15,16,18	182:5,10,13	69:19 71:19	109:9,18
outward 37:18	29:22 34:19	182:17	72:2 73:19	110:5 112:7
outwardly	71:5,7,21	194:16,18,20	75:5,6,17	112:9,11,13
77:20	72:1,6 75:2	particular	76:5 80:9,11	112:21
overgrowth	75:12 80:20	14:12 16:13	80:15,22	113:20
156:15,22	106:18	20:7 23:13	81:2 84:12	138:11,15,19
157:5,18	119:14	43:12,17	85:14 86:7,8	142:15
overlaid	122:14,16,18	44:1,10	87:17 92:18	151:15,20
176:19	123:17	45:13 69:18	92:19 93:10	152:21 153:2
overstatement	128:11	69:19 79:22	94:10 95:4	153:10,19
31:9,13	143:13	125:9 126:20	96:13,15,17	154:5,16,21
Oxford 12:1	150:13	128:5 131:14	96:20 97:6,8	155:4,18
	160:17 166:5	134:14 154:9	101:5,7,19	156:1 159:22
P	167:3 183:9	155:9,11	102:5 113:10	161:19 162:9
P 4:1,1,15 5:1	183:14,18	166:14 191:5	114:7,16,16	167:7,9
5:1 6:1,1 9:1	Paragraphs	191:18	142:17 143:2	168:8,15,17
P-E-E-K 39:6	43:14	particularly	143:8,12	170:1,7
p.m 195:11,17	parameters	52:18	153:7 154:8	171:11
packed 30:5	178:20	particulate	154:13 156:8	172:18
30:10 32:4	part 22:4 37:7	188:2 189:8	156:11 160:3	173:13 180:9
60:2	45:13 48:13	189:13,22	160:4 161:13	181:3,12,18
packing 60:17	48:14,19	190:10 [°]	162:14	182:16 183:4
page 7:2,10	49:1,21	particulates	167:17	185:22
8:2 13:17	50:11 53:5	187:20 188:9	168:21	pathologic
16:1,2,21	56:21 57:7	parties 196:9	175:15 184:1	147:18 148:3
	l	l -	l	<u> </u>

l				18
nationt 10:14	71.12 110.0	100:12:10	plate 7:12 10:0	137:10 138:2
patient 19:14 121:14 122:1	71:13 118:8 139:4 184:17	109:12,19 110:6 115:10	plate 7:13 19:8 20:4,20 21:5	
133:16		115:13	,	138:7,13,17
169:10 175:6	185:16		21:7 22:8,12	139:6,10,14
175:7 184:17	186:16 Peters 5:17	120:14 123:21	22:15,20	166:15 189:1 193:4 194:10
			23:8,9,17	
patient-spec	11:6,6	129:11	24:1,3,4,9,11	194:16,18
173:7	Peterson 2:22	140:20	24:13,18,19	plates 127:12
pdf'd 106:4	3:15 9:17	144:21	24:22 25:16	133:17 136:2
pedicle 95:7,7	196:2,15	151:22 152:9	25:19 26:1	please 9:19
98:9,12	petitions	156:4 158:7	26:12,16,21	10:16 11:3
PEEK 39:6,10	101:11	168:9,18	26:22 27:2,8	11:14 12:15
39:13,19	photograph	170:13	28:1,1 29:1	18:6 63:8
189:4,4	7:16 68:1	173:21	29:10,13,19	66:8 74:21
pen 124:13	phrase 114:13	178:14	30:15 35:7	124:18,21
129:2 164:1	163:6	179:11	41:7,9,14,19	128:14
pending 9:8	picture 7:13	180:12 181:6	42:1,3,8,10	129:15
penetrates	23:17 24:1	182:19	42:11,18	143:14
177:14	164:20	186:12 190:6	43:13,19,21	148:18 150:7
people 127:11	pictures	190:21 191:2	44:3,11,19	151:16 157:9
perform 32:8	148:22	194:17,22	45:1,3 50:16	162:5 164:10
periosteum	163:22 172:2	195:15	53:10 54:7,9	165:12,14
140:8,11,14	piece 36:10	place 26:14,17	56:2,7,9	167:19
141:8,14,16	51:19 59:12	28:21 31:6	57:10,15	168:11
peripherally	59:13	126:1 176:15	58:6,18 59:7	171:17,22
55:11,22	pieces 36:4	placed 21:14	59:8,11,13	175:14,16
192:16	51:2,5	22:16 28:1	63:17,19	192:6,11
permits 71:20	174:20 194:7	64:19 70:9	65:17 68:8	195:15
72:17 73:3	194:9	70:11 166:15	68:15,19	plurality 52:22
73:10,11	PIETRANTO	placement	69:16 70:2	POGUE 4:17
74:9	193:12 194:1	82:15	70:11,17,20	point 4:18
permitted 25:7	194:8	plain 117:20	71:12,19	15:19 87:15
person 48:2	Pietrantonio	118:2 143:9	72:12 73:2	93:22 103:3
58:9,16 74:1	4:5 7:5 9:21	144:2 158:22	74:17,20	104:13,14
74:11 108:14	9:21 13:11	159:5,18,19	76:12 78:2,3	126:17 147:8
108:19	17:12 18:12	160:6 179:20	78:21 79:6	147:12 152:3
110:11,15,19	27:15 28:14	181:12,17	80:1 81:20	155:11
111:2,9	30:21 31:10	185:13	86:3,22 87:4	160:14,16
135:6,17	32:21 33:9	Plaintiff 1:4,9	87:5,14 88:6	163:13
143:16 158:3	33:20 42:4	1:14 2:2,9	88:12 89:7	170:18
158:16 159:3	44:4,12	4:3 191:1	90:8 91:3,9	177:19 178:1
197:19	54:14,17	Plaintiff's	91:21 118:7	178:17 180:4
person's 37:7	57:21 64:6	34:13,20	118:15 127:5	180:16
personally	68:10 91:19	103:10,18	127:9,17,22	pointed
173:10	92:4 107:15	Plaintiffs 9:5	135:22	191:14
perspective	108:3 109:5	plastic 33:7,18	136:15 137:5	pointing 45:19
-		l -	I	l -

				19
46:2,4 47:7	posteriorly	prior 28:18	74:1,4,11	putting 60:18
