

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIOT GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130
Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1
Cases IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131
Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1

Before THU A. DANG, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
NEIL T. POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SCHEDULING ORDER

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130
Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1
IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131
Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1
A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D) apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

1. INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL

No initial conference call is scheduled for this proceeding. The parties are directed to contact the Board within a month of this Order if there is a need to discuss proposed changes to this Scheduling Order or proposed motions. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756,

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130
Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1
IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131
Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1
48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) (guidance in preparing for the initial conference call).

2. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

3. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

4. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

5. DUE DATE 4

- a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (*see* section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.
- b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4.

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130

Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1

IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131

Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1

6. DUE DATE 5

a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.

b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

7. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

8. DUE DATE 7

The oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).

2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *See id.*

C. MOTION FOR OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

A motion for observation on cross-examination provides the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,767–68. The observation must be a

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130

Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1

IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131

Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1

concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observation. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

D. MOTION TO AMEND

Patent Owner may file a motion to amend without prior authorization from the Board. Nevertheless, Patent Owner must confer with the Board before filing such a motion. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a). Patent Owner should arrange for a conference call with the Board and opposing counsel at least 10 business days before DUE DATE 1 in order to satisfy the requirement for a conference. We direct the parties to the Board's website for representative decisions relating to Motions to Amend among other topics.

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130
Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1
IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131
Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1

DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 1 August 14, 2018

Patent owner's response to the petition

Patent owner's motion to amend the patent

DUE DATE 2 November 14, 2018

Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition

Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 3 December 14, 2018

Patent owner's reply to petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 4 January 4, 2019

Motion for observation regarding cross-examination of reply witness

Motion to exclude evidence

Request for oral argument

DUE DATE 5 January 18, 2019

Response to observation

Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6 January 25, 2019

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7 February 13, 2019

Oral argument (if requested)

IPR2018-00129 & IPR2018-00130
Patent 5,822,523 & 5,822,523 C1
IPR2018-00130 & IPR2018-00131
Patent 6,226,686 & 6,226,686 C1

PETITIONER:

Joseph A. Micallef
Samuel A. Dillon
SIDLEY AUSTIN
jmicallef@sidley.com
samuel.dillon@sidley.com

PATENT OWNER:

Gregory M. Howison
Keith D. Harden
Brian D. Walker
MUNCK, WILSON, MANDALA, LLP
ghowison@munckwilson.com
kharden@munckwilson.com
bwalker@munckwilson.com