

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ADVANCED AUDIO DEVICES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Cases: IPR2014-01154 (Patent 6,587,403 B1)
IPR2014-01155 (Patent 7,289,393 B2)
IPR2014-01156 (Patent 7,817,502 B2)
IPR2014-01157 (Patent 7,933,171 B2)
IPR2014-01158 (Patent 8,400,888 B2)¹

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, and
GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRUMBLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ This order addresses issues common to all five cases. We exercise our discretion to issue a single order, to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading.

IPR2014-01154 (Patent 6,587,403 B1)
IPR2014-01155 (Patent 7,289,393 B2)
IPR2014-01156 (Patent 7,817,502 B2)
IPR2014-01157 (Patent 7,933,171 B2)
IPR2014-01158 (Patent 8,400,888 B2)

Five related *inter partes* review trials are currently pending between the parties. The Board instituted trials in IPR2014-01154, IPR2014-01155, and IPR2014-01156 on December 31, 2014, and held an initial conference call in these cases on January 20, 2015. Trials in IPR2014-01157 and IPR2014-01158 were instituted on January 23, 2015, and an initial conference call was held on February 13, 2015.

During the second conference call, the Board discussed with the parties whether the schedules of the first three cases should be adjusted to coordinate with the schedules of the latter two cases. We reminded the parties that, as set forth in our Scheduling Orders, they are free to stipulate to different dates for Due Dates 1-5, but no later than Due Date 6. Board authorization for such a stipulation is not required, but a Notice of Stipulation must be filed with the Board.

We also informed the parties that, if they wish to reschedule Due Dates 6 or 7, they may request authorization to file Motions to Amend the Scheduling Orders by contacting the Board. Counsel for the parties expressed interest in filing such Motions, but requested time to consult their schedules and confer. The panel gave the parties ten business days to request authorization for a Motion. In the meantime, the Board will consolidate Due Date 7 (Oral Argument – if requested) in all five cases to September 14, 2015.

IPR2014-01154 (Patent 6,587,403 B1)
IPR2014-01155 (Patent 7,289,393 B2)
IPR2014-01156 (Patent 7,817,502 B2)
IPR2014-01157 (Patent 7,933,171 B2)
IPR2014-01158 (Patent 8,400,888 B2)

For the foregoing reasons, it is

ORDERED that the Scheduling Orders in IPR2014-01154 (Paper 7), IPR2014-01155 (Paper 7), and IPR2014-01156 (Paper 7) are hereby amended to reset Due Date 7 (Oral Argument – if requested) for September 14, 2014; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall request authorization to file Motions to Amend the Scheduling Orders, to modify Due Dates 6 or 7, on or before March 2, 2015.

IPR2014-01154 (Patent 6,587,403 B1)
IPR2014-01155 (Patent 7,289,393 B2)
IPR2014-01156 (Patent 7,817,502 B2)
IPR2014-01157 (Patent 7,933,171 B2)
IPR2014-01158 (Patent 8,400,888 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Bing Ai
Hwa C. Lee
Thomas N. Millikan
PERKINS COIE LLP
Ai-ptab@perkinscoie.com
HLee@perkinscoie.com
TMillikan@perkinscoie.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

James Foley
Timothy M. McCarthy
John Paniaguas
CLARK HILL PLC
jfoley@clarkhill.com
tmccarthy@clarkhill.com
jpaniaguas@clarkhill.com