52:14 55:12	46:19 47:22	30:5,10 60:3	75:2	69:21,22
polyaxial 98:9	powder 188:2	113:4 163:20	prosecution	03.21,22
98:12	188:9 189:8	164:20	100:18	Q
portion 45:1	189:13,22	probably 97:2	107:13	quality 133:17
54:1,4 59:9	190:10	113:13 114:8	112:12,14	176:22
73:18,22	powders	procedure	protein 189:16	quantifiable
73.16,22 74:5,7,10,16	187:20	27:9,18	protrusions	166:18
75:1 84:18	practice 11:22	37:17 45:8	52:22	quantify 157:3
84:18,20,21	Precision 1:16	45:15 63:20	prove 161:9	quantity
	6:3 11:5	139:6 184:18		179:14
85:7,8,10,11			proved 197:18	question
86:22 123:16 126:21	preferably 72:16	proceedings	provide 14:11 14:21 40:1	12:15,18
120.21	_	196:3,5,6		18:6 33:2,15
II -	preloaded 30:16	process 14:22	92:21 102:16	36:20 42:15
134:18 142:19		36:2	175:12 186:8	42:17 46:9
II -	preparation 30:13 100:3	produced	187:7,15	55:15 74:21
154:12 155:3		154:4 189:17	provided	91:11 105:7
163:7 166:8	prepare 13:20	product 97:3	14:22 17:16	109:7 115:20
167:2,4	14:6 68:13	98:5,6,21	25:2 28:19	119:17 120:5
170:10	99:17 100:1	Products 2:13	92:14 99:10	120:18
portions 85:2	100:9 148:2	10:20 11:10	157:4 189:22	128:17,22
117:3 127:21	prepared 61:5	195:2	providing	135:19
position 34:16	preparing	profession	12:22	138:16
34:21,21	16:11 35:16	117:20 118:3	Public 2:22	141:11
97:22 103:11	100:6,14	144:7,9,20	3:15 10:22	154:18 162:5
103:11	101:10,17	153:4 155:19	196:1,21	162:6 168:12
187:16 190:4	102:11	157:7,19	published	169:19
positioned	106:12	professor	114:16	176:17
47:21	PRESENT 6:12	11:22	purchase 19:9	180:21 186:1
possible	previously	profile 132:3	purpose 26:19	190:3
114:20	12:3 100:3	promote 27:21	26:20 60:16	
127:17	100:13 165:3	promoted	60:21 61:15	questions 62:15 79:11
173:12	171:9	115:3	61:18	108:8 116:10
174:12	primary 53:15	proper 17:5	purposes 23:5	190:22 191:4
183:11	53:16 54:1,4	160:5 185:12	31:18 38:12	190.22 191.4
post-packed	54:7,9 56:17	186:15 189:6	38:15 59:16	191.12 192.2
30:18	56:20 57:3,6	properly	61:1	
posterior	60:18 61:12	159:20	Pursuant 3:14	195:6,9 quite 74:15
36:17,21,21	76:13,17	proposed 70:2	put 22:6,8	quite 74.13
37:4,13 38:1	77:14 79:2,4	102:17 103:4	27:20 28:3,6	R
38:1,6,8 46:1	90:10 191:21	103:18 104:2	28:11,18	R 4:1 5:1 6:1
46:21 47:15	192:14,20	104:10,15	37:19 61:9	9:1
48:7 49:14	193:4,7,14	105:2 142:10	61:10,12	race 125:19
49:20 50:8	193:18	142:21	69:1,2	range 126:8
51:9 118:16	194:10,20	proposition	105:11 130:6	Tange 120.0
	1		•	•

166:12	172:11	106:3 107:3	113:14	replacements
167:11	receive 103:18	referencing	125:10 141:1	109:17
171:13 180:4	192:17	119:12	relationship	report 102:1
180:19	received 15:5	referred 37:8	89:21	105:17 142:6
rarely 17:4	receives	58:7,14	relative 53:16	Reported 2:22
rate 99:11,14	188:18	59:18 123:19	77:14	reporter 9:16
rbreetz@jon	receiving	154:11	relevance	10:15 33:14
4:22	104:2,10	166:16 185:5	18:21	195:14 196:1
re-ask 91:12	recognize	referring 37:10	relied 15:15	reports 93:6
re-reading	13:15 40:14	37:14 40:15	16:8,14,18	represent 9:20
106:15	recollection	46:3 62:8	154:13	10:8,10
re-write	18:20 113:16	88:19 132:1	reluctant	13:13 24:1
164:14	record 9:2,19	132:2,8	131:10	55:17 68:1
reach 16:18	11:15 54:19	138:7 182:17	rely 149:8,8	134:17 162:2
reaching	54:22 92:6,9	refers 30:3	158:10	representing
15:16 16:8	115:11,16,17	55:18 56:16	160:14	9:15
read 33:13,14	115:19	56:21 60:1	185:16	require 41:14
40:17 53:8	129:12	71:12 85:15	relying 14:10	175:11
62:11 69:12	152:12,15	86:2 156:13	remember	required 61:14
69:14 142:4	164:16	170:1 193:14	90:15 95:16	78:8 108:21
195:14 197:4	190:13,16,19	regard 33:5,16	104:12,13	160:22 161:6
reading 36:1	195:12,13	83:16 187:17	152:18 191:3	197:13
71:21	196:5	region 86:21	191:7	requirements
ready 115:9	red 129:1,2	87:8 118:6	remind 21:21	83:5
real 46:3	130:12 132:8	118:15	remove 22:4	requiring
realize 16:12	140:2,3	121:18	27:19	41:22
really 34:4,8	reduced 196:7	155:10	repeat 18:6	respect 73:19
62:22 68:14	refer 45:22	171:19	20:13 21:9	101:13
92:20 105:3	48:12 122:14	172:16	25:21 26:15	108:15
107:4,18	166:7 182:16	173:14 174:9	33:3 38:13	157:21
121:1 133:10	184:2	174:15	46:9 49:9	respects 34:20
148:1 181:19	reference 37:3	176:12 180:9	54:3 60:20	response
182:21	77:13 80:19	regions	63:8 66:8	140:4
reason 13:2	102:4,5	124:21	74:6 77:1	rest 136:9
67:13 72:21	154:18	131:13 135:3	104:4 109:7	Reston 3:7 4:9
145:12	156:20	135:8,11	116:13,13	9:11,12
146:21 175:4	161:16,21	165:9 172:12	119:1 127:19	restrict 38:9
REAVIS 4:17	166:7 184:17	relate 112:12	138:16 140:9	38:16 39:11
recall 15:4,10	185:17	related 14:12	151:16 162:6	restriction
98:7,19	186:16	69:11 196:9	168:11	38:22
104:11 105:1	191:21	relates 51:11	173:16 186:1	result 21:6
106:9 114:15	referenced	140:15 156:8	rephrase 33:2	24:19 147:21
114:19 115:6	45:13 142:14	162:9 184:3	41:5 45:8	resulted 19:12
155:1 161:17	references	relation 50:16	112:10	résumé 97:12
161:22	101:20,21,22	83:17 85:3,4	114:13	retain 39:13
	•	-	•	•

				21
retained 14:9	70:3,6,22	122:6 123:1	102:16	scenes 93:21
14:11 15:5	71:13,16	123:10,12,14	105:16 115:3	schematic
94:4 99:2	71.13,10	124:12,19	141:20	176:9,20
	•	,		•
retrieved	73:20 74:3,5	125:2 126:2	RSB's 17:8	177:1,22
105:18	75:17 77:15	126:19 127:4	42:10,17	178:17
retroactively	77:18 78:4	127:8,16,21	68:8 70:2	scope 17:13
36:19	78:11 80:20	128:7,10,19	100:21	18:4,9 27:16
review 13:20	81:3,16	128:20 129:9	102:16 103:3	28:15 42:2
14:5 63:1	83:15 88:9	129:10	104:2,10,15	68:11 109:6
68:13 69:9	88:10 90:3	130:13,15,18	105:2 142:10	109:20 110:7
100:18,21	90:19 92:20	131:3,4,13	142:21	139:15 158:5
101:10	93:2,7,22	131:14 132:8		159:7,14
104:21 159:4	94:7,18	132:11,12,13	<u> </u>	Scott 5:4 10:7
162:11,19	95:20 96:11	132:14,21,21	S 4:1 5:1 6:1	scott.sherm
reviewed	98:15 99:12	133:3,9,9,12	7:1,8 8:1 9:1	5:12
15:15 16:8	99:15 101:3	133:18 134:2	Sales 2:11	screw 47:18
80:9 100:14	103:3,4,11	134:2,20,20	10:20 11:9	49:16 51:11
101:4,16,21	107:9 114:21	137:10,12	195:3	51:12 82:14
102:10	117:14,21	139:9,9,13	satisfactory	90:11 95:7,7
104:18	124:16 128:5	139:13 163:7	197:19	97:13 98:9
reviewing	129:12 131:4	ring 66:18	save 164:18	98:12 176:2
30:12 158:18	132:7 138:7	118:12	saw 101:1	176:4,10,17
right 15:10	138:22	122:15,22	104:13 115:7	177:14,15
21:4,15 22:6	150:12 155:5	123:4,4	saying 51:16	178:4,8,10
22:10,17	157:14	126:20	52:15 82:18	178:12 179:1
23:12,15	160:16	130:21	86:14 88:16	179:8
24:7,16 25:9	162:22 163:2	132:20,20	120:12	screws 21:8
25:20 26:14	172:10 173:9	133:2,7,11	126:15	25:6,15 46:8
26:19 27:6	176:17 183:5	133:18 134:3	131:17	46:12 47:3
29:4,5 30:1	191:22 192:5	134:21	135:15,18	69:2,22
-	191.22 192.5	137:20 138:3	144:18 151:8	176:16
34:6 35:4,11			153:22 163:9	
35:19 36:7	right-hand	138:4 139:13	165:20	se 188:3
37:8,20 38:4	176:1	166:9 167:2	169:12	sea 189:18
38:18 39:3,8	rigid 19:13	rings 25:6	174:12	seal 196:16
40:2,17,21	22:14 24:22	Robert 4:16		197:22
42:3 47:4,19	25:3,12	10:5	176:14,15	searched
49:8 51:5,18	rigidly 25:15	rod 98:15	187:10	102:19
52:4,7,15	25:22	role 96:2	says 52:20	second 56:16
55:5,17	rim 118:5,11	room 27:12	53:13 65:17	77:5 79:11
56:11,15	118:14,20,21	52:2,6	74:8 76:11	80:5,6 81:14
60:4 62:13	119:2,3,7,10	round 75:5	192:14	81:15,21
62:16 64:11	119:10,18	RSB 1:3,8,13	sberger@de	82:13,13,22
65:1,3,19	120:1,6,8,19	2:1,8 4:3 9:5	6:10	83:1,12,13
66:4 67:8,10	120:20	9:22 10:2	scan 149:12	83:17,18
69:12,17,20	121:11,12,18	14:2,8	scenario 50:18	84:2,3,8,18
	l	<u> </u>	l	

<u> </u>				22
84:10.20	86:4 90:11	shane 100:0	show 66:17	165:6
84:19,20 85:4,5 86:3	106:20	shape 122:3 Sheet 197:8	130:14	
86:12,13,15	108:12	shell 116:11	showed 66:17	single 22:9 35:8 36:10
II ' '		116:15,19		50:18
86:19,19	110:12	,	showing 176:6	
87:5,5,11,12	122:15	117:2,5,6,7	shown 41:6,15	single-level 22:9
88:8,10,11	123:16 125:9	SHERMAN 5:4	41:22 43:5	-
88:11,12	129:20	Sherwin 7:4	45:10,15	sir 12:4,17
89:22 90:2,3	146:11	10:7,7	46:10 52:20	13:19,22
90:4,7,8,11	150:16	115:20 116:1	57:19 63:2	14:4,7 16:15
90:22 91:3,6	157:14 159:4	116:8 120:17	64:22 76:21	16:20 24:17
91:9,9,14,14	161:1 168:2	124:5 129:5	77:2 136:16	29:11 30:2,8
91:16,18	176:2 178:9	129:8,14,16	165:7 176:19	35:1,20
95:13 146:5	187:3 190:14	141:3 145:5	shows 150:1	40:13 41:3,8
154:15	191:19	145:20 150:5	sic 18:22 26:3	41:10 48:11
167:22	192:13,18	150:6 152:4	26:11 40:3	52:16 53:4
168:22 191:9	193:15	152:16	125:1 139:10	55:8 56:19
192:6	seeing 114:16	156:12	side 36:17	57:1 60:14
secondary	seen 101:3	158:15	37:8,13 38:6	60:15 62:7
53:15,20	112:9,11	163:17	46:13 47:12	62:17 65:16
54:5 76:17	semi-constr	168:13 169:1	49:14,20	65:20 66:1,5
76:21 77:2,4	25:8	170:21 174:3	50:7 51:8	71:10,14,17
78:19,20,22	sense 36:22	178:22	69:2 95:10	73:1 76:15
79:5 90:15	123:15 152:3	179:18	138:11,14,18	79:16,19
90:16,18,21	sentence	180:16,21	139:8,16	86:5 93:16
91:13,16	73:13 74:19	181:1,10	148:9 170:5	95:22 96:9
167:21	78:14,15	183:2 186:18	170:11	96:12,14
section 29:9	separate 48:14	190:12	173:15,19	97:15 98:16
43:13 80:19	50:4 57:14	shield 22:20	174:5,10	99:13,16,19
187:2 191:14	61:11	shielding	176:1 177:8	100:17 101:6
secure 22:12	separated	19:13,19	179:4 180:7	101:15 102:2
see 15:17	49:22	20:2,2,5	180:10 181:4	102:12
16:22 17:6	sequence	21:11 22:19	184:9,12,18	108:13 114:5
19:3,9,17	27:11	23:2 24:20	184:20,22	114:22 116:5
30:7 34:13	Services 9:16	55:3 66:6,11	185:4,8,14	138:12 141:6
34:22 45:18	9:18	66:21 67:4	185:15,21,21	142:1 146:20
46:6,11 47:7	set 103:10	67:14	186:4,4,8,16	147:11
52:12 53:3	142:12	shift 178:20	190:13	150:14
53:18 55:12	196:15	shifting 38:9	sign 195:14	152:19 153:1
56:14,18	seven 12:6	38:16,22	signature	184:11 191:8
62:5 67:4	29:6 34:11	39:11	13:18 197:11	191:13,20
69:3,10 72:1	64:16 95:21	short 14:1	197:21	192:1,4,9,19
72:8 75:10	96:7	shortcomings	signed 196:14	193:2 195:7
76:14 77:7	sex 125:19	19:22	197:8	sit 71:20 72:17
79:15 81:8	shade 124:20	SHORTHAND	similar 69:9	73:3,11 74:9
81:14 82:2	Shakes 112:20	196:1	133:3 154:3	85:6 [°] 89:13
		l	l	l

Ī-				23
00.17.00.4.4	120.16	E1.4 F0.4F	00.7	15.15 17.0
89:17 90:1,4	120:16	51:4 52:15	98:7	15:15 17:2
121:3,9	122:16	53:6 57:8,9	spend 15:7	19:12 79:17 110:22 126:4
127:13	127:19	57:10,17	spent 100:6	
140:18 141:7	129:14,22	58:3,5,8,10	spinal 34:3	143:16
141:13 145:6	136:8,18	58:11,15,16	108:16,20	160:20
161:18	138:16 140:9	58:20 59:1,5	109:3,10	183:11
181:16	150:4,9	59:5,12	110:3,16,19	197:14
site 30:6,11	171:21 172:7	63:11,14	111:13	stated 72:11
32:5 60:4	173:16	66:6,11,13	spine 1:3,6,8	168:20
sitting 76:21	183:13 184:4	66:15,17,18	1:13,16 2:1,8	189:12
77:2 78:4,5,8	186:1	66:20 106:7	4:3 5:16 6:3	statement
78:12	sort 125:6,21	194:15,16	9:5,6,22 10:2	16:4 18:19
situation	137:16	spacer' 30:3	11:5,7 20:5	44:9 72:22
23:11	164:22	60:1	20:20,21	143:20
situations	176:22	spacers 31:3,5	21:22 28:1	states 1:1 9:8
127:18	sounds 32:17	32:11,12,15	28:13 63:18	142:6 144:3
six 167:18	33:5,15	32:19 38:7,9	70:12 134:22	167:21
size 122:3	source 146:22	39:8,20 63:6	139:5 140:15	stay 175:22
skill 48:2 74:1	space 27:22	63:9 189:4	141:1 166:16	stenographi
74:11 108:9	40:1 46:2	spans 128:16	spoken 112:3	196:6
108:14,19	55:11,22	spec 74:5,10	112:6	step 27:12
110:11,15,20	60:18 61:11	74:16 75:1	square 177:2,6	61:11 81:8
111:3,9	114:9 126:16	specific 147:8	stabilization	Stephanie 6:5
143:17 158:3	170:18	specifically	63:18 166:15	11:4
158:17 159:3	177:19	43:15 59:21	stand-alone	steps 27:11
slot 47:6 53:21	spacer 27:20	77:17 114:15	152:22	stop 126:5,7
77:10	28:6 29:13	181:15	154:17,19,22	127:2 130:2
sold 24:7	29:19 30:1	specification	155:5 156:2	133:5 152:4
somebody	30:10,11,19	17:4,10,21	start 46:4 76:2	stopped
58:13 59:3	31:16,22	18:4,11 53:7	92:19 96:17	171:14
somebody's	32:3,6,9,16	55:18 57:11	116:9 124:12	stops 125:6
58:7	32:18 34:5	59:15 61:2,8	126:5,7	130:8,11
sorry 16:2	34:14 35:3,8	61:16,19	127:1 130:2	131:11
20:13 21:19	35:15,17	63:4 74:8	130:11 133:5	133:22 165:8
25:21 26:15	36:3,7,9,12	104:20	165:15	166:3 173:2
29:15 33:12	36:12,16	107:13	started 89:12	strength
49:9 50:12	37:16,18	158:12,18	102:21 103:2	165:18
54:3 60:20	38:5,15,21	160:11,22	171:14	178:19
64:15 74:6	39:1,4,5,10	161:6 162:13	starting 27:12	179:15
76:5,8 77:1	39:13,16	162:17	starts 89:14,18	stress 19:13
78:2 81:4,20	40:1 41:12	191:15	125:6 130:9	19:19 20:1,5
84:12 85:17	41:18 42:7	193:13	131:11	21:11 22:19
85:19 90:11	42:13 45:4,7	specifications	133:21 165:8	23:2 24:19
92:3 95:17	46:13 48:13	71:2	166:3 173:3	55:2 147:20
98:10 119:1	50:11,16,22	specifics 71:1	state 11:14	stresses 20:3
90.10 119.1	00.11,10,22		State II.IT	3116336320.0

				24
22.24 66.7	104.6	146.4 140.0	105.15.00	0.4.0
22:21 66:7	184:6 studied 154:8	146:4 148:2	185:15,22	system 24:9
66:12,22		148:4 159:8	186:5,8,17	24:18,19
67:4,15	studies 149:9	161:11	surfaces	63:18,19
strike 48:21	study 148:1	162:19	118:16	97:14 98:15
50:21 52:10	149:22	166:20	119:22 133:3	98:17
75:22 97:20	Subscribed	183:14 185:2	148:9 170:12	systems 19:8
115:2	197:16	surface 24:4	173:15,20	20:5,20 21:5
strong 169:9	substance	37:17,19	180:15,17	23:9 166:15
stronger	28:20 195:5	38:2 53:1	185:9	T
182:18 183:5	sued 157:22	65:18 71:7	surgeon 21:22	
strongest	sufficient	71:12,16,18	22:3 27:13	T 5:1 6:1 7:1,1
142:17,18,19	164:16	72:12,16	27:18 61:9	7:8 8:1,1
151:2,3,9	suggesting	73:2,10,20	139:4 141:4	take 54:13
154:11,12	105:1	74:2,8,12	188:18	65:10 92:2
161:10,15,20	suit 14:2 23:1	75:21 76:12	surgeon's	130:17 146:4
162:8,16	100:19	76:13,16	71:13	146:5,13
164:21 169:5	101:14	78:1,3,16,20	surgeons	149:11 152:9
169:9,16	107:14 109:3	78:21 79:1,2	63:20 184:16	159:18
170:1,10	109:10	79:3,4,5,6,7	surgery 20:20	160:19 162:2
171:1,4	112:13	79:9 81:21	21:22 22:9	183:10 187:1
174:14,21	suitable 72:18	86:3 87:1,9	188:15,18	taken 3:2 9:4
175:5,10	summary	87:13 88:20	189:9	12:2,8 19:21
176:8,12,16	94:13	89:4,7	surgical 70:8	54:20 92:7
177:15 178:1	superior 7:18	116:12,16,18	surrounded	152:13
178:3,18	8:3 26:7	116:20 117:3	36:14 57:17	187:17
179:16 180:2	118:7 126:11	118:19	192:16	190:17 196:3
180:5,7,11	128:1 136:17	119:22	surrounds	196:6
180:19 181:5	supervision	123:17	118:6	talk 19:8 59:19
182:2,5,10	196:8	133:20	switch 71:4	71:7 74:17
182:13,17,22	supposed	136:16 137:6	79:10	74:20 82:22
183:7 184:7	58:14	137:18 138:4	sworn 10:21	144:4,5
structural	sure 25:10	138:6,9,11	196:14	149:21
178:1 183:7	33:11 37:6	138:14,18	197:16	164:21
structurally	38:14 45:12	139:3,6,7,8	sync 190:13	talked 90:15
57:18 142:18	54:14 62:21	139:16,19,20	synonymous	106:6 113:12
154:12 161:9	91:15,21	139:21	31:19 48:18	128:9 194:15
161:14,20	104:6,21	155:12 170:5	49:4	talking 23:9
161.14,20	105:3 107:18	170:6 174:5	Synthes 2:11	51:9 86:15
structure	108:6 114:10	174:6,10,10	2:12 10:20	86:21,22
52:14 56:7	115:12 116:6	174.8, 10, 10	10:20 11:9	87:8 105:19
	116:14,21	177.0,0	11:10 195:2	152:18 153:6
70:17,21 80:3 120:2	126:9,12	180:10 181:4	195:3	171:9
				talks 84:17
155:15	136:22 139:1	181:4 184:10	synthetic 28:20 32:14	183:20
struggling	140:12,13,16	184:19,20,22	32:19 189:16	task 99:5
73:5 130:1	141:11,16	185:5,6,8,14	32.18 108.10	Lask 55.5

				1
technique	153:6,19	51:7 92:12	172:20	83:11 84:19
70:8	154:6,16	92:15 93:10	177:20 180:6	84:20 86:14
techniques	156:16,20,21	95:4 100:13	thicknesses	88:5,17 90:6
134:5 150:21	157:3,6,21	testify 97:10	125:1	90:7 91:1,8
technologies	158:1,6,17	97:11	thin 128:7	91:20 92:22
20:1 149:16	158:21 159:7	testifying	thing 21:6,21	93:1,2 95:9
technology	159:12,16,18	92:13	50:10 53:20	95:20 101:19
19:6 112:1	159:21 160:8	testimony	58:3 77:10	102:4,6
telephone	161:8 162:10	12:21 13:3	80:17 125:7	102:4,0
4:10,20 5:7	163:6 166:8	30:20,22	125:21	104.17
5:11,16,21	167:7,8	31:11 33:10	129:18	112:14
6:4,9 99:22	168:6,7,15	44:5,13	137:17	113:21 115:5
tell 83:8 90:1,3	168:16,16	48:22 58:21	149:19	115:7 116:18
91:17 121:9	170:1,6	59:2 60:14	164:22	117:9,15
125:4 129:6	170.1,6	93:11,14,14	176:22	118:9,10,12
166:2 177:22	171.11	93:15 95:21	things 32:12	118:18 119:4
178:3,7				
telling 49:10	173:13 179:19	107:6,12 108:1 140:21	52:11 114:10 114:21 125:3	119:5,7,19
				120:4,10,11
50:1 93:20	182:22	144:22	130:9 167:13	121:3,5,6,13
103:17	184:13 185:1	166:21	188:10 189:3	122:1 123:2
ten 29:6 81:10	185:5,18	184:21	189:10 190:5	123:5,6,12
128:16 152:2	186:20 187:6	186:13 197:5	think 19:21	124:21
Tennessee	187:8,11	197:6	25:3,3 32:2	126:17,18
11:18	189:7	text 75:15	33:21 35:22	128:4 129:17
term 17:3	terminology	Thalgot 102:4	37:3 39:21	130:7,7,9,17
20:17 29:9	59:15 162:13	Thalgott's	39:22 42:12	130:19
29:22 32:18	192:20	102:7	44:17 45:3,4	131:18 132:5
42:11 71:7	terms 14:12,20	thank 13:11	48:8,8,10,12	132:11,15,16
80:14 83:7	17:15 18:17	40:10 42:15	48:13,18	133:2 137:18
105:10	40:19 42:21	111:8 116:5	49:2,12,16	137:22 139:2
118:10 120:7	43:3,5,7,11	129:1	50:3,9,18	139:7,10
120:12,19	43:20 61:6	theoretically	51:19,20	143:1 145:14
128:11	62:22 102:17	91:5	52:3,8 56:6	148:15,16
138:14,19	103:13 104:1	they'd 193:10	57:9,10,14	149:14,15
139:15	104:9,12,14	thick 128:6	57:22 58:5,6	153:15,17
140:16,17	105:4,5	130:5 167:12	58:11 63:11	155:11 156:7
141:1,21	119:10	thickness	63:12,17,19	157:20 160:9
142:11,13	120:21 121:4	117:9 118:13	65:5,6 68:5	160:9 161:12
143:6,17,22	124:10,11	119:21	68:21 78:8	163:12 165:6
144:1,4,8,9	128:9 144:5	123:18	78:22 79:8	165:15
144:13,19	147:2 157:22	131:20	79:21 80:4	166:12,13
145:3,7	161:16	137:16	80:18,18	167:11 169:4
146:13 151:6	169:13	167:14	81:15 82:6	169:15 172:2
151:17,19	171:14	169:17	82:11,14,16	173:17
152:1 153:3	testified 10:22	170:17,18	82:21 83:2	174:13
	I	ĺ	l l	

				20
475.00				
175:22	99:10 111:17	177:8 179:2	try 12:16 36:19	177:10 179:3
177:20	111:19	179:6 180:10	37:5 111:20	two-piece 36:6
179:22 180:3	113:14 114:9	181:4	169:21	57:8,9 58:20
180:18	115:15,19	topics 71:4	180:22	59:1,5 60:22
183:17	143:19	79:10	trying 23:2	type 24:18
184:16 186:6	149:19 152:4	touching	39:21 93:2	26:21
186:14,15	152:11,15	86:11	95:9,16	types 25:2
187:14,22	164:18	Town 9:11	104:4,7,17	39:7 188:4
188:12	190:15,19	trajectories	123:3 125:15	typewriting
189:12,15,19	195:11	82:15	130:3,13,20	196:7
190:8 193:6	times 12:5	transcript 7:9	130:22	typical 136:12
193:7 194:6	95:21 153:19	13:8 23:20	164:17	136:14
194:14,16,20	timing 104:7	40:6 62:1	173:16	typically 30:15
thinking 36:1	104:22	67:19 124:4	176:15	31:2 32:4,9
56:5	tissue 32:8	145:19	turn 13:17	35:18 60:5,6
third 81:14,15	66:19 136:3	163:16 196:4	146:1 148:17	106:8 127:15
thought 31:3	today 9:16	transcription	150:7 157:9	127:20 136:2
34:9 87:3	12:22 13:4	197:6	167:17	136:6 153:3
89:7 94:20	13:21 14:6	transfer 21:7	175:14,15	155:18
115:8 140:12	40:15 60:9	22:15	191:9,17	
164:4 172:6	62:9 69:9	transferred	192:5	U
188:1	85:6 89:13	20:6 21:12	two 14:2,5	U 5:1 6:1 8:1
three 16:1	90:1,4	23:11	20:15 21:14	U-shape 56:18
34:20 101:20	140:18 141:7	transition	22:10,22	U-shaped
108:9	141:13 145:6	115:14	31:19 35:8	57:16
three-dimen	158:1 161:18	trauma 122:2	46:18 57:22	U.S 7:14,15
86:21 118:18	173:11	122:10	69:1,22	40:11 62:5
118:19 120:2	181:16 191:3	treated 193:8	70:12 101:13	Uh-huh 21:16
123:8,8,16	192:8 193:1	trial 92:15	101:18	66:10 71:22
126:4 130:19	195:6	93:11,14,15	110:12,22	72:7 81:9
130:22	today's 195:11	97:10,11	115:11	106:10,22
132:16	toggle 25:7	trouble 124:10	120:16 121:2	110:14
155:14,15	told 50:20,22	Troy 2:17 3:1	121:4,15	163:21
163:12	125:1	7:2,11 9:4	124:18 126:2	172:15
165:11,16	top 71:15	10:18 11:16	126:12	Ultimately
177:10,19	78:21 79:2,6	13:14	132:16 144:5	52:4
three-quarters	79:7,9 86:3	true 16:4 20:4	144:12	unable 13:3
146:8	88:6,17,18	30:9 38:5	164:11	underneath
Thursday 2:19	88:22 93:7	71:18 75:21	169:13	172:3
tie 189:4	119:22	76:1 123:10	177:12	understand
time 9:13 12:7	122:20 132:2	123:14 131:3	180:15,17	12:14,21
15:6 21:20	148:9 157:14	158:20 196:4	189:9 194:6	14:1 17:2
33:12 54:18	170:5,11	197:5	194:9	24:15 33:12
54:22 68:6	173:15,19	truth 72:21	two-dimensi	37:1 40:20
68:20 92:5,9	174:6,9	truthful 13:3	123:7 125:5	45:9 53:5
	l '	l	l	

				27
F0.00 F7.0	F0.4	140.40.40.00	\/:daa4ad	\\\\- = a -
56:20 57:3 59:2 60:16	59:4 use 25:6 27:21	116:12,16,22	Videotaped 2:16 3:1	Washington 2:18
60:21 62:13	28:7,7,7,8	117:3,8,14 121:11,12,22	view 7:18 8:3	wasn't 85:2
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
64:4 80:14	41:21 59:16	124:7 134:18	57:7 94:9,12	93:20 97:8,8
89:1 90:14	72:18 109:17	135:4 141:9	94:16,18	187:15
91:4 105:7	110:4 134:6	141:15 148:8	123:5,17	water 115:22
107:1,6,11	134:6,6	148:9 155:7	126:11 132:3	116:1,4
107:22 121:1	138:11,22	vertebral	137:17 176:7	way 37:1,11,14
125:14	152:21 153:2	117:11,16	179:3	47:17 57:11
126:13	153:10,19	118:17 122:6	viewed 118:7	69:5 70:1
129:18	155:18 156:2	123:9 124:19	views 124:19	82:15 87:7
138:10	160:6 161:20	126:11,21,22	Virginia 3:7	132:22 146:8
141:20 142:5	162:21 164:1	127:5 128:19	4:9 9:12	154:4,9
142:7 159:20	164:4 189:15	128:21 131:1	visible 37:18	157:6 160:3
160:7 166:20	useful 164:4	132:3 133:1	visual 23:16	161:12
185:2 186:16	utter 120:20	135:21 136:9	vitae 105:14	166:14
understandi		136:16 137:6		169:21
18:2,8 35:5	V	138:18 140:7	W	174:14 185:6
41:20 74:15	v 1:5,10,15 2:3	140:10	W 5:17	Waymar 11:18
98:6 139:15	2:10	141:17 149:3	Wacker 5:9	ways 122:8
159:12 168:5	vague 57:21	149:18	wall 46:1,7,11	we'll 116:1
172:13	120:14	150:16 155:8	46:16,21,22	we're 9:2
understood	180:13	156:2,14	47:2,9,16	18:19 54:21
12:19 28:10	variables	164:11 168:1	48:6,7 49:13	83:20,21
36:22 79:18	121:17	168:22 171:6	49:14,19,20	86:15 88:1
125:22	varies 121:13	183:8 184:19	50:6,8 51:8,9	90:7 92:8
143:17	173:1	184:20 185:7	51:14,17,22	115:18
155:19	various 135:11	185:10	52:1,7,9,9	123:21
unheard	vary 166:18	vertex 98:9,12	walls 46:18	126:18 127:6
110:18	170:16 175:6	98:13	47:12 49:14	127:22
unicortical	180:5	vertical 118:11	49:20 50:7	136:22
19:9	verbatim	123:2 131:19	51:8,14,17	141:12 151:1
union 20:11,15	75:15,18	132:2,9	want 20:19,21	152:14 153:6
unitary 36:10	verify 162:4	174:17	21:1 54:13	158:1 190:18
United 1:1 9:8	verse 9:5	video 9:3,14	65:4 92:2	we've 40:15
universe 16:17	vertebrae 7:19	video-recor	115:10	54:12 62:8
University	8:4 20:15	9:3	116:13	92:1 152:1
12:1	21:15 22:10	VIDEOGRAP	126:12,17	165:7
unknowns	22:12,16	9:2 10:15	129:18	weight 23:10
70:5,7,13	25:19 26:1,7	11:3 54:18	138:21	23:10 26:4,6
unreliable	26:7,9,13,13	54:21 92:5,8	149:22 152:5	26:7,9,10,11
146:22	26:17,17	115:15,18	152:6 164:15	27:3,5 39:17
unusual 38:21	64:19 66:3	152:11,14	164:17	108:2 121:14
USA 1:11	69:1,22 70:1	190:15,18	183:10	125:18
usage 58:9,16	70:12 116:10	195:10	wanted 106:7	153:16
usaye Jo.s, 10		190.10		100.10

				28
	100.14.40	160:14		40 46.04 40.00
weighting 125:9	180:14,18 181:8 182:21	169:14 176:14	years 98:20	12 16:21 18:20
			110:12,16	65:9,18,21
went 16:2	186:14 190:8	write 14:15,17	111:16	66:15 67:8
97:10 102:19	193:6,21	163:19	113:13	113:13
105:18 154:9	194:6,13	164:15,17	years' 110:20	160:17
158:11	word 31:17	wrong 85:18	110:22 111:3	183:19,19
weren't 41:17	34:14,16	85:21 183:17	111:11	191:18
West 5:9	35:18 36:20	wrote 14:18	yesterday	12:43 152:11
whatsoever	58:9,16 59:4	128:5	35:22 99:21	124 7:18
109:16	106:8 145:15	X	106:15	13 7:11 53:13
White 5:6	151:6,8,12			80:20 191:18
10:11	152:21,22	X 7:8 8:1		1336 7:22
width 177:20	153:10,12	X-ray 125:8	0	146:15
180:6	154:17,18,19	134:7 149:14		14 79:12 88:3
Wilmington	154:19,21,22	XTANT 2:4	1	88:4,5,7 89:5
6:8	155:4,5,15	Y	1 7:11 13:6,10	106:18
windows	155:18,20	yeah 18:7	29:7 64:12	178:10,13
126:8	156:2,2,9	20:14 21:10	64:22 65:15	179:9 196:18
Wisconsin	159:4,20	26:10 33:3	66:9,22 71:6	145 7:20
5:19,20	181:11,17	37:5 51:11	72:6 75:13	14th 3:5 4:8
wish 95:5	184:12,21,22	60:8,8 67:9	77:7 87:17	15 79:12
witness 4:4	185:4,13,21	68:12 77:19	119:16	113:13 187:2
9:22 10:2,19	185:21 186:4	78:15 81:5	143:14 150:7	1526 6:7
17:14 18:14	186:4 188:4	89:1,2 91:20	150:11	16 13:17 65:14
27:17 28:16	worded 63:11	94:19 97:1	160:17	72:2,5 88:9
31:1,12 33:3	82:16 166:13	102:4,6	183:10 187:1	90:2,7,12
33:11,21	words 31:19	102.4,6	1:28 152:15	91:14 168:1
42:6 44:6,16	102:18	105:7,8	10 81:17	176:5,18
57:22 64:8	138:22 143:7	105.8 106.2	10:06 54:18	177:8
68:12 91:20	145:3 160:6	107.10,17,20	10:19 54:22	163 8:3
92:12 96:3,4	161:14 162:3	116:6 117:15	1054 7:22	18-1972 9:7
96:8 97:5	164:17	119:7 120:15	1054 7:22 1058 7:22	18-1972-RGA
107:17,20	work 11:20,21	126:17 120:15	157:12	1:5
108:5 109:7	15:2,8 99:15		13 7.12 11 7:3 122:20	18-1973-RGA
109:13,21	101:5,9	130:1 132:5	128:16	1:10
110:8 115:21	114:18	136:20	183:19	18-1974-RGA
116:3,5	worked 27:10	144:16 152:4	11:18 92:5	1:15
120:15 129:3	working 95:7,8	157:20	11:10 92:3 11:29 92:9	18-1976-RGA
140:22 145:1	99:8 114:8	160:16 167:16	11:55 115:15	2:3
152:8 156:6	114:12		11:56 115:19	19 19:3 23:9
158:9 168:11	wouldn't 59:3	168:11 172:7	116 7:4	65:14
168:20	134:10,13	174:1 175:9	116 7.4 117 7:22	19-1515-RGA
170:15 174:1	141:7 159:11	175:20	11951 3:6 4:8	2:10 9:7
178:16	159:13	183:16 187:4	9:11	191 7:5
179:13	167:10	year 146:3,3	3.11	195 7:6
	1	1	I	l

				29
19806 6:8	86:17 87:17	3-D 155:14	39 81:20 84:10	23:1 29:14
	100:15	30 15:10 29:12	84:14,17	29:20 40:3
2	108:15	29:16,18	85:8	40:14 41:13
2 7:13 23:17	109:18 110:4	41:4 43:14		43:5,16 55:6
23:18,22	112:6 114:6	183:9	4	62:16 63:3
2:26 190:15	114:16	300 165:10	4 7:15 61:21	64:5 69:14
2:32 190:19	138:10,15,19	302 6:9	62:4,4 64:14	71:8 75:5,6
2:39 195:11,17	143:8 151:14	30th 7:21	76:7 167:18	85:14 86:6
20 65:17 72:12	151:20	312 5:11	175:14	86:16 100:15
76:13 78:3	152:20 153:7	32 41:1,4,6,15	40 7:14 15:10	108:15
98:20 197:17	153:10,18	41:22 44:22	81:10,18	109:18 110:5
2003 146:9	154:5,20	45:10,15	414 5:21	112:7 138:10
2019 15:6 99:4	155:4,17	46:10 51:10	42 81:10	138:15,19
20190-5640	161:19 162:9	52:11,20	4229 11:18	143:8 151:15
3:7 4:9	167:7,9,17	53:6 57:19	44 71:5,21	151:20
2020 2:19 9:12	168:6 171:11	62:16,19	75:2	151.20
195:10,17	172:17	63:2,22 64:4	44114 4:19	153:10,19
196:16		•	449-9010 6:9	
2025 196:18	173:13	191:9,12,22	45 85:16	154:5,20
2023 190.10 207 101:5	175:15	192:21	450 99:11	155:4,17
20 7 101.5 21 76:13	181:12 183:4	193:11		161:19 162:9
21 76.13 216 4:20	184:1	324-1000 5:11	456-8567 4:10	167:7,9
	24 76:3,9	34 56:12,15	46 75:10	168:6 171:11
22 43:14 76:3	178:10,12	143:13	48537 2:21	172:18
76:9 77:7,8	179:1,8	192:10	49 85:16	173:13
81:13,14,16	25 34:19 176:2	193:15	5	181:12 183:4
81:17 82:4,8	176:17	35 55:5 57:2		184:1 191:5
83:7,14,21	177:14	59:19 60:11	5 2:19 7:16	191:7,10
84:7,16,22	26 29:22 72:12	60:14 62:16	67:17,22	56 56:15
85:8 86:8,9	75:21 76:12	192:6,8,14	81:5 129:1	566-3939 4:20
86:17 90:18	271-5306 5:21	193:3,4,11	129:13	58 75:10
91:13 167:21	28 52:18 72:3	193:13,19	195:17	5th 9:12
23 7:13 52:18	72:5	194:2,4,10	50 167:19,20	195:10
234 14:3,13	29 53:13	194:11,19	192:13	
17:10,21	191:17	36 119:14,15	193:15	6
23:1 29:14		122:18,19	510 52:21	6 7:18 123:22
29:20 41:13	3	123:17	53:14	124:2 129:12
43:16 62:9	3 7:14 40:4,9	128:11,13,14	52 167:19	129:15,22
62:20 63:3,6	55:6,6 65:15	150:13	520 52:12,22	131:6,15
63:9,12 64:1	65:21 66:9	360 131:1	53:2,14	132:3,22
64:14 69:14	66:22 72:6	37 85:22 166:5	527 40:3	133:7,14
71:8,19 72:2	75:7,13	167:3	530 53:1	136:17,21
73:18,21	76:20,21	38 62:19 63:2	53202-5306	137:2 148:17
75:16 76:5	85:15 175:16	64:1,4	5:20	149:4 164:19
81:2,4 84:11	175:17 178:9	183:14,15,18	537 14:3,13	164:20 175:5
84:12 86:8,9	191:5	38117 11:19	17:10,22	6,984,234 7:15
0 11 12 00.0,0	'0'.0	00117 11.18	ĺ	-,

		 	30
62:5 600 53:15,17 54:4,7 56:17 56:21 57:3 191:22 192:15,21 193:4,7,18 194:4,10,21 60601-5094 5:10 61 7:15 610 53:15,20 620 56:16 630 56:16 630 56:16 680 47:7 692 45:18 192:17 696 55:10,10 55:12,19 56:2 57:4 59:9,10 192:15 193:19,22 194:3 7 7 7:20 64:12 145:17,22 157:9 703 4:10 73 9:7 74 9:7 167:22 76 9:7 77 5:19 8 8 8:3 53:13 163:14,19 164:9 165:10 165:14 166:10,22 171:17,22	172:1,9,11 175:6 191:18 9 9,713,537 7:14 40:12 9:06 2:20 9:08 9:13 9th 196:16		